12 May 2022 ASX | AIM: 'FME'

Directors & Management

Justin Tremain, Non-Exec Chairman Allan Mulligan, Non-Exec Director Aaron Bertolatti, Finance Director Robert Mosig, Non-Exec Director Elizabeth Henson, Non-Exec Director Jardee Kininmonth, Managing Director & CEO Brian Talbot, Technical Lead

Andrew Shepherd, GM - Project Development

Investment Highlights

- 100% ownership of the Panton PGM Project in Western Australia
- Panton JORC Mineral Resource Estimate (refer Appendix One)
 - o 14.32Mt @ 4.89g/t PGM (6E), 0.31g/t Gold, 0.27% Nickel
- o 2.4Moz contained PGM's & Gold
- Full suite of PGMs, gold and base metals
- Resource outcrops | Mineralisation from surface
- Granted Mining Leases
- Metallurgical test work of >80% PGM recoveries to high grade PGM concentrate (crush, grind and flotation)
- ~\$4.3m cash (31 March 2022)





Contact Details

Future Metals NL 35 Richardson Street West Perth, WA, 6005 T: +61 8 9480 0414 E: info@future-metals.com.au W: https://future-metals.com.au/





Further Significant Mineralised Intercepts and Commencement of Updated Resource Estimation

Future Metals NL ("**Future Metals**" or the "**Company**", **ASX | AIM: FME**), a platinum group metals ("**PGM**") focused company, is pleased to report further shallow, wide PGM assay results from the historical drill hole sampling completed to date at its 100% owned Panton PGM Project ("**Panton**") in northern Western Australia. The Company has also commenced the resource estimation workstream, targeting release of a new JORC Mineral Resource Estimate ("MRE") in the coming weeks.

Highlights

- Assay results received from a further 9 historical, previously unsampled drill holes continue to confirm broad mineralised widths at Panton along the drilled 3.5km strike. Notable intercepts include (at a 0.5g/t PGM_(3E) cut-off, maximum 4m internal dilution) (refer to Table One and Appendix Two for full details):
 - 60.5m @ 1.24 g/t PdEq³ (0.86 g/t PGM_{3E²} & 0.18% Ni) from 0m (PS342)
 - 14m @ 1.60 g/t PdEq³ (1.17 g/t PGM3E² & 0.21% Ni) from 234m (PS235)
 - 12m @ 1.64 g/t PdEq³ (1.40 g/t PGM3E² & 0.15% Ni) from 254m (PS257)
 - 9.2m @ 1.70 g/t PdEq³ (1.41 g/t PGM3E² & 0.19% Ni) from 175.8m (PS264)
 - 14m @ 1.48 g/t PdEq³ (1.07 g/t PGM3E² & 0.19% Ni) from 67.05m (PS316)
 - All holes returned significant intercepts of mineralisation when applying an unconstrained PdEq cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t, intercepts included:
 - 84m @ 1.10 g/t PdEq³ (0.68 g/t PGM3E² & 0.19% Ni) from 226m (PS235)
 - 55.1m @ 1.26 g/t PdEq³ (0.87 g/t PGM3E² & 0.18% Ni) from 41m (PS341)
 - 57.85m @ 1.02 g/t PdEq³ (0.67 g/t PGM3E² & 0.15% Ni) from 244.95m (PS257)
 - 50.95m @ 1.00 g/t PdEq³ (0.62 g/t PGM_{3E²} & 0.16% Ni) from 41.55m (PS316)
- Preparation of an independent updated JORC Mineral Resource Estimate ("MRE") is underway and remains on track for completion in the guarter ending June 2022.

Mr Jardee Kininmonth, Managing Director & CEO of Future Metals, commented:

"All drill hole results returned to date have confirmed significant intercepts of mineralisation, demonstrating the strong continuity of the Panton orebody along its current 3.5km strike, and the lateral extent of mineralisation sitting outside of the chromite reefs.

