

Tuesday, 3<sup>rd</sup> September 2024

## 13% Cu in assays at the Cyclone Deposit and a new copper discovery at the Storm Project, Canada

### Resource upgrade and expansion:

- Drilling surpasses 20,000m and continues 24/7 with 128 Reverse Circulation (**RC**) drill holes and 14 diamond drill holes now completed in 2024 at Storm and Tempest
- The resource upgrade potential of the Cyclone Deposit is further highlighted with exceptional high-grade copper intervals confirmed by assays including:
  - Drill hole SR24-057 has intersected:
    - 1.5m @ 13.5% Cu, 23g/t Ag from 54.9m downhole, and,
    - 3.1m @ 2.0% Cu, 8.0 g/t Ag from 85.3m downhole, and,
    - 1.5m @ 1.8% Cu, 3.0g/t Ag from 109.7m downhole
  - Drill hole SR24-035 has intersected:
    - 3.1m @ 3.9% Cu, 10.5g/t Ag from 57.9m downhole, including,
      - 1.5m @ 5.9% Cu, 16.0g/t Ag from 57.9m downhole, and,
    - 4.6m @ 1.4% Cu, 5g/t Ag from 71.6m downhole, including,
      - 1.5m @ 3.1% Cu, 11.0g/t Ag from 71.6m downhole
  - Drill hole SR24-031 is located **outside of the current resource** and has intersected:
    - 27.4m @ 1.1% Cu, 3.5g/t Ag from 96m downhole, including,
      - 4.6m @ 3.1% Cu, 7.7g/t Ag from 109.7m downhole

### Exploration drilling and Moving Loop Electromagnetics (MLEM):

- Drilling of a MLEM anomaly in an untested area south of the Thunder Prospect has intersected strong visual copper sulphides from approximately 181.4m downhole – this new discovery is named '**Squall**'
- The deep-searching 400m loop MLEM survey is currently underway at Tornado where it has identified two EM anomalies coincident with outcropping copper
- Drilling of the 1,300m x 500m EM anomaly south and below the Cirrus Deposit is in progress with the diamond hole planned to a depth of 600m



Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Laboratory assays are required to determine the presence and grade of any contained mineralisation within the reported visual intersections of copper sulphides. Portable XRF is used as an aid in the determination of mineral type and abundance during the geological logging process.

**Dave O'Neill, Managing Director of American West Metals commented:**

*“We are very pleased to report that we achieved two more significant milestones for the 2024 drilling program at Storm. The program has now exceeded the planned 20,000m of drilling, and we have made another significant copper discovery in the Storm area, now named ‘Squall.’ The drilling continues 24/7.*

*“Squall is the second new discovery of near-surface copper mineralisation in the Southern Graben Area this year, and highlights the outstanding growth potential and still relatively untested nature of Storm.*

*“The latest assays also continue to highlight the quality of the known copper deposits with exceptional intervals with up to 13% Cu, and thick intervals of high-grade copper outside of the current resource envelope.*

*“The MLEM surveys are progressing in parallel with the exploration drilling, and preliminary results from Tornado have already highlighted two conductive features of interest. Further exploration work, including drilling, is now underway to test these and other highly prospective copper targets.*

*“This is an exciting time for the company with further assays due over the coming weeks, and exploration activities ongoing at the Tempest and Blizzard/Tornado areas. Investors can look forward to regular news flow.”*




Figure 1: 3D ortho-section view (looking NW) showing MLEM imagery, modelled conductors, and drill hole ST24-03 (CH20Z MLEM image – hotter colours indicate higher conductivity).



American West Metals Limited (**American West** or **the Company**) (ASX: AW1) is pleased to provide an update on drilling and exploration activities at the Storm Copper Project (**Storm** or **the Project**) on Somerset Island, Nunavut, Canada.

A total of 128 RC drill holes and 14 diamond drill holes have been completed at Storm and Tempest during 2024, exceeding the planned target of 20,000m. Drilling is continuing 24/7 with further assays expected in batches over the coming weeks.

Assays for the drilling program continue to be received and recent results from the Cyclone Deposit have confirmed further intersections of very high-grade copper up to 13% Cu both within and outside of the current resource envelope.

Exploration drilling targeting a MLEM anomaly in the Southern Graben area has intersected strong visual copper sulphides at the end of the hole. The new discovery and area have been named '**Squall**' and the drill hole is being extended to confirm the full extent of the mineralisation.

The deep searching MLEM survey is currently underway in the Tornado and Blizzard areas and has identified two high-priority EM anomalies located in favourable stratigraphy and spatially coincident with outcropping copper. Previous drilling at Storm has confirmed the strong correlation between EM targets and high-grade copper sulphides, the most recent example being Squall, which make these compelling targets potentially leading to the discovery of copper within a completely new and untested area.




Figure 2: Recent and existing drill hole locations, copper deposit outlines, overlaying aerial photography.

## EXCEPTIONAL COPPER HITS AT CYCLONE

Assay results from drill holes SR24-026, -027, -031, -033, -035, -037, -039, -055, -057, and -059 have been received and continue to confirm thick, near-surface intervals of copper sulphides.

The maiden JORC compliant Indicated and Inferred Mineral Resource Estimation (**MRE**) for Cyclone was published in early 2024 (Refer to ASX announcement dated 30 January 2024 – ‘Maiden JORC MRE for the Storm Project’). The MRE for Cyclone defined **12.1Mt @ 1.2% Cu, 3.4g/t Ag (7.2Mt @ 1.2% Cu, 4g/t Ag Inferred and 4.9Mt @ 1.3% Cu, 3.4g/t Ag Indicated)** and the deposit is open in all directions.

The drilling results demonstrate consistent copper grades and highlight the excellent lateral continuity of the high-grade mineralisation within Cyclone. Additionally, thick intervals of coherent copper mineralisation (>1% Cu) have been intersected outside of the existing MRE, highlighting the resource expansion potential to the north and south-west (Refer to ASX announcement dated 15 August 2024 – “Assays Confirm Further High-Grade Copper at Storm”) of the deposit.

Drilling has confirmed that a small area in the central-eastern portion of the resource is characterized by a “tight” massive dolomudstone facies with lesser brecciation and sulphide veining.

RC drilling at Cyclone continues with a focus on expanding the resource to the west, north and north-east to deliver an expanded updated JORC compliant MRE anticipated by year end.




Figure 3: Plan view of the Cyclone Deposit showing the Cu resource outlines, historical and recent drilling, overlaying regional geology.

## DRILL HOLE SR24-057 DETAILS

SR24-057 has returned exceptional copper grades in the far-eastern part of Cyclone defining new extensions to mineralisation not currently captured in the MRE. The drill hole, and others around it, have the potential to significantly bolster the higher-grade resources within the far-eastern end of the Cyclone deposit.

Four zones of strong copper sulphide mineralisation hosted within fractured dolomite of the Allen Bay Formation were intercepted in the hole.

The upper 1.5m thick zone of semi-massive chalcocite yielded 13.5% Cu, potentially extending the upper lode of copper mineralisation (Figure 4) to the north-east by approximately 100m.

The lower three zones contain strong (up to 3.7% Cu) vein- and fracture-style chalcocite mineralisation with minor chalcopyrite and are bounded by wide zones of lower grade (<0.2%) copper.

This intensity and character of copper mineralisation in SR24-057 is characterised by significant lateral continuity within Cyclone. This, and the spatial relationship to the similarly-mineralised drill hole SR24-031 (see below description), is a strong indicator for potential resource growth and upgrade at what is now the eastern boundary of the deposit.




Figure 4: SW-NE Geological schematic section view through SR24-033 and SR24-057 showing the recent drill hole locations, recently received assays, and the current Cyclone Deposit MRE envelope.



### DRILL HOLE SR24-031 DETAILS

Drilled to the north-east of the Cyclone Deposit, SR24-031 was designed to test for potential extensions to the existing copper resources to the north of Cyclone, between the limit of the current resource and 2023 drill hole SR23-55 (7.6m @ 1% Cu from 105.2m downhole, including 1.5m @ 2% Cu from 109.7m downhole).

