

Uranium confirmed at Orroroo Project

Date: 27th February 2023

ASX Code: NFL

Capital Structure

Ordinary Shares: 33,000,000
Unlisted Options: 9,490,000
Performance Shares: 1,400,000
Current Share Price: 18.0c
Market Capitalisation: \$5.94m
Cash: \$3.73m (Dec 22 Quarter)
Debt: Nil

Directors

Ben Phillips
Executive Chairman

Leo Pilapil
Technical Director

Patrick Holywell
Non-Executive Director

Arron Canicais
Company Secretary

Contact Details

Suite 1
295 Rokeby Road
Subiaco WA 6008

Phone: +61 8 6555 2950

norfolkmetals.com.au

- **Norfolk Metals Limited succeeds in confirming uranium occurrences at three targets tested** (via PFN or Spectral Gamma) in the Walloway Basin providing important proof of concept for the prospectivity of the Walloway Basin for sediment hosted uranium deposits.
- These early results are considered significant and demonstrate the potential to **unlock a new uranium province in South Australia**, a leading jurisdiction for the discovery and development of world-class sandstone hosted uranium deposits (**e.g. Beverley, Four Mile and Honeymoon**).
- The downhole geophysical survey in historical exploration wells (undertaken by Linc Energy) returned a peak uranium reading of **650ppm pU₃O₈ within an interval of 192ppm pU₃O₈ over 0.5m from 112.59m** via PFN survey in well 7P3.
- Depths of confirmed uranium occurrences at **100-113m are potentially suitable for proven in-situ recovery methods¹**.
- Confirmed **uranium occurrences are located over 5km apart with remainder of the basin untested for uranium** (well 15P1 to 7P3). The Company's previous understanding of the extent of uranium occurrences, is limited to historical drilling by Linc Energy.
- The Walloway Basin has never been explored for uranium despite being **the same age as sediments of the Frome Embayment which is host to Beverley, Four Mile and Honeymoon uranium resources**.
- Norfolk Metals is a **first-mover in the Walloway Basin and controls 100% interest** in two exploration licences covering 659 square kilometres.
- The Company plans to **accelerate exploration to a campaign of geophysics and roadside drilling** to rapidly follow up on these initial results and target potential roll-front style uranium mineralisation.

*Commenting on Orroroo results, Executive Chairman Ben Phillips states: "We are excited by the results of the downhole geophysical survey and cannot understate the implications this has for the potential of a greenfields discovery in the Walloway basin. Typically, sandstone uranium mineralisation is hosted in flat and planar tabular bodies close to surface, and as a consequence, **the best discoveries typically occur early in the life cycle of defining a new fertile sedimentary basin**. We have rapidly and successfully confirmed the potential of the Walloway via the novel*

¹Per page 16 of CSA Global's ISR Project presentation located at: https://www.csaglobal.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/ATA-2017_ISR-Projects-Issues-and-Potential_Maxim-Seredkin_May_2017.pdf

approach of reviewing gamma anomalies in historical wells drilled by the oil and gas industry, and overlooked by the minerals industry. Norfolk have 100% ownership of a very large basin area in Australia's leading uranium resource state. Norfolk has presented favourable uranium occurrences at depths potentially suitable for in-situ extraction technology. We look forward to working with our stakeholders to accelerate our exploration efforts, leveraging modern geophysics for rapid delineation of potential paleochannels followed by high-impact drilling."




Figure 1. Norfolk 100% owned EL6552 and EL6814 overlaid on the Walloway Basin sediments showing the initial target area, Linc Energy historical wells and recently confirmed uranium occurrences. The legend also shows the surface footprint of three (3) resources from the Frome Embayment at the same scale as the Walloway Basin e.g. the length of Honeymoon versus Norfolk's Priority Target Area. This image will assist in giving context to the scale of this opportunity to define a new uranium province of which Norfolk owns 100%.

For personal use only

Orroroo Exploration

Downhole Geophysical Survey Summary

Norfolk is pleased to report the downhole geophysical survey conducted at the Orroroo Project has been completed. Spectral Gamma and Prompt Fission Neutron (PFN) well logs recorded during the survey will be utilised for further exploration planning; however, at this stage the program is considered successful having confirmed the following;

1. Uranium occurrences in all three target zones (wells) of which the depths are potentially suitable for proven in-situ recovery methods (refer to reference 1. on page 1 of this announcement)
2. Uranium peak reported at 650ppm pU_3O_8 within an interval of 192ppm pU_3O_8 over 0.5m from 112.59m via PFN in well 7P3; and,
3. Uranium recorded from this survey at expected target depths obtained from historical holes supports the proposed "oxidised tails or interface zones" of roll-front uranium style mineralisation theory proposed by the Norfolk geology team.

