

Red River hits 257 g/t gold at Hillgrove, NSW

Highlights:

- Significant **visual gold** in Red River's first hole at Bakers Creek (BKC008), discovers extensional gold mineralisation at the historic mine
- Assays returned 4.50m @ 29.5 g/t gold (Au) and 0.3% antimony (Sb) from 466.0m downhole including

o 0.45m @ 257g/t Au from 467.75m

- 50+ pieces of visible gold observed ranging from sub-millimetre to 2mm² in size (Figure 1)
- Bakers Creek historically produced more than 300,000oz of high-grade gold¹ and was the most productive deposit in the Hillgrove field
- It has seen minimal exploration in the past century, with Red River's BKC008 only the fourth hole drilled through Little Reef since mining ceased around 1921
- Red River's follow-up hole BKC009 is currently being drilled, with two further holes planned to test targets around the Bakers Creek historic workings.

Red River Resources Limited (ASX: RVR) is pleased to report visible gold in drill hole BKC008 from its drilling underway at Bakers Creek, part of its Hillgrove Gold Project in New South Wales. The drilling aims to identify extensional mineralisation at the historic Bakers Creek Mine, which produced 304koz of gold from 176kt of ore from ~1880 - 1916¹.

Priority assays from 15.8m of core drilled at BKC008 returned **0.45m @ 257g/t Au** from 467.75m within **4.50m @ 29.5 g/t Au and 0.3% antimony (Sb)** from 466.0m.

Red River Managing Director Mel Palancian said:

"We are blown away by the high-grade gold from the first hole at our Bakers Creek drilling, exceeding our expectations and it's just the start of our drill program. This result could be a game changer for us at Hillgrove.

"Bakers Creek produced high-grade gold more than 100 years ago, but it has seen only minimal modern exploration since then. Our first hole was a big step out from the workings and is showing signs there may be much more of this high-grade gold mineralisation yet to be discovered.

"We will continue drilling at Bakers Creek to discover extensions to the gold mineralisation and build our resources at Hillgrove."

Address: Level 6, 350 Collins Street, Melbourne, VIC, 3000, Australia

T: +61 3 9017 5380 F: +61 3 9670 5942 E: info@redriverresources.com.au

www.redriverresources.com.au

¹ Emu Nickel 2012 (ANCOA prospectus)



Hillgrove has an existing JORC 2012 Mineral Resource of 7.23Mt @ 4.5 g/t Au & 1.2% Sb (1,037koz contained Au & 90kt contained Sb) and Red River is systematically drilling targets across the project, aiming to build this resource for a larger-scale, longer life mining operation.



Figure 1: BKC008 Visible Gold intersection ~0.35m from 467.8m downhole

Red River sent 15.8m of core from BKC008 for priority assay, as it visually contained a central structure of strong veining which included three intense breccias between 15 and 35cm (Figure 1).

Assays for BKC008 returned

• 4.50m at 29.5 g/t Au and 0.3% Sb from 466.0m downhole

including

• 0.45m at 257.0 g/t Au from 467.75m downhole





Figure 2: Bakers Creek Long section displaying Little Reef intersection locations

Historically, Bakers Creek was the most productive mine in the Hillgrove field and produced more than 300koz gold. Little Reef was the most extensively mined lode within the Bakers Creek system, producing high grade gold ore over a strike of 280m and a vertical extent of 550m. A series of other lodes occur in the hangingwall and footwall of the Little Reef lode as parallel and oblique structures.

Red River's drill program was designed to:

- Test the northern extension of the Bakers Creek deposit for continued gold mineralisation beyond the extent of historic mining and the previous completed drilling at its immediate periphery. The Hillgrove mineral field consists of mineralised structures that contain plunging shoots of highly endowed gold mineralisation spaced between zones of weaker mineralisation. It is RVRs belief that there is potential for a continuation of the Bakers Creek structure to the northwest and at depth to host extensions of the highly endowed mineralisation once seen during the operation of the Bakers Creek Mine.
- Investigate a 515m across strike span between the major Hillgrove systems of the Metz (Blacklode, Syndicate & Sunlight) and Bakers Creek. This block between the 2 systems contains no previous drilling.
- Test for an interpreted structure and mineralisation beneath the axis of the Bakers Creek Gorge. Understanding of this structure, and its control on the surrounding Bakers and Metz systems will have major influence on future exploration.