Three zones of copper sulphide mineralisation were intersected (Figure 5). A thick interval of mineralisation is vertically zoned with a strong chalcocite-dominant inner core (between 96m and 121.9m downhole) with chalcopyrite margins. This zonation of copper minerals is typical of sediment-hosted copper systems. The 27.4m thick main zone of mineralisation (averaging 1.1% Cu and 3.4g/t Ag from 96m downhole) contains a 4.6m thick zone of very strong chalcocite veining averaging 3.1% Cu and 7.7g/t Ag from 109.7m downhole.

The drill hole is located within a large and untested area to the north of the current resource. The thickness and mineralogy of the copper mineralisation in both SR24-031 and -057 are important positive factors for the addition of significant resource extensions to the north of the Cyclone Deposit.




Figure 5: Geological schematic section view at 465,400E showing the recent drill hole assays, and the existing Cyclone Deposit resource outline.



| Hole ID          | From (m)      | To (m)        | Width        | Cu %        | Zn %       | Ag g/t      |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| <b>SR24-026</b>  | 30.48         | 41.15         | 10.67        | 0.7         | 0.0        | 1.5         |
| <i>including</i> | <b>36.58</b>  | <b>38.10</b>  | <b>1.52</b>  | <b>3.2</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>3.0</b>  |
|                  | 62.48         | 67.06         | 4.57         | 0.9         | 0.0        | 1.2         |
| <i>including</i> | <b>62.48</b>  | <b>65.53</b>  | <b>3.05</b>  | <b>1.3</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>1.5</b>  |
| <b>SR24-027</b>  | 42.67         | 44.20         | 1.52         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 2.0         |
|                  | 67.06         | 68.58         | 1.52         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 2.0         |
| <b>SR24-031</b>  | 62.48         | 64.01         | 1.52         | 0.5         | 0.0        | 1.0         |
|                  | <b>96.01</b>  | <b>123.44</b> | <b>27.43</b> | <b>1.1</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>3.4</b>  |
| <i>including</i> | <b>97.54</b>  | <b>103.63</b> | <b>6.10</b>  | <b>1.3</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>2.8</b>  |
| <i>and</i>       | <b>109.73</b> | <b>114.30</b> | <b>4.57</b>  | <b>3.1</b>  | <b>0.1</b> | <b>7.7</b>  |
| <b>SR24-033</b>  | 54.86         | 64.01         | 9.14         | 0.2         | 0.0        | 1.3         |
|                  | 73.15         | 74.68         | 1.52         | 0.2         | 0.0        | 1.0         |
|                  | 80.77         | 86.87         | 6.10         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 0.9         |
|                  | 91.44         | 92.96         | 1.52         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 1.0         |
| <b>SR24-035</b>  | 41.15         | 44.20         | 3.05         | 0.2         | 0.2        | 1.0         |
|                  | <b>51.82</b>  | <b>62.48</b>  | <b>10.67</b> | <b>1.3</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>3.9</b>  |
| <i>including</i> | <b>57.91</b>  | <b>60.96</b>  | <b>3.05</b>  | <b>3.9</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>10.5</b> |
| <i>Including</i> | <b>57.91</b>  | <b>59.44</b>  | <b>1.52</b>  | <b>5.9</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>16.0</b> |
|                  | 67.06         | 76.20         | 9.14         | 0.8         | 0.0        | 2.8         |
| <i>including</i> | <b>71.63</b>  | <b>73.15</b>  | <b>1.52</b>  | <b>3.1</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>11.0</b> |
| <b>SR24-037</b>  | 36.58         | 38.10         | 1.52         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 2.0         |
|                  | 50.29         | 54.86         | 4.57         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 2.3         |
|                  | 59.44         | 68.58         | 9.14         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 2.0         |
|                  | 73.15         | 74.68         | 1.52         | 0.4         | 0.0        | 2.0         |
| <b>SR24-039</b>  | 42.67         | 44.20         | 1.52         | 0.2         | 0.0        | 2.0         |
|                  | 48.77         | 51.82         | 3.05         | 0.5         | 0.0        | 2.5         |
|                  | 83.82         | 85.34         | 1.52         | 0.7         | 0.0        | 2.0         |
| <b>SR24-055</b>  | 51.82         | 59.44         | 7.62         | 0.4         | 0.0        | 1.3         |
| <i>including</i> | <b>51.82</b>  | <b>53.34</b>  | <b>1.52</b>  | <b>1.1</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>3.0</b>  |
|                  | 86.87         | 91.44         | 4.57         | 0.8         | 0.0        | 1.7         |
| <b>SR24-057</b>  | <b>54.86</b>  | <b>56.39</b>  | <b>1.52</b>  | <b>13.5</b> | <b>0.4</b> | <b>23.0</b> |
|                  | <b>85.34</b>  | <b>88.39</b>  | <b>3.05</b>  | <b>2.0</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>8.0</b>  |
| <i>including</i> | <b>85.34</b>  | <b>86.87</b>  | <b>1.52</b>  | <b>3.7</b>  | <b>0.1</b> | <b>15.0</b> |
|                  | 99.06         | 105.16        | 6.10         | 0.4         | 0.0        | 1.1         |
|                  | <b>109.73</b> | <b>111.25</b> | <b>1.52</b>  | <b>1.8</b>  | <b>0.0</b> | <b>3.0</b>  |
| <b>SR24-059</b>  | 44.20         | 45.72         | 1.52         | 0.4         | 0.0        | 2.0         |
|                  | 64.01         | 65.53         | 1.52         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 1.0         |
|                  | 70.10         | 71.63         | 1.52         | 0.3         | 0.0        | 0.5         |

Table 1: Summary of recent significant drilling intersections at the Cyclone Deposit (>0.2% Cu).



## SQUALL - NEW COPPER DISCOVERY

The recent EM surveys in the Storm area identified over 10 new, near-surface targets with a 200m loop survey (designed to screen from 0-200m depth) and a further five new deeper targets identified with the 400m loop survey (screening >200m depth).

The first of these anomalies to be drill tested during 2024 resulted in the discovery of the Gap Prospect, and was shown to be related to high-grade copper sulphides (8m @ 5.3% Cu from 39m downhole in drill hole SR24-03).

### DRILL HOLE SR24-108 DETAILS

RC drill hole SR24-108 was designed to test high-priority EM anomaly A3, which is visible in the data from both the 200m and 400m loop EM surveys (Figure 6). The coincident data suggested that the target may be located at a depth which crossed the designed detection ranges of the two surveys.

Drilling achieved the maximum achievable depth of 182.9m downhole, limited by available drill rods. The drill hole is interpreted to have hit the eastern edge of the anomaly and intersected strong visual copper sulphides in the last sampling interval of the hole (181.4 – 182.9m). The presence of copper has been confirmed with spot readings by portable XRF.

The interval contains strong visual chalcocite hosted within dense breccias and veins, typical of the copper deposits at Storm. The copper sulphides have been locally weathered to malachite and the host unit appears to be oxidised.

Additional drill rods have been sourced to extend the drill hole to test the full extent of the mineralised interval. The new discovery has been named “Squall.”

*Visual estimates of mineral abundance should never be considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses where concentrations or grades are the factor of principal economic interest. Laboratory assays are required to determine the presence and grade of any contained mineralisation within the reported visual intersections of copper sulphides. Portable XRF is used as an aid in the determination of mineral type and abundance during the geological logging process.*

| Hole ID  | From (m) | To (m) | Min    | Description                                     |
|----------|----------|--------|--------|---|
| SR24-108 | 0.0      | 59.4   | ma     | Allen Bay Formation with trace ma               |
|          | 59.4     | 181.4  |        | Allen Bay Formation - Dolomudstone              |
|          | 181.4    | 182.9  | cc, ma | Strongly weathered cc (2%) within oxidised unit |

Table 2: Summary geological log for drill hole SR24-108.

Mineralisation key for Table 2: cc = chalcocite, chpy = chalcopyrite, br = bornite, py = pyrite, Cu = native copper, ct = cuprite, ml = malachite, sph = sphalerite, ga = galena. (5%) = visual estimation of sulphide content



## CIRRUS AND GAP DRILLING

Diamond drilling also continues on the largest of the southern EM anomalies, located at depth below the Cirrus Deposit and Gap Prospect (Anomaly A1 on Figure 6). The 1,300m x 500m flat-lying target is bounded by a series of large, steeply dipping EM plates (approx. 350m to top, conductance ~40-60S, moderate ~40-60deg S/SW dip, striking ~WNW-ESE) at its the northern edge where the anomaly is truncated at the Southern Graben Fault.