Project	Hole ID	Drilling Method	Easting (mE)	Northing (mN)	RL (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (°)	Depth (m)
Orroroo	7P3	Rotary	279119	6388670	368	-90	0	190
Orroroo	15P1	Rotary	276695	6382334	382	-90	0	194
Orroroo	9P3-2	Rotary	275794	6386118	398	-90	0	283

Table 1. Survey Hole ID's undertaken at historical drill holes showing historical drill hole information and status (GDA94/Zone54 Datum)

It is worth referring to the below excerpt from the company's recent announcement on the 17th of February 2023 in relation to the intention and expectation of the program which has exceeded expectations.

*"The purpose of the geophysical program is two-fold: firstly, **the program will provide direct detection of uranium in the host rock environment** and determine the source of gamma anomalies in historical Linc Energy wells (e.g. Orroroo target wells 7 and 15). Secondly, step-out wells are prioritised to infill the survey grid to less than 3 kilometre spacing which is considered appropriate in the reconnaissance stage of exploration for defining the surface footprint of known sandstone-hosted uranium deposits. At this spacing, **minor downhole intervals of elevated uranium will be highly encouraging** and be the impetus for further downhole geophysics and infill drilling."*

The potential of the Walloway Basin to contain uranium mineralization has been confirmed. The geological team at Norfolk are working to contract the most suitable geophysical method to delineate the paleo channels within the Walloway basin. Current geophysical considerations include but are not limited to gravity, passive seismic and ground penetrating radar. Once defined; the paleo channels will enable a maiden drill program to be executed with a focus on quickly accessible and cheaper roadside drilling in the early stages.

For personal use only




Figure 2. South Australia Uranium Districts showing Norfolk Orroroo Project and known uranium deposits

Background and Initial Studies

The Walloway Basin was initially identified as a basin of interest after analysing underexplored basins for time equivalent depositional environments; the basin is located adjacent to the highly uranium fertile Frome Embayment. The Walloway Basin was under explored until 2009, when Linc Energy drilled a series of wells, targeting coal-seam gas. Linc Energy were unsuccessful in discovering commercial hydrocarbons during this campaign. However, the now publicly available wireline logs contained five (5) wells with significant gamma anomalies, above background gamma radiation, in the top 150m. The gamma anomalies straddled lithological boundaries. Gamma peaks exhibited geometries that are consistent with roll-front, sandstone hosted uranium occurrences.

For personal use only

The following is a **brief summary of key uranium elements** in the Walloway Basin:

- **Reservoir** – Tertiary aged fluvio-lacustrine sedimentary packages, including riverine sand packages.
- **Seal** – Fining upwards sequences and channel abandonments, as well as flood plains and lacustrine mudstones are present and are excellent seals.
- **Trap** – Uranium mineralisation may rely on chemical traps by requiring reducing materials, such as lignite, sulphides (pyrite), and possibly small amounts of organic and inorganic gases.
- **Source and migration** – This was the **key risk** element for the Walloway Basin. There have been no drillhole penetrations of granites. However, the Adelaide Geosyncline and surrounding areas has many proven granitoid bodies with elevated uranium concentrations. Possible sources could be buried under the basin, with faults acting as conduits, or sediments in the basin were sourced from granitoids (provenance). This is supported by the evidence of quartzose sands.

Importantly, there are many time-equivalent analogues in near-by basins. These include, but are not limited to, the producing Beverley / Four Mile Uranium Projects, in the northern portion of the fold belt, the **Honeymoon Uranium Project** to the east, the Curnamona Province with many discovered uranium occurrences and the Sapphire Uranium Project, west of the Adelaide Fold Belt.

Testing Methods

Norfolk Metals was able to take advantage of the Orroroo series Linc Energy wells, which were cased and completed as water wells. This was attractive for uranium exploration, as it negated the need to redrill, thus significantly reducing costs. Five (5) wells were elected for survey and with three (3) wells entered this reconnaissance logging program; Orroroo 7P3, Orroroo 9P3 and Orroroo 15P1. Geosensor Wireline, a local wireline logging company, were able to source PFN and Spectral Gamma tools with a qualified licenced operator. **PFN's are the only in situ logging tool that can assay uranium directly.** Gamma based tools only measure gamma radiation emitted from daughter elements of uranium.