BKC008 was drilled perpendicular to strike of the Bakers Creek system from the southwest (Figure 3) and the visible gold intersection sent for priority assay is interpreted to be the Little Reef lode. The hole was drilled to a depth of 685.7m and intersected other areas of interest prior to, and beyond Little Reef for which assays, and interpretation are pending.



To date, only three other holes have tested the Little Reef lode. Historic hole BKC001, drilled in 2008 by Straits Resources, from the northeast, passed at an oblique angle to Little Reef and intersected a 4m wide zone (12m downhole) of weaker gold mineralisation. The BKC008 hole targeted 40m below and 90m further along strike in a northwest direction (Figure 2). It was designed to intersect any potential repetition of a high-grade plunging shoot.

The second hole of the program BKC009 is currently being drilled, targeting Little Reef a further 60m along strike to the northwest of BKC008.

Assays results will be made available as soon as they are received.



Figure 3: Core tray BCK008 from 463m to 474m downhole





Figure 4: Bakers Creek location in Hillgrove Mineral Field



Table 1: BRCOUS Results					
Hole ID	From	To (m)	Interval	Sb	Au (g/t)
	(m)*			(ppm)	
BKC008	464.2	464.6	0.4	20	0.01
BKC008	464.6	465	0.4	103	1.64
BKC008	465	465.5	0.5	47	0.07
BKC008	465.5	466	0.5	69	0.47
BKC008	466	466.5	0.5	82	1.49
BKC008	466.5	467	0.5	81	1.9
BKC008	467	467.75	0.75	49	2.64
BKC008	467.75	468.2	0.45	317	257
BKC008	468.2	468.6	0.4	2210	24.8
BKC008	468.6	469	0.4	2720	2.78
BKC008	469	469.5	0.5	22800	1.55
BKC008	469.5	470	0.5	302	0.3
BKC008	470	470.5	0.5	199	3.01
BKC008	470.5	471	0.5	331	0.72
BKC008	471	471.5	0.5	1225	0.68
BKC008	471.5	472	0.5	125	0.16
BKC008	472	472.5	0.5	179	0.54
BKC008	472.5	473	0.5	85	0.13
BKC008	473	473.5	0.5	135	0.11
BKC008	473.5	474	0.5	362	0.58
BKC008	474	474.5	0.5	166	0.19
BKC008	474.5	475	0.5	452	0.24
BKC008	475	475.5	0.5	178	0.3
BKC008	475.5	476	0.5	164	0.23
BKC008	476	476.5	0.5	179	0.13
BKC008	476.5	477	0.5	207	0.33
BKC008	477	477.5	0.5	188	0.31
BKC008	477.5	478	0.5	810	0.09
BKC008	478	478.5	0.5	78	0.15
BKC008	478.5	479	0.5	300	0.38
BKC008	479	479.5	0.5	352	0.22
BKC008	479.5	480	0.5	1690	0.7

Table 1. BKC008 Results

* downhole



About Red River Resources (ASX: RVR)

RVR is building a multi-asset operating business focused on base and precious metals with the objective of delivering prosperity through lean and clever resource development. RVR's foundation asset is the Thalanga Base Metal Operation in Northern Queensland, which was acquired in 2014 and where RVR commenced copper, lead and zinc concentrate production in September 2017. RVR has commenced production at the high-grade Hillgrove Gold Operation in New South Wales which was acquired in 2019. The Hillgrove Operation is a key part of RVR's strategy to build a multi-asset operating business focused on base and precious metals.