The sub-vertical conductors are interpreted to be faults, the plumbing system responsible for moving mineralising fluids, that intersect the large, flat lying anomaly representing a permeable horizon. Both the faults and the flat horizon may now be mineralised by sulphides, causing the EM response. Similar EM anomalies drilled at Storm have been confirmed as copper sulphides, making this large anomaly a compelling drill target.




Figure 6: 400m loop MLEM image (CH20BZ) overlaying drilling and the geological and structural interpretation of the Storm area. The MLEM anomalies discussed in this report are labelled A1- A5.

## TORNADO - MLEM HIGHLIGHTS NEW CONDUCTORS

The MLEM surveys are currently underway in the Tornado and Blizzard areas, which are located approximately 10km along strike to the east of Storm. The geological setting is interpreted to be identical to that of Storm and contains numerous outcropping copper occurrences.

A number of EM anomalies have been identified in the preliminary data, some of which are coincident with geochemical copper anomalism and copper gossans at surface (Figure 7).



The fly RC drill rig has moved down to the area and once the final modelling and interpretation of the EM data is complete, will test the new high-priority EM targets. The drilling will also initially test a number of key geological features to gain a better understanding of the stratigraphy of the area and to aid in the interpretation of the MLEM data.




Figure 7: MLEM image (CH18BZ) of the Tornado and Blizzard 400m loop survey overlaying geology and interpreted major faults. Hotter colours indicate higher conductivity.

## FORWARD PROGRAM

- RC drilling is continuing in the Storm area with the track-mounted drill rig on resource extension and high-priority geophysical targets.
- The fly RC drill rig has moved to the Tornado and Blizzard copper prospects.
- Diamond drilling is in progress on deep exploration targets in the Storm area, with ST24-03 underway.
- Pending assays for the ongoing drill program are expected within the next 2 to 4 weeks.
- Deep-looking EM surveys are underway in the Tornado and Blizzard copper prospect areas.
- The environmental monitoring, archaeology, and survey activities for the 2024 program have been completed, with final reports expected in the coming months.
- Detailed economic, engineering, and mining studies are underway on the DSO development opportunity.



| Hole ID  | Prospect  | Easting | Northing | Depth (m) | Azi   | Inclination |
|----------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| SR24-001 | Expl.     | 465403  | 8174839  | 251.5     | 180   | -75         |
| SR24-002 | Cyclone   | 465497  | 8174396  | 140.2     | 180   | -70         |
| SR24-003 | The Gap   | 464015  | 8173152  | 149.4     | 170   | -45         |
| SR24-004 | The Gap   | 463975  | 8173143  | 199.6     | 130   | -60         |
| SR24-005 | Graben    | 464200  | 8173324  | 251.5     | 180   | -75         |
| SR24-006 | Chinook   | 466176  | 8172877  | 129.5     | 180   | -60         |
| SR24-007 | Cyclone   | 464729  | 8174010  | 150.9     | 0     | -70         |
| SR24-008 | Chinook   | 466216  | 8172870  | 140.2     | 180   | -60         |
| SR24-009 | Cyclone   | 464629  | 8174021  | 120.4     | 0     | -70         |
| SR24-010 | Chinook   | 466197  | 8172835  | 109.7     | 180   | -60         |
| SR24-011 | Cyclone   | 464855  | 8174089  | 131.1     | 180   | -70         |
| SR24-012 | Chinook   | 466317  | 8172830  | 115.8     | 180   | -60         |
| SR24-013 | Cyclone   | 464945  | 8174144  | 120.4     | 180   | -70         |
| SR24-014 | Lightning | 466029  | 8172538  | 118.9     | 0     | -50         |
| SR24-015 | Cyclone   | 464856  | 8174223  | 160       | 180   | -70         |
| SR24-016 | Lightning | 466091  | 8172538  | 129.5     | 0     | -50         |
| SR24-017 | Cyclone   | 464765  | 8174233  | 120.4     | 180   | -70         |
| SR24-018 | Lightning | 466063  | 8172513  | 149.3     | 0     | -50         |
| SR24-019 | Cyclone   | 464688  | 8174273  | 121.9     | 180   | -75         |
| SR24-020 | Lightning | 466201  | 8072538  | 140.2     | 0     | -50         |
| SR24-021 | Cyclone   | 464763  | 8174300  | 131.1     | 180   | -70         |
| SR24-022 | Thunder   | 465364  | 8172845  | 140.2     | 180   | -60         |
| SR24-023 | Cyclone   | 464848  | 8174344  | 144.8     | 180   | -70         |
| SR24-024 | Cyclone   | 464948  | 8174340  | 149.3     | 180   | -61         |
| SR24-025 | Cyclone   | 465089  | 8174285  | 170.7     | 180   | -65         |
| SR24-026 | Cyclone   | 465048  | 8174094  | 120.4     | 180   | -70         |
| SR24-027 | Cyclone   | 465147  | 8174100  | 114.3     | 180   | -63         |
| SR24-028 | Expl.     | 465867  | 8174040  | 140.2     | 180   | -65         |
| SR24-029 | Expl.     | 465900  | 8174500  | 251.4     | 180   | -65         |
| SR24-030 | Thunder   | 465234  | 8172845  | 140.2     | 180   | -60         |
| SR24-031 | Cyclone   | 465397  | 8174393  | 150.9     | 179.7 | -65.4       |
| SR24-032 | Thunder   | 465209  | 8172709  | 199.6     | 0     | -60         |
| SR24-033 | Cyclone   | 465397  | 8174293  | 141.7     | 179.7 | -65.1       |
| SR24-034 | Thunder   | 465299  | 8172845  | 140.2     | 182.9 | -60.9       |
| SR24-035 | Cyclone   | 465397  | 8174139  | 120.4     | 180.1 | -66.1       |
| SR24-036 | Thunder   | 465234  | 8172910  | 140.2     | 180.4 | -60         |
| SR24-037 | Cyclone   | 465446  | 8174119  | 99.1      | 179.8 | -61.5       |



|          |           |        |         |       |        |       |
|----------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|--------|-------|
| SR24-038 | Thunder   | 465169 | 8172910 | 140.2 | 177.1  | -60.5 |
| SR24-039 | Cyclone   | 465493 | 8174177 | 129.5 | 180    | -62   |
| SR24-040 | Thunder   | 465079 | 8172845 | 129.5 | 180    | -60   |
| SR24-041 | Cyclone   | 464626 | 8173970 | 167.6 | 359.9  | -70   |
| SR24-042 | Thunder   | 465169 | 8172845 | 140.2 | 180    | -59.9 |
| SR24-043 | Cyclone   | 464581 | 8174035 | 160   | 359.9  | -70.1 |
| SR24-044 | Thunder   | 465269 | 8172709 | 167.6 | 0      | -60.1 |
| SR24-045 | Cyclone   | 464625 | 8174180 | 160   | 180.1  | -61.5 |
| SR24-046 | Thunder W | 464686 | 8172873 | 199.6 | 0.3    | -60   |
| SR24-047 | Cyclone   | 464945 | 8174097 | 111.3 | 180.1  | -70   |
| SR24-048 | Thunder W | 464803 | 8172870 | 199.6 | 0.1    | -60.1 |
| SR24-049 | Cyclone   | 465219 | 8174060 | 96    | 179.8  | -70   |
| SR24-050 | Chinook W | 465862 | 8172885 | 150.9 | 359.6  | -60.3 |
| SR24-051 | Cyclone   | 465423 | 8174020 | 100.6 | 179.9  | -63.1 |
| SR24-052 | Lightning | 466029 | 8172538 | 150.9 | 335.1  | -44.9 |
| SR24-053 | Cyclone   | 465337 | 8174210 | 129.5 | 179.9  | -61.9 |
| SR24-054 | Lightning | 466126 | 8172537 | 129.5 | 0      | -50.1 |
| SR24-055 | Cyclone   | 465291 | 8174383 | 170.7 | 179.9  | -65   |
| SR24-056 | Corona E  | 466834 | 8172386 | 150.9 | 0.2    | -60.1 |
| SR24-057 | Cyclone   | 465497 | 8174343 | 141.7 | 180.2  | -65   |
| SR24-058 | Corona E  | 467248 | 8172395 | 167.6 | 180    | -60.4 |
| SR24-059 | Cyclone   | 465538 | 8174215 | 149.4 | 180.3  | -65.1 |
| SR24-060 | Corona E  | 466996 | 8172490 | 141.7 | 200.49 | -60.3 |
| SR24-061 | Cyclone   | 465587 | 8174105 | 149.4 | 180    | -65   |
| SR24-062 | Thunder   | 465122 | 8172776 | 150.9 | 180.04 | -60.4 |
| SR24-063 | Cyclone   | 465340 | 8174060 | 111.3 | 180.02 | -64.1 |
| SR24-064 | Cirrus    | 462948 | 8173743 | 150.9 | 210.41 | -60.2 |
| SR24-065 | Cyclone   | 465268 | 8173971 | 111.3 | 0.27   | -70.1 |
| SR24-066 | Cirrus    | 462861 | 8173793 | 150.9 | 210    | -60.2 |
| SR24-067 | Cyclone   | 465267 | 8174058 | 100.6 | 179.71 | -60.1 |
| SR24-068 | Chinook   | 466236 | 8172791 | 79.2  | 180.27 | -65.2 |
| SR24-069 | Cyclone   | 464802 | 8174009 | 106.9 | 0.09   | -70.4 |
| SR24-070 | Cyclone   | 464627 | 8174115 | 160.1 | 179.53 | -70.4 |
| SR24-071 | Cyclone   | 464578 | 8174164 | 129.5 | 179.94 | -63.1 |
| SR24-072 | Cyclone   | 464623 | 8174254 | 129.5 | 180.35 | -60.7 |
| SR24-073 | Cyclone   | 464686 | 8174339 | 129.5 | 180.08 | -72.3 |
| SR24-074 | Cyclone   | 464780 | 8174474 | 160.1 | 179.76 | -69.8 |
| SR24-075 | Cyclone   | 465165 | 8174475 | 167.6 | 180.28 | -70   |