With the majority of the assay results now received, work has begun on the new bulktonnage Mineral Resource Estimate and we remain on target for its release in the coming weeks. This new MRE will include both the mineralisation in the high-grade chromite reefs and the significant dunite mineralisation which envelopes the reefs, across the A, B, C and D blocks."

- ¹ PGM6E = Palladium (Pd) + Platinum (Pt) + Rhodium (Rh) + Ruthenium (Ru) + Osmium (Os) + Iridium (Ir) ² PGM3E = Palladium (Pd) + Platinum (Pt) + Gold (Au)
- 3 PdEq (Palladium Equivalent g/t) = Pd(g/t) + 0.76471xPt(g/t) + 0.875xAu(g/t) + 1.90394xNi(%) + 1.38936xCu(%) + 8.23xCo(%)



Exploration Drillhole Assay Results

An additional 9 drill hole assays have been received and continue to confirm much broader widths of shallow PGM mineralisation than modelled in the current 2.4Moz MRE (refer to Appendix One). Assays for the remaining holes submitted to the laboratory that remain outstanding are expected to be reported in the coming weeks. These latest assay results are set out in Table One below (refer to Appendix Two for the drill hole details):

	From	То	Interval	Pd	Pt	Au	PGM _{3E} ¹	Ni	Cu	Co	PdEq ²
Hole ID	(m)	(m)	(m)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(%)	(%)	(ppm)	(g/t)
Intersections based on 0.5 g/t PGM _{3E} ¹ cut-off grade, maximum 4m internal dilution											
PS235	234	248	14	0.59	0.56	0.02	1.17	0.21	0.022	163	1.60
PS235	256	287	31	0.45	0.32	0.06	0.83	0.19	0.0095	130	1.23
PS235	299.3	301.05	1.75	0.32	0.55	0.12	1.00	0.25	0.075	199	1.59
PS235	305	310	5	0.23	0.48	0.53	0.76	0.14	0.049	170	1.12
PS257	247.6	248.9	1.3	0.57	0.20	0.02	1.20	0.18	0.015	177	1.24
PS257	254	266	12	0.69	0.64	0.07	1.40	0.15	0.02	113	1.64
PS257	271	286	15	0.39	0.39	0.14	0.92	0.16	0.049	162	1.32
PS257	298	302.8	4.8	0.26	0.27	0.03	0.56	0.12	0.015	147	1.01
PS264	175.8	185	9.2	0.52	0.76	0.03	1.41	0.19	0.01	148	1.70
PS264	203	208	5	0.63	0.53	0.09	1.25	0.10	0.044	146	1.45
PS264	215	223	8	0.37	0.31	0.03	0.70	0.17	0.01	131	1.08
PS316	48.5	50	1.5	0.48	0.13	0.02	0.63	0.16	0.048	132	1.03
PS316	67.05	81.05	14	0.43	0.40	0.24	1.07	0.19	0.039	146	1.48
PS316	86	92.5	6.5	0.42	0.51	0.23	1.16	0.20	0.019	152	1.59
PS329	23	26	3	0.73	0.59	0.07	1.39	0.16	0.029	133	1.70
PS329	39	51	12	0.44	0.26	0.05	0.73	0.17	0.017	130	1.12
PS330	0.85	4	3.15	0.35	0.79	0.07	0.59	0.25	0.035	183	2.30
PS330	9.9	36	26.1	0.06	0.25	0.38	0.69	0.18	0.0147	135	1.10
PS330	41.6	42.2	0.6	0.55	0.42	0.05	1.01	0.22	0.016	184	1.50
PS330	47.65	50.35	2.7	0.30	0.21	0.02	0.53	0.20	0.008	147	0.99
PS330	57	63	6	0.51	0.27	0.02	0.79	0.16	0.011	147	1.17
PS341	69.35	89	19.65	0.43	0.39	0.06	0.87	0.18	0.019	143	1.26
PS342	0	60.5	60.5	0.37	0.43	0.05	0.86	0.18	0.014	148	1.24
Intersection	s based on 0.	5 g/t PdEq ²	ut-off grade,	, unconstrai	ined						
PS235	226	310	84	0.34	0.31	0.035	0.68	0.19	0.018	144	1.10
PS257	244.95	302.8	57.85	0.30	0.30	0.07	0.67	0.15	0.028	128	1.02
PS264	175.8	225	49.2	0.25	0.27	0.04	0.56	0.16	0.0165	131	0.93
PS316	41.55	92.5	50.95	0.28	0.23	0.11	0.62	0.16	0.025	128	1.00
PS329	1.5	53	51.5	0.25	0.16	0.03	0.43	0.14	0.023	122	0.80
P\$330	0.85	64	63.15	0.31	0.20	0.04	0.55	0.18	0.013	139	0.98
PS331	0	74	74	0.12	0.05	0.02	0.19	0.17	0.008	128	0.61
PS341	28	32	4	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.13	0.07	120	0.53
PS342	41	96.1	55.1	0.43	0.39	0.06	0.87	0.18	0.019	143	1.26