On behalf of the Board,

Mel Palancian Managing Director Red River Resources Limited

For further information please visit Red River's website or contact:

Mel Palancian Managing Director <u>mpalancian@redriverresources.com.au</u> D: +61 3 9017 5380

Nathan Ryan NWR Communications <u>nathan.ryan@nwrcommunications.com.au</u> M: +61 420 582 887



Competent Persons Statement

Exploration Results

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Blake Larter who is a member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, and a full time employee of Red River Resources Ltd., and who has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activities being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves' (JORC Code). Mr Larter consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

Gold Equivalent Calculation

The display of drill intersections contains gold equivalent (Au Eq.) values.

The use of a gold equivalent cut-off is appropriate for the multi-element mineralisation at Hillgrove, where value is obtained from antimony and/or gold.

The Au equivalent allows for a basic level of assessment of deposits and mineralisation styles within the Hillgrove group of deposits. The Au Eq. value was calculated using a gold price of US\$1,234/oz and an antimony price of US\$ 5,650 / tonne where:

Au Eq. (g/t) = (Au g/t) + (1.424 * Sb %)

Appendix 1: Drill Hole Details

Table 8 Drill hole information summary, Hillgrove Gold Project. GDA94 MGA56

Hole ID	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azi (°)	Eastings (m)	Northings (m)	RL (m)	Lease ID	Hole Status
BKC008	685.7	-45.4	20	393518.8	6616778.6	1599.6	ML1026	Partial assays pending



JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut	Diamond drilling (DD) techniques were used to
techniques	channels, random chips, or specific	obtain samples.
	specialised industry standard measurement	Diamond core was placed in core trays for logging
	tools appropriate to the minerals under	and sampling. Half core samples were nominated by
	investigation, such as down hole gamma	the geologist from diamond core based on visual
	sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc).	inspection of mineralisation. Intervals ranged from
	These examples should not be taken as	0.4 to 1.2m based on geological boundaries
	limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	Diamond samples were sawn in half using an onsite
	Include reference to measures taken to	core saw.
	ensure sample retrospectivity and the	in Zillmara QLD
	tools or systems used	Samples were crushed to sub 6mm, split and
	Aspects of the determination of	pulverised to sub 75µm in order to produce a
	mineralisation that are Material to the	representative sub-sample for analysis
	Public Report.	Analysis of the diamond drill samples consisted of a
	In cases where 'industry standard' work has	four-acid digest and Inductively Coupled Plasma
	been done this would be relatively simple	Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) for the
	(e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to	following elements: Ag, As, Cu, Pb, S, Sb, W & Zn was
	obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was	undertaken. The samples were also assayed for Au
	pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire	using a 50g Fire Assay technique. If over detection on
	assay'). In other cases, more explanation	the ICP reached than the samples were assayed using
	may be required, such as where there is	XRF. Standards and blanks were inserted at a rate of
	coarse gold that has inherent sampling	5%.
	problems. Unusual commodities or	A screen fire assay trigger is set automatically for
	mineralisation types (e.g. submarine	samples that return Au grade >20ppm. A screen fire
	information	in the core during logging
		The RC drilling was conducted by Straits Resources in
		2004-2005. These samples were assaved by ALS
		Laboratories in Brisbane.
Drilling	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation,	Diamond drilling (DD). The diamond drill core was
techniaues	open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger,	NQ2 in size.
····	Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core	
	diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of	
	diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other	
	type, whether core is oriented and if so, by	
	what method, etc).	
Drill sample	Method of recording and assessing core and	Sample recovery is measured and recorded by
recovery	Measures taken to maximise sample	No core loss has occurred
	recovery and ensure representative nature	
	of the samples.	
	Whether a relationship exists between	
	sample recovery and grade and whether	
	sample bias may have occurred due to	
	preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse	
	material.	
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been	Holes are logged to a level of detail that would
	geologically and geotechnically logged to a	support mineral resource estimation.
	level of detail to support appropriate	Qualitative logging includes lithology, alteration and
	Mineral Resource estimation, mining	textures.
	studies and metallurgical studies.	



Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative logging includes sulphide and gangue mineral percentages. All drill core was shotographed. All drill core was shotographed. All drill core was shotographed. All drill core was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Sample sprearation is industry standard, occurring at an independent commercial laboratory which has its or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representitive of the in-situ material ocliected, including for instance results for assay data and duplicate/second-half samples.Core was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Sample sprearation is industry standard, occurring at an independent commercial laboratory which has its own internal Quality Assurance and Quality Control procedures. Samples were crushed to sub form, not order to produce a representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for assay data and haber to the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for the assay and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometrs, handhed XRI instrument, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis. Location of significant intersections bas and precision have been established.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch. Certified standards returned results within an acceptable reading times, ealineation should be supportive. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core. Hersified in the devision, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Documentation of significant intersect	Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
quantitative in nature. Core (or costen, channel, etc.) photography.mineral percentages.Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation technique.If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.Core was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Sample preparation is industry standard, occurring at an independent commercial laboratory which has its or dry.For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.Core was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Sample sweer crushed to sub 5mm [of or analysis. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representitive of the insitu material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, tec, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading time, cultoration stores pained to asang bas and procession of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personel. The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative considered pair version, data verification, data soriage discust and addity control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Verification of sampling and assaying and assaying and assaying and assaying		Whether logging is qualitative or	Quantitative logging includes sulphide and gangue
channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.All drill core was photographed. All drill holes have been logged in full.Sub-sampling and sample preparationif core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.Core was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Sample preparation is industry standard, occurring at an independent commercial laboratory which has its own internal Quality Assurance and Quality Control procedures.Guality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling. is representive of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether ample sizes are appropriate to the again size of the material being sampled.The assay methods employed are considered appropriates of the sample isses are appropriate to the assay ing al daboratory procedures and half core suct of all sub-sample isses are appropriate to the assay ing al daboratory procedures handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determing the analysis. Including instrument make and model, reading times, cilibration sfactors applied and their derivation, etc.The verification appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.Verification of sampling and assay and precision have been established.The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative considered percedures, abbards, dualt, d		quantitative in nature. Core (or costean,	mineral percentages.
The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.All drill holes have been logged in full.Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation for all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample perparation technique.Core was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Sample preparation is industry standard, occurring at an independent commercial laboratory which has its or dry.For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample perparation technique.Core was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Sample preparation is industry standard, occurring at an independent commercial laboratory which has its or dry.Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representive of the in-stim material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/scond-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.The assay methods employed are considered appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures, used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XR instruments, et, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibration s factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures, adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory teckis) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Core was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Samples zero.Verification of sampling and assorying and assinging and assinging and assinging and assinging and assinging and assing and precisi		channel, etc) photography.	All drill core was photographed.
Indexrelevant intersections logged.Sub-sampling techniquesIf core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representitive of the in-situ material collected, including for instruce results for field duplicate/second-half sampled.Sample preparation sample sizes are appropriate to correctly represent the mineralisation style.Quality of assay data and held XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis loboratory testsThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining take and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their dratits, blanks, duplicate, sectivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicate, sectivation, etc. Nature of ayality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicate, sectivation of significant intersections, bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Verification of sampling and assoyingAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (coliar and down-hole surveys). Inches, mine workings and dther basis and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data erification, data sorrage (physical and electronic) protoco		The total length and percentage of the	All drill holes have been logged in full.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample, reparationCore was sawn, and half core sent for assay. Sample preparation in fon-core, whether riffled, tube sampled rotary split, et and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.Core was sawn, and half core sent of assay. Sample preparation is industry standard, occurring at an independent commercial laboratory which has its own internal Quality Assurance and Quality Control procedures.Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representitive of sampleng. Whether sample is reparation the internal the sampleng. Whether sample is reparation the internation style.Sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent the mineralisation style.Quality of testsThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is nordicid qualitate is considered paration total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheid XR instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis. nudding instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in aech asample batch.Verification of sampling testsThe verification of significant intersections bias and prevision have been established.Verification of sampling reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geoligists and laboratory technicians.Verification of sampling reading times, calibration o		relevant intersections logged.	
techniques and sample preparationquater, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffied, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.Sample preparation is industry standard, occurring at an independent commercial laboratory which has its own internal Quality Assurance and Quality Control procedures.For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the arsing and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheid XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including for indervation, etc.The assay methods employed are considered the assain gent aboratory procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory check) and whether tects adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory check) and whether the ervation, etc.Verification of sampling and assovingThe verification of significant intersections bias and presonnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discus any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Verification of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locat	Sub-samplina	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether	Core was sawn, and half core sent for assay.