|          |           |        |         |        |        |        |
|----------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| SR24-076 | Cyclone   | 465292 | 8174470 | 167.6  | 180.1  | -70    |
| SR24-077 | Cyclone   | 465329 | 8174566 | 167.6  | 180.08 | -70.1  |
| SR24-078 | Cyclone   | 465815 | 8174297 | 160    | 180.44 | -69.8  |
| SR24-079 | Cyclone   | 465788 | 8174170 | 149.4  | 179.98 | -70.4  |
| SR24-080 | Chinook   | 466257 | 8172791 | 70.1   | 180.21 | -50    |
| SR24-081 | Chinook   | 466297 | 8172793 | 70.1   | 179.91 | -46.2  |
| SR24-082 | Chinook   | 466217 | 8172777 | 70.1   | 180.3  | -45.2  |
| SR24-083 | Chinook   | 466197 | 8172772 | 59.4   | 180.1  | -45    |
| SR24-084 | Chinook   | 466157 | 8172773 | 59.4   | 180.07 | -45    |
| SR24-085 | Chinook   | 466317 | 8172794 | 79.2   | 177.87 | -45.3  |
| SR24-086 | Chinook   | 466337 | 8172791 | 59.4   | 180.12 | -50.4  |
| SR24-087 | Cyclone   | 465192 | 8174143 | 129.5  | 180.29 | -70.1  |
| SR24-088 | Chinook   | 466357 | 8172808 | 74.7   | 180.02 | -60    |
| SR24-089 | Cyclone   | 465094 | 8174055 | 114.3  | 180.15 | -70.3  |
| SR24-090 | Chinook   | 466137 | 8172772 | 50.3   | 179.83 | -60.1  |
| SR24-091 | Cyclone   | 464892 | 8174100 | 120.4  | 179.86 | -61.8  |
| SR24-092 | Chinook   | 466137 | 8172829 | 89.9   | 180    | -60    |
| SR24-093 | Cyclone   | 464676 | 8174010 | 150.9  | 0.16   | -70.3  |
| SR24-094 | Chinook W | 465884 | 8172982 | 199.6  | 215.64 | -60.2  |
| SR24-095 | Cyclone   | 464455 | 8174213 | 129.5  | 180.16 | -65    |
| SR24-096 | Chinook W | 465828 | 8172789 | 129.5  | 180.05 | -60    |
| SR24-097 | Cyclone   | 464577 | 8174260 | 129.5  | 179.79 | -62.9  |
| SR24-099 | Cyclone   | 464948 | 8174283 | 149.4  | 179.71 | -70.5  |
| SR24-100 | Tempest   | 473230 | 8137717 | 199.64 | 285    | -50    |
| SR24-101 | Cyclone   | 465156 | 8174345 | 149.4  | 180.02 | -69.95 |
| SR24-102 | Tempest   | 473634 | 8133161 | 199.6  | 109.19 | -45.05 |
| SR24-103 | Cyclone   | 465234 | 8174407 | 160.0  | 180.01 | -64.99 |
| SR24-104 | Expl.     | 463100 | 8173180 | 274.3  | 359.9  | -84.65 |
| SR24-105 | Cyclone   | 465398 | 8174448 | 160.0  | 178.8  | -65.23 |
| SR24-106 | Thunder   | 465127 | 8172868 | 149.4  | 180.14 | -60.05 |
| SR24-107 | Cyclone   | 465336 | 8174446 | 160.0  | 179.7  | -65.32 |
| SR24-108 | Squall    | 464828 | 8172642 | 182.9  | 180    | -60    |
| SR24-109 | Cyclone   | 465434 | 8174542 | 160.0  | 179.84 | -70.28 |
| SR24-110 | Expl.     | 464924 | 8171800 | 199.6  | 205.54 | -80.24 |
| SR24-111 | Cyclone   | 465450 | 8174449 | 160.0  | 179.89 | -65.28 |
| SR24-112 | Cyclone   | 465346 | 8174360 | 149.4  | 180.28 | -65.04 |
| SR24-113 | Cyclone   | 465450 | 8174368 | 141.7  | 180.43 | -65.37 |
| SR24-114 | Cyclone   | 465465 | 8174296 | 141.7  | 180.09 | -65.33 |



|          |         |        |         |       |        |        |
|----------|---------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|
| SR24-115 | Cyclone | 465541 | 8174141 | 149.4 | 179.89 | -65.12 |
| SR24-116 | Cyclone | 465450 | 8174039 | 167.6 | 0.13   | -60.29 |
| SR24-117 | Cyclone | 465380 | 8174060 | 100.6 | 180.35 | -67.87 |
| SR24-118 | Cyclone | 465236 | 8174107 | 99.1  | 180.1  | -69.96 |
| SR24-119 | Cyclone | 464856 | 8174041 | 79.3  | 179.69 | -69.62 |
| SR24-120 | Cyclone | 464856 | 8174039 | 140.2 | 180    | -70    |
| SR24-121 | Cyclone | 464521 | 8174061 | 150.9 | 359.74 | -69.93 |
| SR24-122 | Cyclone | 464517 | 8174174 | 129.5 | 179.87 | -62.93 |
| SR24-123 | Cyclone | 464455 | 8174107 | 79.3  | 359.97 | -69.99 |
| SR24-124 | Cyclone | 465253 | 8174538 | 170.7 | 181.1  | -70.09 |
| SR24-125 | Cyclone | 465620 | 8174499 | 170.7 | 179.98 | -70.2  |
| SR24-126 | Cyclone | 465801 | 8174400 | 170.7 | 179.79 | -69.9  |
| SR24-127 | Cyclone | 465580 | 8174339 | 170.7 | 180.29 | -70.03 |
| SR24-128 | Cyclone | 465087 | 8174379 | 125.0 | 180.23 | -70.26 |
| SM24-01  | Chinook | 466275 | 8172777 | 79    | 0      | -65    |
| SM24-01A | Chinook | 466275 | 8172777 | 98    | 0      | -65    |
| SM24-02  | Chinook | 466176 | 8172760 | 104   | 0      | -60    |
| SM24-02A | Chinook | 466176 | 8172760 | 104   | 0      | -60    |
| SM24-03  | Cyclone | 465044 | 8174208 | 152   | 180    | -70    |
| SM24-03A | Cyclone | 465044 | 8174208 | 18    | 180    | -70    |
| SM24-03B | Cyclone | 465044 | 8174208 | 7     | 180    | -70    |
| SM24-03C | Cyclone | 465044 | 8174208 | 152   | 180.01 | -65    |
| SM24-04  | Cyclone | 464900 | 8174200 | 152   | 180.06 | -70    |
| SM24-04A | Cyclone | 464900 | 8174200 | 156   | 179.77 | -70    |
| SM24-05  | Cyclone | 464723 | 8174143 | 149   | 179.44 | -69.9  |
| SM24-05A | Cyclone | 464723 | 8174143 | 149   | 179.81 | -69.6  |
| ST24-01  | Graben  | 464728 | 8173893 | 385   | 0.3    | -80.1  |
| ST24-02  | Expl.   | 465600 | 8172675 | 455   | 160    | -75    |

Table 3: Details for the 2024 resource and exploration drill holes completed to date.