Table One | Drilling Assay Results

¹ 3E= Palladium (Pd) + Platinum (Pt) + Gold (Au)

 ${}^{2} PdEq (Palladium Equivalent g/t) = Pd(g/t) + 0.76471 \\ xPt(g/t) + 1.90394 \\ xNi(\%) + 0.875 \\ x(Au(g/t) + 1.38936 \\ xCu(\%) + 8.23 \\ xCo(\%) + 0.875 \\ x(Au(g/t) + 1.38936 \\ xCu(\%) + 0.875 \\ x(Au(g/t) +$







Figure One | Panton Drill Hole Plan





Palladium Equivalent (PdEq)

Based on metallurgical test work completed on Panton samples, all quoted elements included in the metal equivalent calculation (palladium, platinum, gold, nickel, copper and cobalt) have a reasonable potential of being ultimately recovered and sold.

Metal recoveries used in the palladium equivalent (PdEq) calculations are the midpoint of the range of recoveries for each element based on metallurgical test work undertaken to date at Panton. It should be noted that palladium and platinum grades reported in this announcement are lower than the palladium and platinum grades of samples that were subject to metallurgical test work (grades of other elements are similar).

Metal recoveries used in the palladium equivalent calculations are shown below:

Palladium 80%, Platinum 80%, Gold 70%, Nickel 45%, Copper 67.5% and Cobalt 60%

Metal prices used are also shown below:

Palladium US\$1,700/oz, Platinum US\$1,300/oz, Gold US\$1,700/oz, Nickel US\$18,500/t, Copper US\$9,000/t and Cobalt US\$60,000/t

Metal equivalents were calculated according to the follow formula:

PdEq (Palladium Equivalent g/t) = Pd(g/t) + $0.76471 \times Pt(g/t) + 0.875 \times Au(g/t) + 1.90394 \times Ni(\%) + 1.38936 \times Cu(\%) + 8.23 \times Co(\%)$

This announcement has been approved for release by the Board of Future Metals NL.

For further information, please contact:

Future Metals NL	+61 8 9480 0414
Jardee Kininmonth	info@future-metals.com.au
Strand Hanson Limited (Nominated Adviser) James Harris	+44 (0) 20 7409 3494
W H Ireland Limited (UK Broker) Harry Ansell/Katy Mitchell	+44 (0) 207 220 1670
White Noise Communications (Australian IR/PR) Fiona Marshall	+ 61 400 512 109

Competent Person's Statement:

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Shane Hibbird, who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Hibbird is the Company's Exploration Manager and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity he is undertaking to qualify as a competent person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for reporting of Exploration Results, Exploration Targets, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (JORC Code). Mr Hibbird consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based upon his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement which relates to Mineral Resources was stated in the Company's ASX Prospectus dated 18 May 2021. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the Prospectus relating to Mineral Resources, and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the Mineral Resource Estimate continue to apply and have not materially changed.