APPENDIX

Results

It is noted that when re-entering existing agricultural water wells with casings of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and concrete over 10 years old there are obstacles in relation to accessing the well, the well head pressure and potential fluctuating bore hole parameters. The outcomes of the survey conducted are limited to the access of the wells at the time of survey and therefore not all wells were able to be accessed or assessed with exactly the same procedures and tools. It is important to note that any geophysical survey tool is not as accurate as fresh rock geochemical analysis from a Certified laboratory.

Well 7P3

- Well targeted zone successfully logged with PFN
- The PFN tool reported a peak of 650ppm pU_3O_8 within an interval of 192ppm pU_3O_8 over 0.5m from 112.59m
- **Epithermal neutrons detected by the PFN only come from the fission of the U235 atoms, therefore proving uranium presence**
- For a more accurate assessment of uranium concentration at the location of 7P3; a follow up drill program and logging with PFN in an uncased bore along with geochemical analysis is required.

	From (mMD)	To (mMD)	Thickness (m)	pU_3O_8 PFN (ppm)
Highest Reading	112.97	112.99	0.02	650
Average Interval Reading	112.59	113.09	0.50	192

Well 15P1

- Well targeted zone logged with Spectral Gamma and PFN
- The Spectral Gamma tool provided reading of pU_3O_8 up to 53ppm at 102.35m MD
- The PFN log was not successful, possibly due to direct result of neutron attenuation by the PVC casing, or tool failure itself.
- This zone has possibly been re-oxidised whereby immobile daughter products have been left behind as mobile uranium migrates.
- The survey of this well is a qualitative assessment only that uranium is present. Additional exploration work will be needed to define accurate grade.

For personal use only

For personal use only




Figure 3. Spectral Gamma log of 15P1

Well 9P3

Well 9P3 targeted zone was logged with Spectral Gamma only. The peak reading of the Spectral Gamma log was recorded as 38ppm pU₃O₈ at 147.88m depth. Similar to well 15P1 the survey of this well is a qualitative assessment that uranium is present and only additional exploration will define accurate grade.




Figure 4. Spectral Gamma log of 9P3

Summary

This reconnaissance programme was a technical success in proving uranium occurrences in the Walloway Basin. Norfolk Metals has discovered an area previously overlooked for uranium with a robust concept, and near-by analogues in adjacent time-equivalent basins, all flanking the Adelaide Fold Belt (Mount Lofty Ranges, Flinders Ranges and Gammon Ranges). Direct Uranium Indicators have been discovered, proven by epithermal neutron detected by the PFN tool from the activation of fissile U235 atoms. Further work needs to be done to quantify accurate uranium concentrations, in situ.

Reported Survey Data
Well 7P3

DEPT[M]	GR_PFN	PFN U308
112.59	16.0606	399.695
112.61	14.55	400.153
112.63	18.29	99.9618
112.65	23.045	150
112.67	23.18	50.0381
112.69	22.5002	0
112.71	26.365	0
112.73	29.5252	0
112.75	27.0242	0
112.77	26.63	49.9618
112.79	29.565	150
112.81	28.351	100.076
112.83	29.7915	0
112.85	30.355	199.924
112.87	28.6957	300
112.89	27.9391	200
112.91	29.63	100.114
112.93	27.99	249.905
112.95	25.1339	350.114
112.97	25.0889	399.924
112.99	24.3	649.981
113.01	23.7139	350
113.03	20.4548	149.886
113.05	20.2252	150.057
113.07	20.875	249.905
113.09	22.0147	250.191

