

DRILLING UPDATE, MESETA GOLD PROSPECT, LINDEROS PROJECT

Titan Minerals Limited (**Titan** or the **Company**) (**ASX:TTM**) is pleased to provide an update on the Company's maiden drilling campaign at the Meseta Gold prospect at the Linderos Project in southern Ecuador.

Key Highlights include:

- **Fourteen diamond holes completed at Meseta Gold prospect**
- **Multiple narrow high-sulphidation pyrite-sphalerite-arsenopyrite±galena, massive sulphide veins intersected**
- **Diamond drill rig has been deployed to Copper Ridge prospect to expedite deep diamond drill testing of porphyry targets**

Titan's Executive Director and CEO Matthew Carr commented:

"While awaiting results from initial holes drilled at Meseta, the rig has been deployed to Copper Ridge, so we now have two diamond rigs on site to expedite drill testing of compelling porphyry target at Copper Ridge."

"We are very pleased with the progress to date, the drilling is running smoothly, and we are approximately two thirds of the way through our initial drilling program at Meseta."

The Company looks forward to providing further updates as they come to hand

Meseta Gold Prospect – Progress Update

The Company has now completed fourteen diamond drill holes to an average depth of 90 metres at the Meseta Gold prospect (Figures 1 and 2). Pleasingly, multiple, narrow high-sulphidation pyrite-sphalerite-arsenopyrite±galena, massive sulphide veins have been intersected by Titan's maiden drilling.

Lithologies observed in drilling include:

- Andesite (Celica Formation): greenish lava flow, porphyritic texture, phenocrystals of plagioclase and hornblende in a microcrystalline groundmass.
- Siltstone (Zapotillo Formation): greyish coloured, parallel lamination
- Granodiorite: as in Copper Ridge this lithology outcrops at Meseta
- Quartz diorite: light grey coloured, medium grained equigranular texture
- Phreatic breccia: polymictic, poorly sorted, angular to subangular fragments, 5 to 100mm fragment size, clast supported breccia
- Hydrothermal injection breccia: monomictic, cement supported, with subangular 3 to 10mm fragments of quartz-diorite cemented by sulphides predominately by pyrite, arsenopyrite and minor galena and sphalerite)

Most of the drilling at Meseta shows pervasive phyllic (quartz-paragonite±pyrite), grading to intermediate argillic (paragonite-illite) alteration. To the east, within the andesites, the intermediate argillic alteration includes chlorite in the alteration mineral assemblage.

Mineralisation in veins occurs as massive pyrite, arsenopyrite, with minor galena and sphalerite. Vein thicknesses range from 30 to 80cm with an average of 60cm observed in drill core. Visual estimates of sulphide minerals in veins range from 2 to 80% pyrite, 5 to 80% arsenopyrite, 0.5 to 10% pyrrhotite, lesser amounts of 0.5 to 2% galena and chalcopyrite.

Wall rock mineralisation includes disseminated sulphides, with visual estimates ranging from 1 to 20% pyrite, 0.5 to 80% arsenopyrite, 1 to 5% sphalerite, several zones of 0.5 to 10% disseminated pyrrhotite, and isolated intervals of 0.5 to 1% galena, and 0.5 to 2% chalcopyrite.

The below table outlines sulphide mineralogy abundances as observed by Titan's geologists.

Table 1. Summary of Sulphide Minerals Identified in Drilling at the Meseta Gold prospect