The information in this announcement that relates to Metallurgical Results is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Brian Talbot, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Talbot is a full-time employee of R-Tek Group Pty Ltd (R-Tek) a specialist metallurgical consultancy. Mr Talbot has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity he is undertaking to qualify as a competent person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for reporting of Exploration Results, Exploration Targets, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (JORC Code). Mr Talbot consents to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based upon his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information contained within this announcement is deemed by the Company to constitute inside information as stipulated under the Market Abuse Regulation (EU) No. 596/2014 as is forms part of United Kingdom domestic law pursuant to the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018, as amended.





Notes to Editors:

About Panton PGM Project

The 100% owned Panton PGM project is located 60 kilometres north of the town of Halls Creek in the eastern Kimberly region of Western Australia, a tier one mining jurisdiction. The project is located on three granted mining licences and situated just 1 kilometre off the Great North Highway which accesses the Port of Wyndham (refer to Figure Two).

The Panton PGM Project has a JORC Mineral Resource estimate of 14.32Mt @ 4.89g/t PGM, 0.31g/t Au and 0.27% Ni (refer to Appendix One).

The Panton mineralisation occurs within a layered, differentiated mafic-ultramafic intrusion referred to as the Panton intrusive which is a 10km long and 3km wide, south-west plunging synclinal intrusion. PGM mineralisation is hosted within two stratiform chromite reefs, the Upper and Middle reefs, within the ultramafic sequence.



Figure Two | Panton PGM Project Location

About Platinum Group Metals (PGMs)

PGMs are a group of six precious metals being Platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), iridium (Ir), osmium (Os), rhodium (Rh), and ruthenium (Ru). Exceptionally rare, they have similar physical and chemical properties and tend to occur, in varying proportions, together in the same geological deposit. The usefulness of PGMs is determined by their unique and specific shared chemical and physical properties.

PGMs have many desirable properties and as such have a wide variety of applications. Most notably, they are used as auto-catalysts (pollution control devices for ICE vehicles), but are also used in jewellery, electronics, hydrogen production / purification and in hydrogen fuel cells. The unique properties of PGMs help convert harmful exhaust pollutant emissions to harmless compounds, improving air quality and thereby enhancing health and wellbeing.





Appendix One

Panton JORC (2012) Mineral Resource Estimate

				Grade			Conta	ained
	T	PGM	Au	Ni	Cu	Co	PGM	Ni
	Tonnage (Mt)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(%)	(%)	(ppm)	('000oz)	(t)
Top Reef								
Measured	4.40	5.58	0.42	0.28	0.08	209	850	12,214
Indicated	4.13	6.26	0.38	0.31	0.09	232	880	12,745
Inferred	1.56	4.72	0.38	0.36	0.13	233	260	5,619
	10.09	5.73	0.40	0.30	0.09	222	1,990	30,579
Middle Reef								
Measured	2.13	2.76	0.10	0.18	0.03	186	200	3,783
Indicated	1.50	3.17	0.10	0.19	0.04	199	160	2,858
Inferred	0.60	2.58	0.10	0.19	0.05	195	50	1,161
() D	4.23	2.90	0.10	0.19	0.04	193	410	7,840
Total	14.32	4.89	0.31	0.27	0.08	214	2,400	38,492







Appendix Two

Exploration Drill Hole Details

Hole ID	Hole Type	Easting	Northing	RL (m)	Total Depth (m)	Inc (deg)	Azi (deg)
PS235	NQ2 Core	376589	8036791	467.6	367.3	-55.55	349.19
PS257	HQ3 Core	376433.4	8036658	469.5	302.8	-44	344.57
PS264	HQ3 Core	375913.3	8036507	447.3	255.1	-60.5	312.57
PS316	HQ3 Core	377483.3	8037346	486.6	92.9	-59	138.57
PS329	HQ3 Core	377872.3	8036864	442.5	53	-90	0
PS330	HQ3 Core	377766.3	8037032	447.7	64	-90	0
PS331	HQ3 Core	377249.3	8037383	466.6	74	-51	9
PS341	HQ3 Core	377464.5	8037416	494.5	96.1	-60	225.57
PS342	HQ3 Core	377294.3	8037454	457.5	62	-50	179.57