and sample preparationIf non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.an independent commercial laboratory which has its own internal Quality Assurance and Quality Control procedures.And sample preparationFor all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-haff sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the arsaing and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis, including instrument make and model, reading times, calibration sfactors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures dopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.The later external laboratory witch has est. The sample size are submitted for this data set. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Documentation of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys duption the sassy data.Cusation of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys). t	techniques	quarter, half or all core taken.	Sample preparation is industry standard, occurring at
preparation preparation preparation edition or dry.rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.own internal Quality Assurance and Quality Control procedures. Samples were crushed to sub 6mm, split and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling. Stepresentative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.Quality of assay data and laboratory testsThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial to total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory retrified standards returned results within an acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twimed holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data werification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discus	and sample	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled,	an independent commercial laboratory which has its
Procedures.For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.procedures.Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch. The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent the mineralisation style.Quality of is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheid XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibration, efactor sapplied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards returned results within an acceptable range. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of alternative company personnel. The use of twimed holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic)	preparation	rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet	own internal Quality Assurance and Quality Control
For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.Samples were crushed to sub 6mm, split and pulverised to sub 75µm in order to produce a representative sub-sample for analysis.Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.Quality of assay data and laboratoryThe nature, quality and appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.Quality of assay data and laboratoryThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.RestsFor geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and assaying and assaying and assaying and assayingThe verificatin intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geolgists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine wor	P - P	or dry.	procedures.
appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.pulverised to sub 75µm in order to produce a representative sub-sampling stages to maximise representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.pulverised to sub 75µm in order to produce a representative sub-sampling stages to maximise ample batch. The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent the mineralisation style.Quality of testsThe nature, quality and appropriate to the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheid XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards returned results within an acceptable lavels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Verification of gampling and assoryingThe verification of spinficent intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical delectronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workin		For all sample types, the nature, quality and	Samples were crushed to sub 6mm, split and
technique.representative sub-sample for analysis.Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent the mineralisation style.Quality of representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.The asay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.Quality of assy data add laboratory testsThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards returned results within an acceptable laboratory procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory othecks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.No field duplicates are submitted for this data set.Verification of sampling and assovying and assovying and assovying and assovyingAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate dril holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other Documentation of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with		appropriateness of the sample preparation	pulverised to sub 75 μ m in order to produce a
Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.Quality of assay data and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, excerptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Certified standards retured results within an acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Verification of sampling and assaying and assaying and assaying adapted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geolgists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes (collar and down-hole survey), trenches, mine workings and other locate affil.Collars were surveyed by multi-shot survey. C		technique.	representative sub-sample for analysis.
sample batch.representivity of samples.The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate toMeasures taken to ensure that the samplingcollected, including for instance results forfield duplicate/second-haff sampling.whether sample sizes are appropriate toduality ofThe nature, quality and appropriateness ofassay dataThe nature quality and appropriateness ofabsordatathe assaying and laboratory proceduresandused and whether the technique islaboratoryconsidered partial or total.For geophysical tools, spectrometers,Laboratory certified standards were used in eachnandheld XRF instruments, etc, theparameters used in determining the analysisnaute of quality control proceduresad their derivation, etc.Nature of quality control proceduresadotted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates,adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates,external laboratory tecks) and whetheracceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack ofbias) and precision have been established.VerificationThe verification of significant intersectionsby either independent or alternativecompany personnel.mo assay dat abertonic) protocols.Discurs any adjustment to assay data.Location ofAccuracy and quality of surveys used todata pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used.Accuracy and quality of surveys used.Collars were surveyed by multi-shot survey.cordation, sused in Mineral Resourcecollars were surveyed by multi-shot survey.cordationparticit, protocols.Dis		Quality control procedures adopted for all	Laboratory certified standards were used in each
Perfective Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent the mineralisation style.Quality of assay data and used and whether the technique is laboratory testsThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures to considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XR instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.The verification of significant intersections bias) and precision have been established.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections bias and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geolgists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protoclos. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geolgists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate entil holes (Coll are and own-hole survey), trenches, mine workings and other location sused in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes (Collars were surveyed by multi-shot		sub-sampling stages to maximise	sample batch.
Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.correctly represent the mineralisation style.Quality of assay data and laboratory testsThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.The issue of the diamond core.Verification of sampling and tassoying and assoyingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location sue of in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		representivity of samples.	The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to
is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half samping. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch. Certified standards were used in each sample batch. Certified standards were used in each sample batch. Certified standards were used in each acceptable range. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and dopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Verification of sampling and assay adjustment to assay data.Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other oracians incomes procedures, at a down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other oracians incomes surveys), trenches, mine workings and other oracians incomes surveys), trenches, mine workings and other oracians used in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		Measures taken to ensure that the sampling	correctly represent the mineralisation style.
collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch. Considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XFF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibration, etc.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards returned results within an acceptable range. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and assaying of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes (Gollar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location sued in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at		is representative of the in-situ material	
field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.Quality of assay dataThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.EstsFor geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instrument, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Verification of sampling and assoyingThe verification, of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys co		collected, including for instance results for	
Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.The ansure, quality and appropriateness of appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch. Certified standards returned results within an acceptable range. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and assoyingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any aljustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location sus used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole survey conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of diril holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		field duplicate/second-half sampling.	
Location of data pointthe grain size of the material being sampled.Quality of assay data and laboratory testsThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch. Certified standards returned results within an acceptable range. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		Whether sample sizes are appropriate to	
Quality of assay data andThe nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.The assay methods employed are considered appropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.Verification of sampling and assayingConsidered partial or total. handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.Cortified standards returned results within an acceptable range. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geolists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		the grain size of the material being sampled.	
assay data andthe assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is (aboratory) testsappropriate for near total digestion. Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch. Certified standards returned results within an acceptable range. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and assayingNature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys dby multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	Quality of	The nature, quality and appropriateness of	The assay methods employed are considered
and laboratory testsused and whether the technique is considered partial or total.Laboratory certified standards were used in each sample batch.testsFor geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.Certified standards returned results within an acceptable range.No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core. including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot same at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes collar and down-hole survey), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	assay data	the assaying and laboratory procedures	appropriate for near total digestion.
laboratory testsconsidered partial or total.sample batch.EestsFor geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.Certified standards returned results within an acceptable range.Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of duit holes, were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	and	used and whether the technique is	Laboratory certified standards were used in each
testsFor geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Certified standards returned results within an acceptable range. No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	laboratory	considered partial or total.	sample batch.
handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.acceptable range.No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	tests	For geophysical tools, spectrometers,	Certified standards returned results within an
parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.No twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m).Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		handheld XRF instruments, etc, the	acceptable range.
Including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.Including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.InterventionVerification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		parameters used in determining the analysis	No field duplicates are submitted for diamond core.
reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys dy multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		including instrument make and model,	
And their derivation, etc.Nature of quality control proceduresadopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates,external laboratory checks) and whetheracceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack ofbias) and precision have been established.Verificationof samplingand assayingand assayingand assayingLocation ofLocation ofAccuracy and quality of surveys used tolocate drill holes (collar and down-holesurveys), trenches, mine workings and otherlocations used in Mineral Resourceestimation.Specification of the grid system used.		reading times, calibrations factors applied	
Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.No twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used.		and their derivation, etc.	
adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		Nature of quality control procedures	
External laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians.No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates,	
Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Ind assayingThe verification of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		external laboratory checks) and whether	
Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of	
Verification of sampling and assayingThe verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Laboratory results have been reviewed by Company geologists and laboratory technicians. No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		The verification of cignificant internettions	Laboratory, results have been reviewed by Company
of sampling and assayingby either independent of alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.No twinned holes were drilled for this data set.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other location. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	Verification	the vernication of significant intersections	caplogists and laboratory technicians
and assayingcompany personnel.No twinned holes were drifted for this data set.The use of twinned holes.Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	of sampling	sompany personnal	No twinned holes were drilled for this data set
Inertise of twinned holes.Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	and assaying	The use of twinned holes	No twittled holes were drilled for this data set.
Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data.Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		Decumentation of primary data data entry	
Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		procedures, data verification, data storage	
Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		(nbysical and electronic) protocols	
Location of data pointsAccuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.1m). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		Discuss any adjustment to assay data	
Location of data pointsAccuracy and quanty of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.Collars were surveyed with KTKGPS (+0.111). Down hole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.		Accuracy and quality of surveys used to	Collars were surveyed with BTKCDS (+ 0.1m)
data pointslocate drift foles (conar and down-fole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.Down fole surveys conducted with digital magnetic multi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of drill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey. Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.	Location of	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to	Collars were surveyed with RTKGPS (+-0.111).
Surveys), trenches, mine workings and otherInditi-shot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion oflocations used in Mineral Resourcedrill holes were surveyed by multi-shot survey.estimation.Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA Zone 56.Specification of the grid system used.Specification of the grid system used.	data points	surveys) trenches mine workings and other	multi-chot camera at 20-40m intervals. A portion of
estimation. Specification of the grid system used.		locations used in Minoral Possures	drill holes were survoyed by multi-shot survey
Specification of the grid system used.		actimation	Coordinate system used is CDA04 MCA Zong EF
specification of the grid system used.		Esumation.	Coordinate system used is GDA94 MGA 2018 56.
Quality and adequacy of topographic		Oublity and adequacy of topographic	
control		control	