This announcement has been approved for release by the Board of American West Metals Limited.

**For enquiries:**

|                              |                           |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Dave O'Neill                 | Dannika Warburton         |
| Managing Director            | Principal                 |
| American West Metals Limited | Investability             |
| doneill@aw1group.com         | info@investability.com.au |

**Competent Person's Statement – JORC MRE**

The information in this announcement that relates to the estimate of Mineral Resources for the Storm Project is based upon, and fairly represents, information and supporting documentation compiled and reviewed by Mr. Kevin Hon, P.Geo., Senior Geologist, Mr. Christopher Livingstone, P.Geo, Senior Geologist, Mr. Warren Black, P.Geo., Senior Geologist and Geostatistician, and Mr. Steve Nicholls, MAIG, Senior Resource Geologist, all employees of APEX Geoscience Ltd. and Competent Persons. Mr. Hon and Mr. Black are members of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of Alberta (APEGA), Mr. Livingstone is a member of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientist of British Columbia (EGBC), and Mr. Nicholls is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geologists (AIG).

Mr. Hon, Mr. Livingstone, Mr. Black, and Mr. Nicolls (the "APEX CPs") are Senior Consultants at APEX Geoscience Ltd., an independent consultancy engaged by American West Metals Limited for the Mineral Resource Estimate. The APEX CPs have sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". The APEX CPs consent to the inclusion in this announcement of matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the results included in the original market announcements referred to in this Announcement and that no material change in the results has occurred. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Persons' findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

The ASX announcement contains information extracted from the following reports which are available on the Company's website at <https://www.americanwestmetals.com/site/content/>:

30 January 2024 Maiden JORC MRE for Storm



**Competent Person Statement**

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results for the Storm Copper and Seal Zinc-Silver Projects is based on information compiled by Mr Dave O'Neill, a Competent Person who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr O'Neill is employed by American West Metals Limited as Managing Director, and is a substantial shareholder in the Company.

Mr O'Neill has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr O'Neill consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

**Forward looking statements**

Information included in this release constitutes forward-looking statements. Often, but not always, forward looking statements can generally be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as "may", "will", "expect", "intend", "plan", "estimate", "anticipate", "continue", and "guidance", or other similar words and may include, without limitation, statements regarding plans, strategies and objectives of management.

Forward looking statements inherently involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the Company's actual results, performance, and achievements to differ materially from any future results, performance, or achievements. Relevant factors may include, but are not limited to, changes in commodity prices, foreign exchange fluctuations and general economic conditions, the speculative nature of exploration and project development, including the risks of obtaining necessary licenses and permits and diminishing quantities or grades of reserves, political and social risks, changes to the regulatory framework within which the Company operates or may in the future operate, environmental conditions including extreme weather conditions, recruitment and retention of personnel, industrial relations issues and litigation.

Forward looking statements are based on the Company and its management's good faith assumptions relating to the financial, market, regulatory and other relevant environments that will exist and affect the Company's business and operations in the future. The Company does not give any assurance that the assumptions on which forward looking statements are based will prove to be correct, or that the Company's business or operations will not be affected in any material manner by these or other factors not foreseen or foreseeable by the Company or management or beyond the Company's control.



## ABOUT AMERICAN WEST METALS

**AMERICAN WEST METALS LIMITED** (ASX: AW1) is an Australian clean energy mining company focused on growth through the discovery and development of major base metal mineral deposits in Tier 1 jurisdictions of North America. Our strategy is focused on developing mines that have a low-footprint and support the global energy transformation.

Our portfolio of copper and zinc projects in Utah and Canada include significant existing resource inventories and high-grade mineralisation that can generate robust mining proposals. Core to our approach is our commitment to the ethical extraction and processing of minerals and making a meaningful contribution to the communities where our projects are located.

Led by a highly experienced leadership team, our strategic initiatives lay the foundation for a sustainable business which aims to deliver high-multiplier returns on shareholder investment and economic benefits to all stakeholders.



## JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

| Criteria            | JORC Code explanation   | Commentary   |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Sampling techniques | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></li> <li><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></li> <li><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></li> <li><i>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></li> </ul> | <p><b>Drilling:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drilling included in the 2023 Maiden Storm Copper MRE (“Storm Copper MRE”) includes historical diamond core drilling (1997, 1999 and 2000), and modern diamond core and reverse circulation (RC) drilling and sampling (2012-2023).</li> <li>Exploration drilling at the Storm Copper Project (“Storm” or “Storm Copper”) in the 1990’s was conducted by Cominco Ltd. and Noranda Inc. In 1996 Cominco identified the Storm Copper mineralisation through prospecting and surficial sampling. Storm was first drilled with a single core hole in 1996. Subsequent programs were undertaken in 1997, 1999, and 2000.</li> <li>Geophysical surveys, surficial sampling, and further drilling through to 2001 identified four prospects at Storm Copper, known as the 4100N, 2750N, 2200N, and 3500N zones (now known as Cyclone, Chinook, Corona, and Cirrus deposits, respectively).</li> <li>Historical diamond sampling consisted of half-cut core submitted to Cominco Resource Laboratory in Vancouver, Canada for multi-element ICP analysis.</li> <li>Not all aspects relating to the nature and quality of the historical drill sampling can be confirmed. Available details pertaining to historical exploration methods are outlined in the appropriate sections below.</li> <li>Modern exploration at the Storm Copper Project was re-ignited with drill core resampling programs in 2008, 2012 and 2013 by Commander Resources Ltd. (“Commander”) and Aston Bay Holdings Ltd. (“Aston Bay”). Drilling was undertaken in 2016 by BHP Billiton and Aston Bay, in 2018 by Aston Bay, and in 2022 and 2023 by American West Metals Ltd. (“American West Metals” or “American West”) and Aston Bay.</li> </ul> |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary   |
|----------|-----------------------|--|
|          |                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Modern diamond core sample intervals were based on visible copper sulphide mineralisation, structure, and geology, as identified by the logging geologist. Sample intervals were marked and recorded for cutting and sampling. Core samples consisted of half- or quarter-cut core submitted to ALS Minerals in North Vancouver, Canada for multi-element ICP analysis.</li> <li>Modern RC drill holes were sampled in their entirety. RC samples were collected from a riffle splitter in 1.52 m (5-foot) intervals and sent to ALS Minerals for multi-element ICP analysis.</li> </ul> <p><b>Geophysics and Geochemistry:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fixed Loop Electromagnetic (FLEM) surveys were completed by Initial Exploration Services, Canada.</li> <li>The FLEM surveys were completed using a Geonics TEM57 MK-2 transmitter with TEM67 boosters. An ARMIT Mk2.5 sensor and EMIT SMARTem 24 receiver were used to measure and collect vertical (Z) and horizontal (X and Y) components of the B-Field and its partial derivative dB/dt.</li> <li>The FLEM surveys were completed in conventional Fixed Loop (FLEM) configuration, with sensors placed both in and out of the loops.</li> <li>The Moving Loop Electromagnetic (MLEM) surveys were completed by Geophysique TMC, Canada.</li> <li>The 2023 MLEM surveys were completed using dual Crone PEM transmitters - 9.6kW. Crone surface coil sensors and CRONE CDR4 24 receivers were used to measure and collect vertical (Z) and horizontal (X and Y) components of the secondary field dB/dt.</li> <li>The 2024 MLEM surveys were completed using Phoenix TXU 30 - 12kW (~40A+ effective power) transmitters and EMIT SMARTem 24 receivers were used to measure and collect vertical (Z) and horizontal (X and Y) components of the B-Field and its partial derivative dB/dt.</li> <li>The MLEM surveys were completed using both an inloop and 'slingram' (MLEM) configuration, with sensors placed both in and out of each loop.</li> <li>The Loupe Electromagnetic (TDEM) surveys were completed by APEX Geoscience, Canada.</li> <li>The TDEM surveys were completed using an EMIT Loupe TDEM</li> </ul> |