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Py	Aspy	Sph	Po	Ga	Cpy	Mineralisation Description
MGDD22-001	22.50	41.54	19.04	3-4%						Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-001	41.54	55.00	13.46	5-6%	5-10%			1-2%		Several veins of massive and disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-001	55.00	88.78	33.78	3-4%	0.5-1%	1-2%				Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-002	32.05	45.55	13.05	4-8%						Disseminated > veinlets sulphides
MGDD22-002	45.55	46.68	1.13	6-8%	5-6%					Hydrothermal Breccia
MGDD22-002	46.68	77.05	30.37	4-8%						Disseminated > veinlets sulphides
MGDD22-003	15.70	36.54	20.84	1-2%						Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-003	36.54	37.35	0.81	15-20%	75-80%					Vein of sulphides
MGDD22-003	37.35	71.85	34.50	4-8%						Disseminated and veinlets of sulphides
MGDD22-003	71.85	202.34	130.49	1-2%						Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-004	5.84	40.18	34.34	2-3%						Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-004	40.18	40.70	0.52	3-5%	4-6%	1-2%			0.5-1%	Vein of sulphides
MGDD22-004	40.70	159.20	118.50	2-4%						Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-005	19.65	57.00	37.35	2-4%						Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-005	57.00	73.60	16.60	20%	0.1-1%	1-5%				Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-005	73.60	74.00	0.40	80%	0.5-1%	10-12%				Vein of sulphides
MGDD22-005	74.00	80.50	6.50	20%	0.5-1%	1-3%				Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-005	80.50	94.40	13.90	10-15%		2-3%				Disseminated sulphides as cement of hydrothermal breccia
MGDD22-006	19.40	116.30	96.90	2-8%		2-6%	0.5-1%			Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-007	16.63	80.73	64.10	2-4%		1-3%	0.5-1%	0.5-1%		Disseminated sulphides
MGDD22-008	22.36	102.68	80.32	4-6%			0.5-1%	0.5-1%		Disseminated and veinlets sulphides
MGDD22-009	31.30	32.15	0.85	3-5%	5-8%					Disseminated sulphides in shear zone
MGDD22-009	32.15	40.83	8.68	3-5%	5-8%		0.5-1%			Disseminated and veinlets of sulphides
MGDD22-010	6.80	59.90	53.10	2-5%	0.5-1%	2-4%				Disseminated and veinlets of sulphides
MGDD22-010	59.90	77.59	17.69	15-40%	7-40%	0.5-1%	3-10%		1-3%	Disseminated and veins
MGDD22-011	54.50	101.78	47.28	3-6%						Disseminated and veinlets of sulphides
MGDD22-012	18.50	34.50	16.00	1-5%		0.5-1%	0.5-1%			Disseminated and veinlets of sulphides

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

25 October 2022

MGDD22-012	34.50	41.10	6.60	5-25%		0.5-1%				Disseminated and veinlets of sulphides
MGDD22-012	41.10	41.38	0.28	15-20%	35-40%					Vein of sulphides
MGDD22-012	41.38	44.24	2.86	15-20%	1-3%	0.5-1%	1-2%			Disseminated and veinlets of sulphides
MGDD22-012	44.24	45.88	1.64	15-20%	35-40%	1-2%		0.5-1%		Vein of sulphides
MGDD22-012	45.88	50.17	4.29	3-5%			8-12%			Disseminated of sulphides

Py = pyrite; Aspy = arsenopyrite, Sph = sphalerite; Po = Pyrrhotite; Ga = galena; Cpy = chalcopyrite

Sulphide mineral species have been identified by geologists in hand specimen/ diamond core, through the use of handlens and high powered microscope. In addition to this, portable XRF readings have also provided an indication of elemental abundances present in diamond core, which have been used to assist with mineral identification.

Titan does caution that at this stage visual estimates of sulphide mineral abundances are provided as a guide only, and are not considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses. Quantitative confirmaiton of sulphide mineral percantages will be confirmed by multi-element laboratory analysis, with assay results anticipated in the coming 6 to 8 weeks.



Plate 1: Diamond core from Meseta Gold prospect. Left: MGDD22-005 (73.65m) Massive sulphide vein, disseminated mineralisation, 80% pyrite (Py), 10-12% sphalerite (Sph) and 1% arsenopyrite (Aspy) Right: MGDD22-005 (80.75m) Hydrothermal Breccia, disseminated mineralisation, 10-15% pyrite (Py), 2-3% sphalerite (Sph), sub-angular to sub-rounded clasts, matrix of sulphides, veinlets of calcite, quartz (Qz) vein with comb texture.