Appendix Three | JORC Code (2012) Edition Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

	Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Sampling techniques	 Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	 Sampling methods used for the samples in this announcement were HQ3 Diamond Core which was cut in half, one half is sent for assay, the remaining half is retained for reference. Sample intervals were generally 1m in length but modified to honour geological changes such as lithology contacts. Minimum sample length was 30cm. All sampling was either supervised by, or undertaken by, qualified geologists. ¹/₂ core samples were sent to Bureau Veritas, Canning Vale, Western Australia. To ensure representative sampling, for each hole, the same half of the original core was sent for assay, for example when looking at the core down hole, the right-hand side was retained in the core tray as a reference sample, and the left-hand side of the core was always sent for assay. At the laboratory the entire ¹/₂ core sample was crushed, a 300g split was pulverized to provide material for fire assay and ICP-MS.
	Drilling techniques	 Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). 	 All drill holes referred to in this announcement were drilled HQ3 (61.0mm diameter). Core is orientated, the orientation tool used for the historical drill holes has not been identified. The drilling contractor was Mt Magnet Drilling. Standard tubes were employed.
	Drill sample recovery	 Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	 Each core run is measured and checked against the drillers core blocks. Any core loss is noted. To date, core recoveries have been excellent with very little core loss reported. The drilled widths of mineralisation in these drill holes are larger than the true widths. No relationship between recovery and grade has been identified.
	Logging	 Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or 	 All drill core was logged onsite by geologists to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Logging is qualitative and records lithology, grain size, texture,
		 costean, channel, etc.) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	weathering, structure, alteration, veining and sulphides. Core is digitally photographed.All holes are logged in full.
22	Sub-sampling techniques and sample	 If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. 	 All core that is sampled is cut using a diamond saw. HQ3 core is cut in half and one half sent to the laboratory for assay, and the remaining half core kept as a reference.
	preparation	 For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	 Generally, core samples are 1 metre in length, with a minimum sample length of 30 centimetres. Sample lengths are altered from the usual 1 metre due to geological contacts, particularly around the chromitite reefs. The sample size is considered appropriate for the material being sampled.
	Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	 The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument 	 Core samples were sent to Bureau Veritas, Canning Vale, Western Australia. Future Metals NL's analysis of samples had Pt, Pd and Au determined by lead collection fire assay with a 40 gram charge with ICP-MS finish providing a lower detection limit of 1ppb. Determination of As, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni and S was by Inductively





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	 make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and the derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blank duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable level 	assay analytical methods are total. s, • No geophysical tools were used.
	of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	submitted for assay.
Verification sampling assaying	5	 Significant intercepts are calculated as composites and reported using 0.50g/t PGM3E (Pt + Pd + Au) cut-off grade. A maximum of 4m consecutive internal waste is allowed in composites.
	 Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, dat verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	
Location of points		Technologies were contracted by Platinum Australia Pty Ltd to complete downhole directional gyroscopic surveys using a Gyroscopic Deviation Tool (9095). Survey readings are recorded every ten metres and also at the surface. The Gyro accuracy is +/- 1.00 for the azimuth and +/- 0.10 for the inclination. The Gyro readings are not influenced by strongly magnetic rocks within the drill hole
		 Grid system used is Map Grid of Australia 1994, Zone 52. The topographic control is considered better than <0.5m.
Data space		 Data spacing down hole is considered appropriate at between 0.3 and 1m intervals. Samples have not been composited.
Orientatio data in rel to geologi structure	ation possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering	is as orthogonal as practicable however drilled intersections will be larger than true widths.
Sample se	 Curity • The measures taken to ensure sample security. 	 All core sample intervals are labelled in the core boxes, recoded digitally and captured with the core photography. Cut core samples are collected in bags labelled with the sample number. Samples are delivered to the Company's transport contractor in Halls Creek directly by Company personnel. Samples are then delivered to the laboratory by the transport contractor.
Audits or	 The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data 	 The Company employed industry-standard protocols. No independent audit has been conducted.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	 Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	 The Panton PGM Project is located on three granted mining licenses M80/103, M80/104 and M80/105 ('MLs'). The MLs are held 100% by Panton Sill Pty Ltd which is a 100% owned subsidiary of Future Metals NL. The MLs were granted on 17 March 1986 and are currently valid until 16 March 2028. A 0.5% net smelter return royalty is payable to Elemental Royalties Australia Pty Ltd in respect of any future production of chrome, cobalt, copper, gold, iridium, palladium, platinum, nickel, rhodium and ruthenium.
		 A 2.0% net smelter return royalty is payable to Maverix Metals (Australia) Pty Ltd on any PGMs produced from the MLs.

