



**ASX ANNOUNCEMENT** 

# 2<sup>ND</sup> NOVEMBER 2020

# THE AUSTRALIAN VANADIUM PROJECT TO PRODUCE HIGH PURITY VANADIUM PENTOXIDE

Testwork confirms high purity  $V_2O_5$  product from roast-leach pilot testwork

# **KEY POINTS**

- High purity 99.4% vanadium pentoxide (V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) produced from representative leach liquor.
- The vanadium flake product from the Australian Vanadium Project is expected to be of outstanding quality, comparable to high purity products from existing global producers.
- Testing has included APV and AMV production routes, allowing optionality in the BFS to simplify the refinery circuit and potentially lower both capital and operating costs.
- Testwork demonstrates ability to produce high purity  $V_2O_5$  at scale.

Australian Vanadium Limited (ASX: AVL, "the Company" or "AVL") is pleased to announce an update on the metallurgical testwork to support the vanadium purification flowsheet for the Australian Vanadium Project ("the Project"). This includes the production of high purity vanadium pentoxide using hydrometallurgical methods, tested as part of the detailed flowsheet validation program undertaken by the Company and its consultants since the 2018 Pre-Feasibility Study (PFS)<sup>1</sup> and following up successfully on previous vanadium pentoxide results<sup>2</sup>.

Managing Director Vincent Algar commented, "Test results have shown that the AVL process, using standard methods, has the capability to achieve high purity  $V_2O_5$  throughout the life of mine. This high purity product is comparable with the high purity vanadium products made by our established producer peers. This outcome comes from concentrating on thorough technical study work and using the process method improvements AVL has identified in that study work. Without doubt, this quality outcome further increases confidence in the Project."

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See ASX announcement dated 19<sup>th</sup> December 2018 'Gabanintha Pre-Feasibility Study and Maiden Ore Reserve'
 <sup>2</sup> See ASX Announcement dated 28<sup>th</sup> May 2019 'High Purity Vanadium Pentoxide Produced'



### **Metallurgical Testwork Summary**

A metallurgical testwork program is underway to optimise the final stages of the vanadium refining process, which includes precipitation and  $V_2O_5$  production.

All vanadium purification tests reported in this update have been performed on leach solution derived from roasted material produced in pilot scale testwork at Metso Outotec's Dansville pyrometallurgical facility in the USA. The concentrates provided to Metso were in turn generated from pilot scale crushing, milling, and beneficiation testwork at ALS laboratories in Balcatta, WA. Feed materials to the pilot program at ALS were made up from a blend of drill core designed to represent the average first five years and life of mine process feed.

The standard refining technique used in the production of vanadium pentoxide produces an intermediary chemical product called AMV (ammonium metavanadate), which was the basis of the PFS refinery flowsheet. This process includes a desilication stage (aluminosilicate precipitation and solid liquid separation) prior to precipitation of AMV at slightly basic pH.

In parallel with AMV testwork on representative leach liquor, AVL has tested an alternative mature vanadium production route known as APV (ammonium polyvanadate). The APV processing path is a direct precipitation and operates at lower pH and higher temperature. The APV testing indicates an improved vanadium recovery, a reduced ammonium sulphate reagent consumption and has generated a final product quality of 99.4%  $V_2O_5$ , which was independently verified by an accredited laboratory (see Plate 1).





Plate 1 - AVL's V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> product (left hand side image of product close up)



These encouraging results and further APV optimisation testwork currently underway will be used to assess and determine the final purification flowsheet and support AVL's vanadium product/s specification.

Following the refinery pilot testwork program, larger leachate samples will become available to evaluate further  $V_2O_5$  purification, targeting products to service the vanadium redox flow battery and specialty chemical markets. AVL is the recipient of a federal CRC-P Grant to investigate the production of high purity vanadium<sup>3</sup>.

### **Comparison to Existing Producer Product Specifications**

There are currently only three primary vanadium mines known to be operating outside China, producing  $V_2O_5$  from titanomagnetites similar in nature and grade to AVL's Project. The recent testwork summarised in this report indicates the ability for AVL to produce similar vanadium product specifications to existing producers. A direct comparison and links to publicly available information\* is shown in Table 1 below.