| Criteria              | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary  |
|-----------------------|--|---|
|                       |  | <p>system and GEM GSM-19W Overhauser magnetometer.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Loupe system incorporates a 3-component coil sensor with 100kHz bandwidth and fast-switching transmitter loop.</li> <li>The TDEM surveys were completed using both a 'slingram' configuration, with the receiver trailing the transmitter by 10m.</li> <li>The ground gravity surveys were completed by Initial Exploration Services, Canada.</li> <li>The gravity surveys were completed using a Scintrex Autograv CG-6 gravity meter, and were completed along N-S orientated survey lines with a nominal 150m line spacing and 50m station spacing.</li> <li>Rock and gossan samples are collected from in-situ, or occasionally float, material at surface as determined by the sampling geologist. The sample weights range between 0.5-5kg and are collected in a marked calico bag for submission for assay.</li> </ul>  |
| Drilling techniques   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Historical diamond drilling was conducted using a Cominco Ltd. owned, heli-portable Boyles 25A rig with standard NQ diameter core tubing, or a Boyles 18A rig with standard BQ diameter core tubing. Drill core was not oriented.</li> <li>Modern diamond drilling was conducted with heli-portable rigs. The 2016 program was completed by Geotech Drilling Services Ltd. using a Hydracore 2000 rig with standard NQ diameter core tubing. The 2018, 2022, and 2023 programs were completed by Top Rank Diamond Drilling Ltd. using an Aston Bay owned Zinex A5 rig with standard NQ2 diameter core tubing (2018, 2022), and a Top Rank Discovery II rig with standard NQ2 diameter core tubing (2018, 2022, 2023). The modern drill core was not oriented.</li> <li>Modern RC drilling was completed by Northspan Explorations Ltd. with a heli-portable Multi-Power Products "Super Hornet" RC rig and 'Grasshopper' track mounted rigs utilizing two/three external compressors, each providing 300 cfm/200 psi air. The rig used a modern 3 1/2 inch face sampling hammer with 5-foot rod lengths, inner-tube assembly, and 3 1/2 inch string diameter.</li> </ul> |
| Drill sample recovery | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill core logs in 1997 recorded diamond core recovery as a percentage per hole. Recovery was generally good (&gt;95%).</li> <li>Drill core logs in 1999 and 2000 recorded diamond core recovery on three-metre intervals (a per-run basis), averaging 97% over the two</li> </ul>   |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|----------|--|--|
|          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>   | <p>programs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modern diamond core recovery and rock quality designation (RQD) information was recorded by geological staff on three-metre intervals (a per-run basis) for the 2016, 2018, 2022, and 2023 programs. Recoveries were determined by measuring the length of core recovered in each three-metre run. Overall, the diamond core was competent, and recovery was very good, averaging 97%.</li> <li>• Sample recovery and sample condition was noted and recorded for all RC drilling. Recovery estimates were qualitative and based on the relative size of the returned sample. Due to pervasive and deep permafrost, virtually no wet samples were returned and preferential sampling of fine vs. coarse material is considered negligible.</li> <li>• No relationship has been identified between sample recovery and grade in modern drilling and no sample bias is believed to exist. Good recoveries are generally maintained in areas of high-grade mineralisation.</li> </ul>   |
| Logging  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Historical and modern logging was both qualitative and quantitative, and all holes were logged in full.</li> <li>• Historical core logging comprised detailed geological descriptions including geological formation, lithology, texture, structure, and mineralisation. This data was transcribed and standardized to conform with modern logging codes for import into the Storm Copper geological database.</li> <li>• During the 2012-2013 resampling programs, select drillholes were re-logged with reference to the historical drilling records to establish continuity and conformity of geological assignation.</li> <li>• Modern diamond core logging was completed on-site and in detail for lithology, oxidation, texture, structure, mineralisation, and geotechnical data.</li> <li>• Modern RC holes were logged on a 5-foot basis (1.52 m) for lithology, oxidation, texture, structure and mineralisation.</li> <li>• All modern drillholes were logged in full by geologists from BHP Billiton, Aston Bay, or APEX Geoscience Ltd. (“APEX”), an independent geological consultancy.</li> <li>• High resolution wet and dry core and RC chip photos are available for all modern drillholes in full. Lower resolution core photos are</li> </ul> |

| Criteria                                       | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|--|--|--|
| Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></li> <li><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul> | <p>available for some historical holes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rock and gossan samples are recorded for lithology, location, type and nature of the sample. Portable XRF may be used to assist with sample selection.</li> </ul>   |
| Quality of assay data                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered</i></li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Details relating to sampling techniques employed by historical explorers, including quality control procedures, have not been preserved. It has been noted from examination of the historical core that half-core samples were taken. Samples were between 0.1 and 5.5 m in length and averaged 1.1 m. Holes were only sampled in areas of visible mineralisation.</li> <li>The 2012-2013 resampling program included samples 0.5-2.8 m in length (average 1.4 m) and included the insertion of QAQC samples such as standards and blanks. Where core was re-sampled from the historical assay intervals, quarter core was taken from the remaining half core. Where new samples were taken, half core was sampled.</li> <li>Modern core drilling samples were 0.3 to 3 m in length (average 1.4 m) and included the insertion of QAQC samples (~13%) including certified reference materials (standards), blanks, and field duplicates. Half core was sampled for most laboratory analyses, with quarter core used for duplicate samples. Quarter core was sampled for laboratory analysis in holes designated for metallurgical testing. The remaining three-quarter core was set aside for metallurgical testing. Drill core sample intervals were selected based on geological and/or mineralogical boundaries. Holes were sampled in areas of visible mineralisation, with modest shoulder samples above, below, and between mineralised zones.</li> <li>RC holes were sampled in full on nominal 1.52 m intervals in conjunction with the 5-foot drill rod lengths. The assay samples were collected as 12.5% sub-sample splits from a riffle splitter used for homogenisation. QAQC samples (~13%) were inserted using the same procedures as the modern core drilling.</li> <li>Sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent base metal sulphide mineralisation and associated geology based on the style and consistency of mineralisation, and sampling method.</li> <li>Historical core assays (1997 to 2000) were conducted at the Cominco Resource Laboratory in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. The</li> </ul> |

| Criteria                              | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| and laboratory tests                  | <p><i>partial or total.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li>• <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul> | <p>samples were analysed by ICP-AAS with 28-element return. QAQC procedures including the use of blank, standard, or duplicate samples were either not used or not available and have not been subsequently located.</p>   |
| Verification of sampling and assaying | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li>• <i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li>• <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li>• <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Significant intersections are verified by the Company's technical staff and a suitably qualified Competent Person.</li> <li>• Drill hole logs are inspected to verify the correlation of mineralised zones between assay results and pertinent lithology/alteration/mineralisation.</li> <li>• Drillhole data is logged into locked Excel logging templates and imported into the Storm Copper Project database for validation.</li> <li>• No twin holes were used, however, resampling of select historical holes was conducted in 2008 by Commander Resources Ltd. Six samples from five holes at Storm Copper were re-analysed, showing good agreement with copper results from the original analyses. The 2008 Commander results were not substituted for the historical</li> </ul> |