Plate 2: Diamond core from Mesta Gold prospect: MGDD22-001 at 54.30m. 36 cm wide massive sulphide vein filled with 5-10% arsenopyrite (Aspy), 5-6% pyrite (Py) and 1-2% galena (Ga).

Meseta Gold Prospect

To the immediate northeast of Copper Ridge, gold mineralisation across the Meseta Gold prospect is hosted in steep to sub-vertical structures at the margins of the porphyry stock and is associated with strong silicification and oxidation of sulphides. Several alteration and sulphide mineralisation features indicate that this is an intermediate sulphidation gold system.

High-grade epithermal gold mineralisation was initially identified at the Meseta Gold prospect in 2017, when artisanal workings on a break-away slope were sampled. The slope exposes a stockwork of oxidised veinlets capped by transported boulders forming a plateau of perched alluvial sediments. The alluvial cap covers mineralisation and alteration in the area forming a geochemically blind target beneath only a few metres of transported material.

In 2018, diamond drilling confirmed higher grade gold mineralisation in fresh rock. All drill holes intersected extensive hydrothermal related alteration and localised gold mineralisation.

An initial 18-hole program for 2,500m of diamond drilling is underway and has been designed to test the presence of plunging high-grade ore shoots at interpreted structural intersections.




Figure 1: Linderos Project displaying prospects and targets identified by geophysics (TMI RTP image shown), Titan drill collars and traces, faults, veins, and surface rock chips (Molybdenum)

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

25 October 2022




Figure 2: Drill plan for Meseta Gold prospect displaying geology, historic drilling, Titan's drilling and surface geochemistry (Gold)

About The Linderos Project

The Linderos Project is located 20km southwest of the Company's flagship Dynasty Gold Project and is comprised of four contiguous concessions totalling over 143km² located near the Peruvian border in southern Ecuador's Loja Province.

Located in a major flexure of the Andean Terrane, the Linderos Project is situated within a corridor of mineralisation extending from Peru through northern Ecuador that is associated with early to late Miocene aged intrusions. The majority of porphyry copper and epithermal gold deposits in southern Ecuador are associated with magmatism in this age range, with a number of these younger intrusions located along the margin of the extensive Cretaceous aged Tanguila Batholith forming a favourable structural and metallogenic corridor for intrusion activity where Titan minerals holds a significant land position in southern Ecuador.




Figure 3: Linderos Project location map in relation to Titan Minerals Southern Ecuador Projects, and the metallogenic belts of Ecuador

Competent Person's Statements

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on and fairly represents information compiled by Ms Melanie Leighton, who is an experienced geologist and a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Ms Leighton is a Consulting Geologist for the Company and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity which she is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the JORC 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources, and Ore Reserves'. Ms Leighton consents to their inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

Cautionary Note

Titan does caution that at this stage visual estimates of sulphide mineral abundances are provided as a guide only, and are not considered a proxy or substitute for laboratory analyses.

ENDS-

Released with the authority of the Board.

For further information on the company and our projects, please visit: www.titanminerals.com.au

Contact details:

Investor Relations: Australia

Matt Carr – Chief Executive Officer

E: matthew.carr@titanminerals.com.au

Ph: +61 8 6375 2700

Investor Relations: North America

Tamara Brown – Non-Executive Director

E: tamara.brown@titanminerals.com.au

Appendix 1. Meseta Gold prospect diamond drill hole details

Hole ID	Easting	Northing	Elevation (m)	Azimuth (°)	Dip (°)	Depth (m)
MGDD22-001	600960	9528326	307	213	-65	88.78
MGDD22-002	601029	9528292	310	213	-45	77.05
MGDD22-003	600412	9528240	303	217	-45	202.34
MGDD22-004	601147	9528036	280	30	-50	159.20
MGDD22-005	601283	9528070	326	295	-70	94.74
MGDD22-006	601435	9528301	315	320	-62	116.30
MGDD22-007	601435	9528301	315	105	-45	80.73
MGDD22-008	601268	9528168	315	125	-72	102.68
MGDD22-009	601268	9528168	315	125	-30	40.83
MGDD22-010	601382	9528240	311	185	-50	77.59
MGDD22-011	601465	9528130	350	6	-45	101.74
MGDD22-012	601313	9528209	311	125	-42	50.17
MGDD22-013	601668	9528120	355	10	-45	36.01
MGDD22-014	601668	9528120	355	35	-45	42.55

NB. All drill hole coordinates are in WGS84 Zone 17 south and all drill holes are diamond core from surface

For personal use only

Linderos Project - 2012 JORC Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reported channel sampling was done as continuous and equal sampling of an excavated exposure of in-situ material to provide a representative sample of material sampled Channel sampling is completed as representative cut samples across measured intervals cut with hammer or hammer and chisel techniques. Samples were crushed to better than 70% passing a 2mm mesh and split to produce a 250g charge pulverised to 200 mesh to form a pulp sample. 50g charges were split from each pulp for fire assay for Au with an atomic absorption (AA) finish and samples exceeding 10g/t Au (upper limit) have a separate 50g charge split and analysed by fire assay with a gravimetric finish. Samples returning >10ppm Au from the AA finish technique are re-analysed by 50g fire assay for Au with a gravimetric finish. An additional charge is split from sample for four acid digests with ICP-MS reporting a 48-element suite. Within the 48 elements suite, overlimit analyses of a 5-element suite are performed with an ore grade technique (ICP-AES) if any one element for Ag, Pb, Zn, Cu, Mo exceeds detection limits in the ICP-MS61 method. Reported rock chip samples are composite grab samples collected from in situ outcrops selected by the geologist Reported soil sample anomalies were generated from surface soil samples taken on a nominal 200 x 200 m spaced grid and a 50x100m infill grid in Meseta Gold prospect. Samples were taken from an approximate depth of 40-50 cm below surface in the B horizon. Sieving is executed in the ALS laboratory following the preparation package PREP-41, which consists of drying at <60°C/140°F, sieve sample to -180 micron (80 mesh).
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Channel sampling completed on road cuts and other exposures cleared by mechanized equipment and channels dug by hand including exposures at several artisanal workings within the project area. Drilling technique currently being undertaken by Titan Minerals is diamond core, and core is oriented with the Devicore device putting the orientation mark in the bottom of hole. Reliable oriented core is defined once at least two runs in a row have less than 10mm in rotation offset at HTW diameter and less than 8mm in NTW.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The quality of the drill core in general is good with an average of 99.3% of recovery, resembled by competent rock, especially below the oxide zone. Mineralisation in general has been intercepted as compact rock without any loss/gain of material.