	V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Са	Cr	Fe	MgO	K <sub>2</sub> O + Na <sub>2</sub> O	Р	S	Si
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
AVL V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> - APV Precipitation	99.43	0.019	0.005	0.054	0.02	0.008	0.07	0.034	0.004	0.02
AVL V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> - AMV Precipitation	99.43	0.038	0.05	0.08	0.01	0.033	0.2	0.02	0	0.21
Industry Standard Grade V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	>98				<0.3		<1.5	0.05	<0.03	<0.25
Largo Resources Vpure™ V₂O₅ Flakes	98.5-99.0	<0.1	<0.06		<0.25		<0.5	<0.02		<0.3
Largo Resources Vpure+™ V₂O₅ Flakes	99.0-99.5	<0.1	<0.05	<0.02	<0.1		<0.5	<0.01	<0.02	<0.12
US Vanadium High Purity V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	99.6				0.02		0.025	0.01		0.01

# Table 1 – Comparison of AVL's $V_2O_5$ testwork results versus producer product specifications

#### \*Table References

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See ASX announcement dated 10<sup>th</sup> February 2020 'AVL Awarded \$1.25 Million Vanadium Research and Development Grant'



Fastmarkets (subscription): <u>https://www.metalbulletin.com/Article/3957059/PRICING-NOTICE-Amendment-to-Chinese-vanadium-fob-price-assessments.html</u>

Largo Resources Vpure<sup>™</sup> V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> Flakes:

https://largovpure.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/VPURE\_Technical\_StandardFlake\_v2.pdf Largo Resources Vpure+™ V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> Flakes: <u>https://largovpure.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/VPURE-Flakes-Technical-Data-Sheet-1.pdf</u> US Vanadium High Purity V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:

https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/3f18bace-1ccb-47d4-bc97b5972e76e9c6/downloads/Product%20Spec%20MC5%20Rev%209%20HPG%20Vanadium%20Pentoxide.pd f?ver=1565476335252

# **BFS Progress**

The metallurgical testwork programs that will be used to underpin the BFS processing flowsheets are nearing completion. Significant pilot scale testing has been completed to validate an optimised concentrator flowsheet and a pelletisation and grate kiln roasting circuit for the front part of the refinery flowsheet. Further leach and vanadium purification testwork is underway to optimise the downstream refinery flowsheet and this is forecast to be completed by the end of year.

AVL's engineering consultant (Wood Mining and Metals) is in the process of updating the process design for the parts of the flowsheet that have been validated by pilot testwork. This work will enable a progressive commencement of the BFS engineering activities which is targeted for completion in mid-2021.

For further information, please contact: Vincent Algar, Managing Director +61 8 9321 5594

This announcement has been approved in accordance with the Company's published continuous disclosure policy and has been approved by the Board



#### ABOUT AUSTRALIAN VANADIUM LTD

AVL is a resource company focused on vanadium, seeking to offer investors a unique exposure to all aspects of the vanadium value chain – from resource through to steel and energy storage opportunities. AVL is advancing the development of its world-class Australian Vanadium Project. The Australian Vanadium Project is currently one of the highest-grade vanadium projects being advanced globally with 208.2Mt at 0.74% vanadium pentoxide ( $V_2O_5$ ), containing a high-grade zone of 87.9Mt at 1.06%  $V_2O_5$ , reported in compliance with the JORC Code 2012 (see ASX announcement dated 19 December 2018 'Gabanintha Pre-Feasibility Study and Maiden Ore Reserve' and ASX announcement dated 4 March 2020 'Total Vanadium Resource at the Australian Vanadium Project Rises to 208 Million Tonnes'.).

The company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement and, in the case of estimates of Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves, that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. The company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

VSUN Energy is a 100% owned subsidiary of AVL, focused on developing the vanadium redox flow battery market.



# **APPENDIX 1**

The Australian Vanadium Project – Mineral Resource estimate by domain and resource classification using a nominal 0.4% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> wireframed cut-off for low-grade and nominal 0.7% V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> wireframed cut-off for high-grade (total numbers may not add up due to rounding).

2020 Feb	Category	Mt	V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %	Fe %	TiO <sub>2</sub> %	SiO <sub>2</sub> %	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> %	LOI %
	Measured	10.1	1.14	43.9	13.0	9.2	7.5	3.7
HG	Indicated	25.1	1.10	45.4	12.5	8.5	6.5	2.9
110	Inferred	52.7	1.04	44.6	11.9	9.4	6.9	3.3
	Subtotal	87.9	1.06	44.7	12.2	9.2	6.8	3.2
	Indicated	44.5	0.51	25.0	6.8	27.4	17.0	7.9
LG 2-5	Inferred	60.3	0.48	25.2	6.5	28.5	15.3	6.7
2-5	Subtotal	104.8	0.49	25.1	6.6	28.0	16.1	7.2
Trans	Inferred	15.6	0.65	28.4	7.7	24.9	15.4	7.9
6-8	Subtotal	15.6	0.65	28.4	7.7	24.9	15.4	7.9
	Measured	10.1	1.14	43.9	13.0	9.2	7.5	3.7
Total	Indicated	69.6	0.72	32.4	8.9	20.6	13.2	6.1
	Inferred	128.5	0.73	33.5	8.8	20.2	11.9	5.4
	Subtotal	208.2	0.74	33.6	9.0	19.8	12.1	5.6