• There are no impediments to working in the area.





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	 The Panton deposit was discovere of Western Australia from surface early 1960s. 	
		 Pickland Mather and Co. drilled the ultramafic complex in 1970, follow which drilled 30 diamond holes be 	wed by Minsaco Resources
		 In 1989, Pancontinental Minin Exploration drilled a further 32 dri JORC compliant resource. 	• •
5		 Platinum Australia Ltd acquired conducted the majority of the drillin 34,410 metres, leading to the delin Mineral Resource Estimate. 	ng, comprising 166 holes for
D		 Panoramic Resources Ltd subseque PGM Project from Platinum Aust conducted a wide range of programmes on the Panton ore. 	ralia Ltd in May 2012 and
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	 The Panton intrusive is a layere ultramafic body that has been intr the Proterozoic Lamboo Complex Western Australia. The Panton several folding and faulting events westerly plunging synclinal structur wide. 	uded into the sediments of in the Kimberley Region of intrusion has undergone that have resulted in a south
		 PGM mineralisation is associated Chromitite reefs within the ultramar three chromite horizons, the Upper within the upper gabbroic sequ Chromitite (situated in the upper cumulate sequence) and the Lower toward the base of the ultramafic c reef mineralised zone has been m 12km. 	fic sequence. In all there are r group Chromitite (situated uence), the Middle group r portion of the ultramafic r group Chromitite (situated umulate sequence). The top
Drill hole Information	 A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	Details of all drill holes reported provided in Appendix Two.	in this announcement are
Data aggregation methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	 Significant intercepts are report weighted averages of grades above No top cuts have been applied to results. 4 metres of internal dilution is allow Higher grade intervals are incluc intervals; and have also been split where relevant. Where palladium equivalents are based on the following assumption Prices in USD 	e 0.50g/t PGM _{3E} (Pt/Pd/Au). the reporting of the assay red in the reported intervals. led in the reported grade out on a case-by-case basis reported, these values are
		\$/(t or oz)	





Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
		Pt ppm	1,300
		Au ppm	1,700
		Pd ppm	1,700
		Ni %	18,500
		Co ppm	60,000
		 Metal rec 	overies are based on past metallurgical test work.
			Recovery
			%
		Cu	67.5%
		Pt	80.0%
		Au	70.0%
		Pd	80.0%
		Ni	45.0%
		Со	60.0%
Relationship between mineralisation widths	 These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. 	-	jical drill holes have been deliberately orientated a e to the dip of the mineralised chromitite reefs
and intercept lengths	 If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. 	maximise	the amount of material recovered for metallurg The drilled thickness is considerably greater than
	 If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	true thick	ness in these drill holes as a result.
Diagrams	 Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	 Drill hole announce 	plan included in Figure One of the body of ement.
Balanced reporting	 Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	 All results reported. 	at hand at the time of this announcement have b
Other substantive exploration data	 Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	No other	exploration data is relevant.
Further work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	metallurg JORC Min	e of work will consist of additional mineralogical a jical test work. The Company plans to undertake a r neral Resource model and estimate once the majo s from the recently completed drilling have be