For personal use only

Well 15P1

DEPT[M]	K40	U238	TH232	GR_SGR	SGR U308
102.147	1.4181	18.4474	43.4964	485.35	21.7187
102.168	1.26463	21.2983	43.0717	511.363	25.0752
102.188	1.11121	24.1482	42.6472	537.367	28.4304
102.208	1.24366	27.0108	41.1741	558.555	31.8006
102.228	1.40083	29.8733	39.6108	579.318	35.1707
102.247	1.55063	33.0664	36.6948	598.302	38.9301
102.268	1.6903	36.7182	31.9066	614.832	43.2295
102.287	1.82991	40.3686	27.1203	631.356	47.5272
102.308	1.53005	42.3333	28.7088	641.668	49.8403
102.327	1.19146	44.1481	30.8603	651.429	51.9769
102.348	1.08214	45.0991	31.6752	656.75	53.0966
102.368	1.29114	44.8503	30.6339	655.902	52.8037
102.388	1.50022	44.6015	29.5923	655.055	52.5107
102.408	1.39165	44.5977	29.2345	651.544	52.5062
102.427	1.2547	44.6158	28.9377	647.796	52.5275
102.448	1.10177	44.2869	29.7272	642.738	52.1403
102.467	0.926676	43.4746	32.031	635.86	51.1839
102.488	0.75152	42.6619	34.3356	628.978	50.2272
102.507	0.727165	42.2226	33.0205	620.961	49.71
102.528	0.71648	41.817	31.3765	612.837	49.2324
102.548	0.698579	41.0985	31.4366	602.742	48.3865
102.568	0.67056	39.9411	33.8828	589.879	47.0238
102.588	0.642552	38.7842	36.3282	577.02	45.6618
102.607	0.697307	37.5264	36.4634	561.754	44.1809
102.628	0.759708	36.2588	36.3858	546.261	42.6886
102.647	0.762672	35.0147	35.7846	527.206	41.2239
102.668	0.682023	33.8026	34.4459	503.12	39.7969
102.688	0.601405	32.591	33.1078	479.043	38.3704
102.708	0.626483	30.3661	33.2743	454.968	35.751
102.728	0.661423	28.0476	33.5812	430.902	33.0212
102.747	0.713708	25.3622	33.9848	406.576	29.8597
102.768	0.790538	22.1572	34.5252	381.871	26.0863
102.787	0.867339	18.9534	35.0654	357.175	22.3144

For personal use only

Well 9P3

DEPT[M]	K40	U238	TH232	GR_SGR	SGR U3O8
147.74	0.60527	20.1077	53.4896	241.85	23.5244
147.76	0.890946	20.8388	55.1649	248.877	24.3844
147.78	1.17662	21.5699	56.8401	255.48	25.2452
147.8	1.14262	23.6758	56.8536	266.711	27.3066
147.82	0.953809	26.4461	56.0622	284.213	30.5681
147.84	0.796363	28.9913	55.3652	301.728	33.8346
147.86	0.789371	30.4521	55.1222	313.167	35.5531
147.88	0.782384	31.9117	54.8793	324.101	37.2715
147.9	0.988353	32.0982	55.5494	327.55	37.8788
147.92	1.2979	31.6649	56.6638	320.885	37.3685
147.94	1.57414	31.2553	57.7471	314.221	36.8582
147.96	1.69119	30.9592	58.6818	315.269	36.5098
147.98	1.80833	30.663	59.6171	316.928	36.1611
148	2.00873	29.185	61.1608	317.367	34.7803
148.02	2.24948	27.1342	62.9993	316.176	32.3658
148.04	2.52566	25.0052	64.823	314.985	29.9513
148.06	2.97205	22.4939	66.5845	307.752	26.9969
148.08	3.41844	19.9826	68.3459	300.052	24.0403
148.1	3.66847	18.2007	69.7929	294.55	21.721
148.12	3.8235	16.7707	71.089	291.953	20.0375
148.14	3.90168	15.8803	71.5668	289.356	18.3526
148.16	3.61295	17.5665	68.1376	287.759	20.3369
148.18	3.32443	19.2514	64.711	286.239	22.3212
148.2	3.18034	21.1071	60.7785	290.453	24.4546
148.22	3.10661	23.0454	56.6011	302.243	26.7358
148.24	3.01867	25.0292	52.1841	314.023	29.0171
148.26	2.86253	27.2393	46.6044	304.98	31.6171
148.28	2.70639	29.4494	41.0246	294.419	34.2192
148.3	2.37208	30.4521	40.9303	287.967	35.7667
148.32	1.95174	30.8693	43.4929	286.89	36.2579
148.34	1.58669	31.144	46.0943	285.812	36.7498
148.36	1.4872	30.7367	48.872	303.382	36.2706
148.38	1.38778	30.3297	51.6476	322.247	35.7915
148.4	1.51126	29.7414	54.1433	332.661	35.1538
148.42	1.7432	29.0651	56.502	332.072	34.3574
148.44	1.94742	28.2996	59.207	331.483	33.5609
148.46	2.01928	27.1089	63.5626	326.996	32.1595
148.48	2.0912	25.9173	67.9215	322.239	30.757
148.5	2.33979	25.4707	68.4529	319.362	30.0049
148.52	2.67402	25.3852	67.129	318.905	29.9042