| Criteria                       | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
|                                |  | <p>results in the current MRE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Further resampling was conducted in 2012 and 2013 to confirm the historical reported mineralisation and fill sampling gaps in select holes. The resampled intervals were not directly replicated with certainty as there were no sample markers on the core; however, the 2012 results (grade over width) were found to be comparable to the reported historical data. In addition to re-sampling of mineralised core, previously unsampled core was sampled over select intervals to fill sampling gaps between mineralised zones, and in some cases as shoulder samples. The 2012 re-assay results were used in some places instead of historical results because of irregular gaps in the historical sampling sequences. Several of these intervals were included in the Storm Copper Project database used in the MRE.</li> <li>No adjustments were made to the historical assay data, other than described above with respect to the re-assay program.</li> </ul>  |
| <i>Location of data points</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Historical drill collars were recorded via handheld GPS in Universal Transverse Mercator ("UTM") coordinates referenced to NAD83 Zone 15N.</li> <li>No downhole survey data is available for the historical drilling.</li> <li>In 2012, over 60 historical Storm Copper drillhole collars were confirmed on the ground and recaptured via handheld Garmin GPS considered accurate to +/- 5 m.</li> <li>Modern drillholes, FLEM, MLEM, TDEM, gravity and rock/soil sampling were located using handheld Garmin GPS considered accurate to +/- 5 m. All coordinates were recorded in UTM coordinates referenced to WGS84 Zone 15N (and converted to NADS83).</li> <li>Topographic elevation control is provided by a digital terrain model included as a deliverable from an Airborne Gravity and Gradiometry survey flown in 2017.</li> <li>Modern drilling collected downhole multi-shot surveys with station captures at 100 m nominal intervals (2018) or continuous surveys with station captures at 5 m intervals (2022/2023). Core surveys were collected by north-seeking gyroscopic downhole tools (Reflex EZ Gyro or Gyro Sprint IQ). RC downhole surveys were collected using a referential downhole gyroscopic tool (SlimGyro) in conjunction with a north-seeking collar setup tool (Reflex TN14 Gyrocompass). The holes</li> </ul> |

| Criteria                             | JORC Code explanation   | Commentary   |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
|                                      |   | were largely straight with some expected minor deviation in the slim-line RC drillholes.   |
| <i>Data spacing and distribution</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recent drilling at the Storm Copper Project has generally conformed with historical drilling section lines. Drilling is spaced up to 50 m at Cyclone, up to 30 m at Chinook, and up to 100 m at Corona and Cirrus. The data distribution is considered sufficient to establish geological and grade continuity for estimation of Mineral Resources at Cyclone, Chinook, Corona, and Cirrus, in accordance with the 2012 JORC Code.</li> <li>• Developing prospects at Storm Copper (e.g. Cyclone North, Thunder, Lightning Ridge, The Gap) require additional drilling to produce the data spacing required to establish sufficient geological and grade continuity for a JORC compliant Mineral Resource Estimation. No Mineral Resources are estimated for these targets at this time.</li> <li>• Relevant drilling data was composited to 1.5 m lengths prior to Mineral Resource Estimation. A balanced compositing approach was used which allowed composite lengths of +/- 40% in an effort to minimize orphans.</li> <li>• The Storm FLEM loops were 1,000m by 1,000m, orientated to 0 degrees, and used stations spacings of 100m with 50m infills.</li> <li>• The 2023 Storm MLEM loops are 100m x 100m, surveying complete with a N-S line direction, with a line spacing of 100m and station spacings of 50m.</li> <li>• The 2024 Storm MLEM loops are 200m x 200m, surveying complete with a N-S line direction, with a line spacing of 200-400m and station spacings of 100m.</li> <li>• The Tempest TDEM surveys were completed with E-W lines with a 200m spacing, with 100m infills, and with a station spacing of 1.2m.</li> <li>• The gravity surveys were completed along N-S orientated survey lines with a nominal 150m line spacing and 50m station spacing</li> <li>• The gravity 3D inversion was completed using a 40 x 40 x 20 mesh in VOXI.</li> <li>• All rock samples are randomly collected and relate directly to the outcropping geology available for sampling.</li> </ul> |

| Criteria  | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary  |
|---|--|---|
| Orientation of data in relation to geological structure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> <li>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mineralisation at Storm strikes east-west and dips to the north at Cyclone, Chinook, Corona and Cirrus.</li> <li>Historical and modern drilling was primarily oriented to the north (000) or south (090) and designed to intersect approximately perpendicular to the mineralised trends. Holes were angled to achieve (where possible) a true-width intercept through the mineralised zones. Holes at Cyclone, Chinook and Corona were angled between -45 and -90 degrees. Holes at Cirrus were angled between -45 and -75 degrees. The orientation of key structures may be locally variable.</li> <li>Structural or mineralised geometries have not been confirmed at developing prospects (Thunder, Lightning Ridge, The Gap, Cyclone North), though exploration holes are angled based on estimations of stratigraphic orientation.</li> <li>No orientation-based sampling bias has been identified in the data to date.</li> </ul> |
| Sample security   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No details of measures to ensure sample security are available for the historical work.</li> <li>During the modern drilling and sampling programs, samples were placed directly into a labelled plastic sample bag and sealed along with a sample tag inscribed with the unique sample number. The plastic bags were placed in woven rice (poly) bags which were secured with numbered security cable ties for shipment to the laboratory. Chain of custody was tracked and maintained throughout the shipping process.</li> <li>Sample submissions with complete list of the included samples were emailed to the laboratory, where the sample counts and numbers were checked by laboratory staff.</li> </ul>  |
| Audits or reviews                                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No formal reviews or audits of the core sampling techniques or data were reported during the exploration by Cominco or Noranda.</li> <li>American West Metals, APEX, and the CP reviewed all available modern and historical data and sampling techniques to determine suitability for inclusion in the Mineral Resource Estimation.</li> <li>The work pertaining to this report has been carried out by reputable companies and laboratories using industry best practice and is considered suitable for use in the Mineral Resource Estimation.</li> </ul>   |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation | Commentary   |
|----------|-----------------------|--|
|          |                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A review of the FLEM, MLEM and gravity data was completed by Southern Geoscience Consultants (SGC) who considered the surveys to be effective for these styles of mineralisation.</li> <li>• The TDEM data was obtained and processed by APEX Geoscience Ltd as an independent contractor and was subject to internal review and interpretation.</li> </ul> |

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

| Criteria                                       | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|--|--|--|
| <i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li>• <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Aston Bay Property is located on northern Somerset Island, Nunavut, in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. The Property comprises 173 contiguous mineral claims covering a combined area of 219,256.7 hectares. The mineral claims are located on Crown land.</li> <li>• The Aston Bay Property includes the Storm Copper Project, Seal Zinc Project, and numerous regional prospects and targets.</li> <li>• The information in this release relates to mineral claims 100085, 100086, 100089 and 100090 within the Aston Bay Property.</li> <li>• All mineral claims are in good standing and held 100% by Aston Bay Holdings Ltd.</li> <li>• A portion of the Aston Bay Property, including the Storm Copper deposits, is subject to a 0.875% Gross Overriding Royalty held by Commander Resources Ltd. Aston Bay retains the option to buy down the royalty to 0.4% by making a one-time payment of CAD\$4 million to Commander.</li> <li>• On March 9, 2021, Aston Bay entered into an option agreement with American West Metals, and its wholly owned Canadian subsidiary Tornado Metals Ltd., pursuant to which American West was granted an option to earn an 80% undivided interest in the Aston Bay Property by spending a minimum of CAD\$10 million on qualifying exploration expenditures. The parties amended and restated the Option Agreement as of February 27, 2023, to facilitate American West potentially financing the expenditures through flow-through shares but did not change the commercial agreement between the parties. The expenditure requirements were completed during 2023</li> </ul> |