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied.	The current drill hole is the first hole drilled by Red River Resources into Bakers Creek Ore Body. 7 historic holes have been drilled at no regular interval spacing. BKC008 is the first hole on the far northern extent with follow up drilling expected to be at 100m spacing. No sample compositing has been applied.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	Drill holes are orientated perpendicular to the perceived strike of the host lithologies where possible. The orientation of the multiple lenses varies resulting in some holes resulting in less than perpendicular intersections. Drill holes are drilled at a dip based on logistics and dip of anomaly to be tested. The orientation of the drilling is designed to not bias sampling. Orientation of the NQ2 core was undertaken to define structural orientation.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Samples have been overseen by company staff during transport from site to ASL laboratories in Brisbane.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	No audits or reviews have been carried out at this point.



(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	The drilling was conducted on the following mining leases; ML1026 These leases are held by Hillgrove Mines Pty Ltd. (a wholly owned subsidiary of Red River Resources).
Exploration done by other parties Geology	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The historic drilling was conducted by Straits Resources in 2008 (2 holes) and Brackins Resources in 2016 (5 holes). The exploration model is orogenic gold/antimony.
Drill hole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes, including, easting and northing, elevation or RL, dip and azimuth, down hole length, interception depth and hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	See Appendix 1 – Drill Hole Details Assay Details – Pending
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	Assays pending.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g.	The mineralisation is interpreted to be dipping at approximately 90 degrees, drill holes have been designed to intercept the mineralisation as close to perpendicular as possible. Down hole intercepts are reported. True widths are likely to be approximately 30 to 80% of the down hole widths.



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	'down hole length, true width not known').	
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plans and sections.	Refer to plans and sections within report.
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	The accompanying document is considered to represent a balanced report.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported.	All meaningful and material data is reported.
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).	Further Drilling targeting the lateral extensions to the North and at depth are planned.