#### **COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT — MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATION**

The information in this announcement that relates to Mineral Resources is based on and fairly represents information compiled by Mr Lauritz Barnes, (consultant with Trepanier Pty Ltd) and Mr Brian Davis (consultant with Geologica Pty Ltd). Mr Barnes and Mr Davis are both members of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM) and the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG). Both have sufficient experience of relevance to the styles of mineralisation and types of deposits under consideration, and to the activities undertaken to qualify as Competent Persons as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Specifically, Mr Barnes is the Competent Person for the estimation and Mr Davis is the Competent Person for the database, geological model and site visits. Mr Barnes and Mr Davis consent to the inclusion in this announcement of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which they appear.

#### **COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT – METALLURGICAL RESULTS**

The information in this announcement that relates to Metallurgical Results is based on information compiled by independent consulting metallurgist Brian McNab (CP. B.Sc Extractive Metallurgy). Mr McNab is a Member of AusIMM. He is employed by Wood Mining and Metals. Mr McNab has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which is undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the JORC 2012 Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr McNab consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on the information made available to him, in the form and context in which it appears.



# **APPENDIX 2**

#### Section 1 - Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
ampling fechniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	The Australian Vanadium Project deposit was sampled using diamond core and reverse circulation (RC) percussion drilling from surface. During 2019 43 RC holes were drilled; 30 RC holes were drilled for 2,236m in the December 2019 drilling on blocks 16 and 8, and 13 RC holes for 1,224m drilled during October 2019. A further 30 PQ diamond drill holes were completed by March 2019, to collect metallurgy sample for a plant pilot study. 12 are drilled down-dip int the high-grade zone. These were complimented by an additional 18 PQ diamond drill tails on RC pre-collars, drilling vertically. The down dip holes are measured by hand-held XRF at 50 cm intervals to inform metallurgy characterisation but will not form part of any resource estimation update unless certified laboratory analysis is completed on a cut portion of the drill core. 14 of the 18 diamond tails were cut and a ¼ of the PQ sized core was sent for analysis. At the time of the latest Mineral Resource estimation (November 2018), a total of 250 RC holes and 20 diamond holes (6 of which are diamond tails) were drilled into the deposit. 59 of the 251 holes were either too far north or east of the main mineralisation trend or excised due to being on another tenancy. One section in the southern part of the deposit (holes GRC0156, GRC0037, GRC0037 and GRC0038) was blocked out and excluded from the resource due to what appeared to be an intrusion which affected the mineralised zones in this area. Of the remaining 191 drill holes, one had geological logging, but no assays and one was excluded due to poor sample return causing poor representation of the mineralised zones. Two diamond holes drilled during 2018 were ent part of the resource estimate, as they were drilled into the western wall for geotechnical purposes. The total metres of drilling available for use in the interpretation and grade estimation was 20,058m of RC and 3,299.27m of DDH over 245 holes at the date of the most recent resource estimate. The initial 17 RC drill holes were drilled by Intermin Reso
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample	PQ core from diamond tails was ¼ cored and sent for assay. The remaining core went to make up the pilot plant metallurgical sample. The down dip 2019 PQ core has not been sampled. Handheld XRF machines being used to take ½ metre measurements on the core have been calibrated