For personal use only

148.54	3.01529	25.125	65.9833	318.448	29.8035
148.56	3.3916	24.0304	65.6821	315.808	28.5158
148.58	3.76792	22.9357	65.381	313.022	27.2271
148.6	3.63193	22.4696	65.4725	311.68	26.4873
148.62	3.24718	22.308	65.7546	312.182	26.2969
148.64	2.90458	21.9645	66.1138	312.683	26.1065
148.66	2.76326	20.7527	66.841	295.897	24.6804
148.68	2.62205	19.5418	67.5676	278.015	23.2544
148.7	2.6283	18.5537	66.8775	265.032	22.0232
148.72	2.70632	17.6745	65.4982	258.297	20.9885

Roger River Update

Norfolk Metals intends to commence the now approved additional geochemistry and IP survey within EL20/2020. The final assay results from 22RRD-001 and 22RRD-003 will be reported when the Company has received and interpreted all assays from the laboratory which is expected within March 2023.

END

This announcement has been authorized by the board of directors of Norfolk.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results for the Orroroo Uranium Project, is based on, and fairly represents, information and supporting documentation prepared by Mr Leo Pilapil, a competent person who is a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Pilapil has a minimum of five years' experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a competent person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Pilapil is a related party of the Company, being the Technical Director, and holds securities in the Company. Mr Pilapil has consented to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results which have been previously reported are extracted from ASX announcements made by NFL on 18 March 2022 and 17 November 2022 which are available to view of the Company's website: www.norfolkmetals.com.au. NFL confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement. NFL confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

For personal use only

About Norfolk Metals

The Roger River Project comprises two granted exploration licenses, EL20/2020, and EL17/2021, which together cover 261km², located 410km northwest of the capital city of Hobart, Tasmania. The Project is prospective for gold and copper as indicated by the intense silicification, argillisation and diatreme breccias in close proximity to the Roger River Fault along with carbonate-rich host rocks.

The Orroroo Uranium Project comprises two granted exploration licenses, EL6552, and EL6814, which together cover 659km², located approximately 274km northwest of the capital city of Adelaide, South Australia within the Walloway Basin, which is an elongate Tertiary Basin approximately 50km long and up to 15km wide. It consists of Tertiary and Quaternary sediments unconformably underlain by Adelaidian basement.

For further information please visit www.norfolkmetals.com.au.

For personal use only

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Report Template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <p>Historical Linc Energy holes lined with PVC casing and currently used as water wells were used in the geophysical investigation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill hole vary in size from open hole 14" to 8 1/2". Downhole wireline geophysical logging using Spectral Gamma (QL40-SGR2G) and Prompt Fission Neutron (PFN - ThermoFisher 14 MeV neutron generator tube) tools were used to calculate pU3O8 from the ratio of epithermal and thermal neutrons. The PFN used in this program was calibrated using Industry Standard procedures at the P22 Glenside Calibration Facility (Adelaide).
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <p>Linc Energy (Historical)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The drilling was conducted by Thompson Drilling Company using the Bourne 1250 drill rig. The holes were rotary mud open holes using Drag Bit and Step Blade (14" to 8 1/2"). All holes were vertical.