| Criteria  | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|---|--|--|
|   |  | <p>and American West exercised the option. American West and Aston Bay will form an 80/20 unincorporated joint venture and enter into a joint venture agreement. Under such agreement, Aston Bay shall have a free carried interest until American West has made a decision to mine upon completion of a bankable feasibility study, meaning American West will be solely responsible for funding the joint venture until such decision is made. After such decision is made, Aston Bay will be diluted in the event it does not elect to contribute its proportionate share and its interest in the Project will be converted into a 2% net smelter returns royalty if its interest is diluted to below 10%.</p>  |
| <p><i>Exploration done by other parties</i></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration work in the areas around the Aston Bay Property and the Storm Copper Project has been carried out intermittently since the 1960's. Most of the historical work at Storm was undertaken by, or on behalf of, Cominco Ltd. ("Cominco").</li> <li>From 1966 to 1993, exploration by Cominco, J.C. Sproule and Associates Ltd, and Esso Minerals consisted largely of geochemical sampling, prospecting, mapping and a radiometric survey for uranium mineralisation.</li> <li>In 1994-1996 Cominco conducted geological mapping, geochemical sampling, ground IP and gravity surveys, and drilling at the Seal Zinc Project.</li> <li>In 1996 Cominco geologists discovered large chalcocite boulders in Ivor Creek, about 20 km east of Aston Bay, subsequently named the 2750N zone (Chinook Deposit). Copper mineralisation identified over a 7 km structural trend in the Paleozoic dolostones were named the Storm Copper showings (4100N, 2750N, 2200N, and 3500N zones).</li> <li>In 1997, Sander Geophysics Ltd, on behalf of Cominco, conducted a high-resolution aeromagnetic survey over a 5,000 km<sup>2</sup> area of northern Somerset Island. A total of 89 line-km of IP and 71.75 line-km of HLEM surveys were completed, and 536 soil samples were collected at Storm Copper. Additionally, 17 diamond core holes totaling 2,784.5 m were completed at Storm Copper.</li> <li>In 1998 Cominco completed 44.5 line-km of IP and collected 2,054 surface samples (soil and base-of-slope samples) at Storm Copper.</li> <li>In 1999 Cominco completed 57.7 line-km of IP at Storm Copper. A total of 750 soil samples were collected on a grid in the Storm central</li> </ul> |

| Criteria | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|----------|--|--|
|          |  | <p>graben area. Cominco also drilled 41 diamond core holes totaling 4,593 m at Storm Copper.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In 2000, under an option agreement with Cominco, Noranda Inc flew a 3,260 line-km GEOTEM electromagnetic and magnetic airborne geophysical survey over the property, with follow-up ground UTEM, HLEM, magnetics and gravity surveys. Eleven diamond core holes, totaling 1,886 m were completed; eight of which were drilled at the current Storm Copper Project.</li> <li>• In 2001 Noranda Inc. completed drilling at the Seal Zinc Project.</li> <li>• In 2008 Commander Resources Ltd. completed ground truthing of the Cominco geological maps along with limited confirmation resampling at Storm and Seal.</li> <li>• In 2011 Geotech Ltd, on behalf of Commander, conducted a heliborne VTEM and aeromagnetic survey over the Storm Copper Project and Central Graben area.</li> <li>• In 2012-2013, Aston Bay Holdings completed desktop studies and review of the Commander and Cominco databases, along with ground truthing, re-sampling and re-logging operations.</li> <li>• In 2016, Aston Bay completed 12 diamond core holes totaling 1,951 m, which included the collection of downhole time domain EM surveys on five of the drillholes. Additionally, 2,026 surface geochemical samples were collected.</li> <li>• In 2017, Aston Bay contracted CGG Multi-Physics to fly a property-wide Falcon Plus airborne gravity gradiometry survey for 14,672 line-km.</li> <li>• In 2018 Aston Bay completed 13 diamond core holes totaling 3,138 m at the Storm and Seal Projects.</li> <li>• In 2021 Aston Bay entered into an option agreement with American West Metals Ltd. whereby American West could earn an 80% interest in the Aston Bay Property.</li> <li>• In 2021 Aston Bay and American West Metals completed a 94.4 line-km fixed loop, time domain EM ground survey at the Seal Zinc and Storm Copper Projects.</li> </ul> |
| Geology  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Aston Bay Property covers a portion of the Cornwallis Fold and Thrust Belt, which affected sediments of the Arctic Platform deposited on a stable, passive continental margin that existed from</li> </ul>  |

| Criteria               | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|------------------------|--|--|
|                        |  | <p>Late Proterozoic to Late Silurian.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Storm Copper Project, a collection of copper deposits (Cyclone, Chinook, Corona, and Cirrus) and other prospects/showings, is centered around faults that define an east-west trending Central Graben. The Central Graben locally juxtaposes the conformable Ordovician-Silurian Allen Bay Formation, the Silurian Cape Storm Formation and the Silurian Douro Formation.</li> <li>The Allen Bay Formation consists of buff dolostone with common chert nodules and vuggy crinoidal dolowackestone. The Cape Storm Formation consists of light grey platy dolostone with argillaceous interbeds. The Douro Formation consists of dark green nodular argillaceous fossiliferous limestone.</li> <li>The Storm Copper deposits all lie within the upper 80 m of the Allen Bay Formation and to a lesser extent in the basal Cape Storm Formation. The development of the Central Graben was likely a principal control on the migration of mineralising fluids, and the relatively impermeable and ductile Cape Storm Formation acted as a footwall “cap” for the fluids.</li> <li>The Storm Copper deposit sulphide mineralisation is most commonly hosted within structurally prepared ground, infilling fractures and a variety of breccias including crackle breccias, and lesser in-situ replacement and dissolution breccias. Chalcocite is the most common copper mineral, with lesser chalcopyrite, and bornite, and accessory cuprite, covellite, azurite, malachite, and native copper.</li> <li>Storm Copper is interpreted to be a sediment-hosted stratiform copper sulphide deposit and can be broadly compared to Kupferschiefer and Kipushi type deposits.</li> </ul> |
| Drill hole Information | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li><i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li><i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li><i>down hole length and interception depth</i></li> <li><i>hole length.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All historical and modern drill holes and significant intercepts were independently compiled by APEX for use in the MRE.</li> <li>Supporting drill hole information (easting, northing, elevation, dip, azimuth, hole length, significant intercepts) are included in Appendix B of the release.</li> <li>Significant intercepts relating to the Storm Copper Project have been described in previous publicly available announcements, releases, and reports.</li> </ul>   |

| Criteria   | JORC Code explanation  | Commentary   |
|--|--|--|
|  | <p><i>information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></p>  |  |
| Data aggregation methods   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></li> <li><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Length weighted averaging was applied to the reported drillhole intersection grades.</li> <li>All drill assay results used in the calculation of this MRE are understood to have been previously reported and published in relevant announcements, releases, and reports. No new drilling results are being reported with this release.</li> <li>No metal equivalent values are used.</li> </ul>  |
| Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Based on extensive drilling at the Storm Copper Project, mineralisation strikes roughly east-west at all prospects, and dips shallowly to the north (<math>&lt;10^\circ</math>) at Cyclone, Corona, and Cirrus. Mineralisation at Chinook is vertically plumbed, showing multiple fault structures, and has a steeper dip (<math>\sim 40^\circ</math>).</li> <li>Historical and modern drilling was oriented to the north or south, designed to intersect approximately perpendicular to the trends described above. Holes were angled to achieve (where possible) a true-width intercept through the mineralised zones.</li> <li>Structural or mineralised geometries have not been confirmed at developing prospects (Thunder, Lightning Ridge, the Gap, Cyclone North), though exploration holes are angled based on estimations of stratigraphic orientation.</li> <li>Any drillhole intersections are reported as downhole lengths and are not necessarily considered to be representative of true widths. Significant intercepts relating to the Storm Copper Project have been described in previous announcements, releases, and reports. These documents present detailed information related to mineralised intercepts and include representative drill hole cross sections and related maps showing the distribution of significant mineralisation.</li> </ul> |
| Diagrams   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of</i></li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant intercepts relating to the Storm Copper Project have been described in previous announcements, releases, and reports.</li> <li>Appropriate location and layout maps, along with cross sections and</li> </ul>   |

| Criteria                                  | JORC Code explanation   | Commentary  |
|---|---|---|
|   | <p><i>drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>  | <p>diagrams illustrating the mineralisation wireframes are included in the body of the release.</p>   |
| <i>Balanced reporting</i>                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All drill assay results used in the estimation of this Mineral Resource have been sourced from data compiled by the previous explorers listed above, or from information published in previous announcements, releases, and reports.</li> <li>All material exploration results have been reported.</li> </ul>  |
| <i>Other substantive exploration data</i> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All material data has been reported.</li> </ul>  |
| <i>Further work</i>                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>                                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional drilling is planned to extend mineralisation beyond the major zones outlined by the current Mineral Resource Estimation, including work at Thunder, Lightning Ridge, the Gap, and Cyclone North.</li> <li>Technical reporting on the resource modelling and estimation using recent and historical drill hole data is currently underway.</li> <li>Further activities are being planned to explore for and identify new targets and high-priority exploration areas within the Storm Copper Project.</li> </ul> |