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

25 October 2022



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc..) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reported channel samples are logged geologically to a level of detail to support mineral resource estimation in accordance with principle of the JORC Code. No data acquisition has commenced at the current stage of the project in support of geotechnical or metallurgical studies. Logging is recorded for all sampled and mapped intervals with qualitative logging completed for lithological composition, texture, colour, structures, veining, alteration, and quantitative logging for observed mineralogy, and estimated mineral content of quartz sulphide minerals. All channels sampled are photographed at the time of sampling. All diamond core is routinely photographed. All sampled intercepts in this report are logged for geology and alteration.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No core included in the reported exploration results. Channel samples collected on nominal 2m intervals, with localised variations based on exposure and geological contacts as defined by the geologist in the field. Samples are sent for analysis as collected in their entirety and no site prep is undertaken. Reported channel samples are deemed of sufficient size and representative in nature across measured widths to be appropriate. Rock samples however do not have appropriate sample prep or sample methodology to be considered a representative sample and are not intended for use in a minerals resource estimation. Field duplicates are taken regularly to assess the quality of field sampling procedures (and/or heterogeneity of the sample material). No studies have yet been completed to assess heterogeneity of the sample medium, however samples collected are of sufficient size to meet industry best practices for the style of mineralisation being assessed.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All reported results are submitted to an accredited independent laboratory and are analysed by methods considered 'near total' assay techniques as outlined in previous sections of this table. No geophysical tools used in reported channel sampling. Quality control and quality assurance procedures ("QAQC") are defined in Titan sampling procedure documents and for the reported results QAQC for reported channel sampling work is comprised of 4.8% blanks, 4% field duplicates, and 3.4% certified reference material (standards) for an aggregate 12% of QAQC independent of the laboratories in-house QAQC. All results are checked before upload to the digital database to confirm they are performing as expected.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Company has duplicated several sample samples reported by previous operators, where sampling work lacked adequate reporting of QAQC to validate previous work, and previous assay techniques were constrained to only gold-silver. Repeated sampling has confirmed gold and silver anomalism at reported locations, noting on average increases to peak values of gold at several locations, and additional analysis provides data on strong copper and zinc related mineralisation associated with the gold and silver values. No new drilling is included in the reported results, and no twinning has been undertaken. Field data is captured in both hard copy and digital formats and transmitted to the database management team for upload to a managed Access and MX deposits database controlled by the database manager. No adjustment to data is made in the reported results

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

25 October 2022



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Soil, trench and channel samples are all located by a single point at the Channel's "Start point" surveyed by handheld GPS. Surveys are accurate to +/- 5m in horizontal precision. The sample locations are then measured by tape and azimuth from the Start Point or extrapolated from the start point based on dip and azimuth of the trench. All surveyed data is collected and stored in WGS84 datum Zone 17 south. Topographic control is based on LiDAR survey completed in August, 2022. The acquired data was from Copper Ridge and Meseta Gold prospects, covering an area of 12.8km². The minimum information density was 5 points per square metre, the flight altitude path was at 300m, with an average velocity of 70 knots. The overlap per flight pass was 42%, considering 16 flight lines.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No systematic grid for mapping, rock chip sampling and channel sampling is defined, with early-stage exploration work constrained to existing outcrops, road cuts and areas of artisanal workings. Where continuous exposures have been cleared in road cuts or artisanal workings providing a surface for representative sampling, sampling is completed on nominal 2m intervals. Reported data to date for the project does not have adequate spacing or distribution sufficient to establish continuity of mineralisation or underpin a mineral resource estimation, and further systematic exploration including drilling is required. No sample compositing has been applied in reported results.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Geometry of the mineralisation identified in drilling has not been outlined with adequate sample density to comment on potential for bias in sampling. Relationship between drill orientation and orientation of key mineralised structures is not yet defined and requires further drilling to assess.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples were collected by Titan Minerals geologists and held in a secured yard at Macara prior to being transported by a company vehicle to the Celica exploration office where laboratory and dispatched paperwork is processed. Samples are enclosed in polyweave sacks for delivery to the laboratory and weighed individually prior to shipment and upon arrival at the laboratory. Sample shipment is completed through a commercial transport company with closed stowage area for transport.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No independent audit of project data or umpire laboratory checks have been undertaken by Titan for the reported results.