personal use only

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Downhole caliper logs were not collected during this geophysical survey. Historical Linc Energy holes are grouted and cased with PVC.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No mud or chip samples were collected during this survey <p>Linc Energy (historical)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chip/mud samples were collected 2m in non-target areas and then 1m in the zones of interest (i.e. the target Kanaka Beds). All samples are geologically logged compliant with industry standards which included lithology, mineralogy, grain size/rounding/sorting, colour, All samples were photographed using a high-resolution camera.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The depth of investigation of the PFN tool approximately 25-40 cm radius around the borehole to allow for accurate measurement of the ratio of epithermal/thermal neutrons for pU3O8 calculations. QA/QC of pU3O8 data included repeatability checks and to ensure sufficient assay data in the target zone to compare/calibrate PFN data.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Neutrons are emitted from the logging tool by a pulsed neutron generator tube. The number of neutrons detected is proportional to the amount of Uranium in the formation. ○ pU3O8 grade measured by the PFN tool is determined by plotting a calibration curve from results recorded in three pits of known grade. ○ PFN pU3O8 grade = slope x (epithermal neutrons/thermal neutrons ratio) + offset x Bore Hole Correction Factor
<p>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <p>Two geophysical tools were used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prompt Fission Neutron Tool (PFN) manufactured by Geoinstruments Inc, Nacogdoches, Texas, Instruments And Articles UN2911 under Colorado Device Registry CO-1012-D-101-S. Neutron generator 78-80kV, logging at 0.5m/minute across the target zoe. • Spectral Gamma Ray QL40-SGR2G. The Full Spectrum Analysis (FSA) is developed by Medusa Systems BV in collaboration with the Nuclear Physics Institute of the University of Groningen (Netherlands). The sensor is a Scintillation crystal BGO (Bismuth Germanium Oxide). Logging Speed is 2m/minute.
<p>Verification of sampling and assaying</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The PFN was used to measure total Gamma log, which independently confirmed the anomalous zone obtained from the Spectral Gamma tool.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <p>Linc Energy (Historical)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two x Leica SR 530 Dual Frequency GPS sensors were used to collect data and processing was done using Leica Geo Office software. Height Datum was taken from Bench mark 6632/ 1059 (BM 3526) with a check taken on Bench mark 6632/ 1057 (BM 877). Auspos post processing was used as a second check and for accurate coordinates.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill spacings chosen for the investigation were up to kms apart (see Figure 1 of the Announcement). Intercepts from the geophysics tools have been reported for intervals >0.02m downhole thickness with an average of >100ppm pU308 for the PFN tool and an average of >20ppm pU308 for the Spectral Gamma tool. The same parameters have been applied for the aggregate intercepts. Internal dilution of continuous zero readings no greater than 0.1m in downhole length has been included in the composite calculations.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The mineralisation is interpreted to be contained in horizontal to sub-horizontal sequence of sediments and underlying weathered granite. This interpretation is derived from the significant historic drilling and geological interpretation of the area.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All drillholes are vertical which is appropriate for the orientation of the mineralisation.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project: Linc Energy (Historical)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representative rotary mud/chip samples are stored in the South Australia Tonsley core storage facility.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data collected and analytical results of the geophysics survey were reviewed by the Managing Director of Geosensor Wireline and company's Uranium Consulting Geologists.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Orroroo Project is located on exploration licenses EL6552 and EL6814 which are held 100% by Norfolk Continual engagement with the Department of Mining and Energy in South Australia, local heritage groups and stake holders is required and overseen by Norfolk contract geologist.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Linc Energy drilled a series of wells exploring for coal and gas in the Walloway Basin (EL6552). The company used downhole wireline gamma spectrometry to determine

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<p>locations of possible hydrocarbon traps.</p> <p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walloway Basin, which is an elongate Tertiary Basin approximately 50km long and up to 15km wide. It consists of Tertiary and Quaternary sediments unconformably underlain by Adelaiddian basement. • Within the Tertiary two lithological units have been recognised, a lower interbedded fluvial sand, silt and clay, and an upper, more extensive and continuous lacustrine unit of grey, brown and black clay. Both consist of unconsolidated sediments and multiple aquifers, one of which may be artesian. The lower unit contains a known coal seam (Walloway Seam) of Lignite B rank. • The Walloway basin has no known uranium source defined in the nearby ranges and outcrops. However, the Walloway basin is underlain by granitic basement rocks which could possibly be the source of mobilized uranium.
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> ○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> ○ <i>hole length.</i> • <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should</i> 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Historical drill hole information used in the geophysics survey is shown in Table 1 of this announcement.

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	clearly explain why this is the case.	
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intercepts from the geophysics tools have been reported for intervals >0.02m downhole thickness with an average of >100ppm pU308 for the PFN tool and an average of >20ppm pU308 for the Spectral Gamma tool. The same parameters have been applied for the aggregate intercepts. Internal dilution of continuous zero readings no greater than 0.1m in downhole length has been included in the composite calculations. The pU308 readings from the PFN and Spectrum Gamma tools have been included in the Appendix of the announcement.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For the historical Linc Energy drilling, no drilling intercepts reported For the PFN and Spectral Gamma surveys, the uranium occurrence widths are considered close to true widths due to the generally flat lying orientation of the mineralisation and the use of perpendicular vertical drilling
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill hole locations regarding the geophysics survey is shown in Figure 1 of this announcement.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practised to avoid misleading reporting 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The accompanying document is a balanced report with

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	of Exploration Results.	a suitable cautionary note.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All meaningful information provided.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	<p>Orroroo Uranium Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further geophysics investigation will map out the paleochannels possibly containing uranium mineralization. Subsequent drilling will test for possible uranium 'roll front' mineralization.