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

25 October 2022



Section 2 - Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Titan Minerals Ltd, through its indirect wholly owned Ecuadorian subsidiaries holds a portfolio of exploration properties in the Loja and Zamora-Chinchipe Provinces of Ecuador. The Linderos project is comprised of four concessions in the Loja Province with Titan holding 100% interest in the Linderos E, Naranjo, Dynasty 1, and Chorrera, concessions totalling an area of 143km². Mineral concessions in Ecuador are subject to government royalty, the amount of which varies from 3% to 5% depending on scale of operations and for large scale operations (>1,000tpd underground or >3,000tpd open pit) is subject to negotiation of a mineral/mining agreement. Mineral concessions require the holder to (i) pay an annual conservation fee per hectare, (ii) provide an annual environmental update report for the concessions including details of the environmental protection works program to be adhered to for the following year submitted to the Environmental Department of the Ministry of Energy and Mines. These works do not need approval; and (iii) an annual report on the previous year's exploration and production activity. Mineral Concessions are renewable by the Ministry of Energy and Mines in accordance with the Mining Law on such terms and conditions as defined in the Mining Law. The Company is not aware of any social, cultural, or environmental impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area at the time of this report beyond the scope of regular permitting requirements as required under Ecuadorian Law.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<p>Linderos Project</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1974, The United Nations completes a 9-hole drilling program following a regional scale geochemical survey. 1978, the DGGM and Mission Espanola complete a 2-hole program totalling just over 400m drilled. 2004 until 2005 Dynasty Mining and Metals (later Core Gold Inc.) completed mapping, limited ground geophysical surveys and exploration sampling activity including 5 diamond holes totalling 1,146m drilled and 2,033 rock channel samples were taken from 1,161m of surface trenches 2007 to 2008, a Joint Venture arrangement with Mariana Resource Ltd ("Mariana") completed soil surveys and 8 diamond drill holes, of which six holes totalling 858m drilled are located within the Linderos Project's Chorrera concession. 2017-19, Core Gold Inc. (formerly Dynasty Metals and Mining Inc.) completed a series of 5m spaced trenches over a 100, x 150m area of artisanal mining operations to define a small zone of high-grade gold mineralisation and followed-up in 2018 with 11 diamond holes from 5 platforms testing the mineralisation at surface and ~1km east of outcropping surface mineralisation.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regionally, the Linderos project lies within the compressional Inter-Andean Graben that is bounded by regional scale faults. The graben is composed of multiple Miocene aged intrusions within thick Oligocene to Miocene aged volcano- sedimentary sequences overlying the Cretaceous aged Tangua Batholith that extends for over 80km from northern Peru into southern Ecuador. Local volcanic rocks cover the Chaucha, Amotape and Guamote terrains. This structural zone hosts several significant epithermal, porphyry, mesothermal, S-type granitoid, VHMS and ultramafic/ophiolite precious metal and base metal mineral deposits.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ○ dip and azimuth of the hole ○ down hole length and interception depth ○ hole length. ▪ If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material ▪ and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No new exploration results are reported, hence a summary of drillhole information has not been included in this release.
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. ▪ The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No high-grade assay cut was applied to reported exploration results. A lower cut-off of 0.1% copper and 0.5g/t gold was applied to generate significant intercepts. • Channel samples collected on nominal 2m intervals. Sample intervals are varied locally at the site geologist's discretion to segregate sampling of key geological features (contacts) or sample intervals can be broken to align with substantial changes in alternation or mineralisation styles. • Where higher grade copper is located within reported mineralised intervals at a 0.1% copper cut-off, locally an additional intercept is provided as "including" within the reported intercepts at a 0.2% copper cut-off. • No metal equivalent reporting is applicable to this announcement.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. • If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All reported intersections are measured sample lengths and are not to be interpreted as true thickness. Exploration to date is not sufficient to define geometry or continuity of mineralisation reported. • True widths to be estimated with completion of more advance exploration and commencement of both oriented core drilling and commencement of 3D visualisation and modelling work with project advancing to a scoping stage.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Included in body of report as deemed appropriate by the competent person.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All material exploration results are included in this report, and location of all results are included in their entirety in the figures provided. • Surface sampling in systematic channels is represented in figures and graphics as rock chip samples for all historical sampling completed.

ASX ANNOUNCEMENT

25 October 2022



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Geological interpretation and summary of previously reported geochemical survey results included in figures. No other available datasets are considered relevant to reported exploration results. No metallurgical test results, bulk density, or groundwater tests have been completed on areas related to the exploration results.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Included in body of report. Included in body of report as deemed appropriate by the competent person.