1		
	representivity and the appropriate	using pulps from previous drilling by the Company, for which there are known head assays. 2018 HQ diamond core was half-core sampled at
	calibration of any measurement	regular intervals (usually one metre) with smaller sample intervals at geological boundaries. 2015 diamond core was quarter-core sampled at
	tools or systems used.	regular intervals (usually one metre) and constrained to geological boundaries where appropriate. 2009 HQ diamond core was half-core sampled
		at regular intervals (one metre) or to geological boundaries. Most of the RC drilling was sampled at one metre intervals, apart from the very
		earliest programme in 1998. RC samples have been split from the rig for all programmes with a cone splitter to obtain 2.5 – 3.5 kg of sample from
		each metre. Field duplicates were collected for every 40th drill metre to check sample grade representation from the drill rig splitter. During the
		October 2019 RC programme, field duplicates were collected from the rig splitter for every 30 <sup>th</sup> drill metre. During the December 2019 RC
		programme, field duplicates were collected from the rig splitter for every 20 <sup>th</sup> drill metre.
	Aspects of the determination of	RC drilling samples were collected at one metre intervals and passed through a cone splitter to obtain a nominal 2.5-3kg sample at an
	mineralisation that are Material to	approximate 10% split ratio. These split samples were collected in pre-numbered calico sample bags. The sample was dried, crushed and
	the Public Report.	pulverised to produce a sub sample (~200g) for laboratory analysis using XRF and total LOI by thermo-gravimetric analysis.
		Diamond core was drilled predominantly at HQ size for the earlier drilling (2009) and entirely HQ for the 2018 programme with the 2015 and 2019
		drilling at PQ3 size.
		Field during the device and blanks have been incerted into the complian stream at a rate of nervicelly 4,00 for blanks, 4,00 for standards
		Field duplicates, standards and blanks have been inserted into the sampling stream at a rate of nominally 1:20 for blanks, 1:20 for standards
		(including internal laboratory), 1:40 for field duplicates, 1:20 for laboratory checks and 1:74 for umpire assays. For this RC programme completed
		in December 2019, the field duplicates were incorporated at a rate of 1:20, while standards 1:50 and blanks also 1:50.
Drilling	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse	Diamond drill holes account for 16% of the drill metres used in the Resource Estimate and comprises HQ and PQ3 sized core. RC drilling
Techniques	circulation, open-hole hammer,	(generally 135 mm to 140 mm face-sampling hammer) accounts for the remaining 84% of the drilled metres. Six of the diamond holes have RC
rechinques	rotary air blast, auger, Bangka,	pre-collars (GDH911, GDH913 & GDH916, 18GEDH001, 002 and 003), otherwise all holes are drilled from surface.
	sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core	No extra prioritation data have been recorded in the database
	diameter, triple or standard tube,	No core orientation data has been recorded in the database.
	depth of diamond tails, face-	17 RC holes were drilled during the 2018 programme and three HQ diamond tails were drilled on RC pre-collars for resource and geotechnical
	sampling bit or other type,	purposes. The core was not orientated but all diamond holes were logged by OTV and ATV televiewer. Six RC holes from the 2018 campaign are
	whether core is oriented and if so,	not used in the resource estimate due to results pending at the time of the latest update, and two diamond holes drilled during 2018 were not used
	by what method, etc.).	as they are for geotechnical purposes and do not intersect the mineralised zones.



			During 2019 a further 12 PQ diamond holes have been drilled down-dip on the high-grade zone for metallurgical sample but have not been
			sampled for assay analysis as they have been sampled for a metallurgy pilot study programme. As such they do not form part of any resource
			estimation. An addition 18 PQ diamond tails on RC pre-collars have been drilled vertically, of which 14 contribute to the resource. two were used
			for the metallurgy pilot study programme, one was not sampled due to core loss and a further core hole cut but not submitted for assay. A further
			43 RC holes using a 140 mm face hammer on a Schramm drill rig have been completed during October and December 2019.
	Drill Sample	Method of recording and	Diamond core recovery is measured when the core is recovered from the drill string. The length of core in the tray is compared with the expected
	Recovery	assessing core and chip sample	drilled length and is recorded in the database.
		recoveries and results assessed.	For the 2019, 2018 and 2015 drilling, RC chip sample recovery was judged by how much of the sample was returned from the cone splitter. This
			was recorded as good, fair, poor or no sample. The older drilling programmes used a different splitter, but still compared and recorded how much
615			sample was returned for the drilled intervals. All of the RC sample bags (non-split portion) from the 2018 programme were weighed as an
(QD)			additional check on recovery.
			An experienced AVL geologist was present during drilling and any issues noticed were immediately rectified.
(0/2)			An experienced AVE geologist was present during drining and any issues noticed were inimediately rectined.
			No significant sample recovery issues were encountered in the RC or PQ drilling in 2015.
			No significant sample recovery issues were encountered in the RC or PQ drilling in 2019 except where core loss occurred in three holes
			intersecting high grade ore. This involved holes 19MTDT012 between 142.9m and 143.3m; 19MTDT013 from 149m to 149.6m, 151m to 151.4m
			and 159.5m to 160m; as well as 19MTDT016 between 29.5m and 30.7m down hole. In each case the interval lost was included as zero grade for
api			all elements for the estimation of the total mineralised intercept.
$(\zeta \cup)$			
		Measures taken to maximize	Core depths are checked against the depth given on the core blocks and rod counts are routinely carried out by the drillers. Recovered core was
		sample recovery and ensure	measured and compared against driller's blocks. 2019 diamond core samples had a coarse split created at the laboratory that was also analysed
		representative nature of the	to evaluate laboratory splitting of the sample.
		samples.	RC chip samples were actively monitored by the geologist whilst drilling. Field duplicates have been taken at a frequency between every 30 <sup>th</sup> and
(O)			every 50 <sup>th</sup> metre in every RC drill campaign.
			All drill holes are collared with PVC pipe for the first metres, to ensure the hole stays open and clean from debris.
Dersonal USD	[		1
$(\bigcirc)$			



	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	No relationship between sample recovery and grade has been demonstrated. Two shallow diamond drill holes drilled to twin RC holes have been completed to assess sample bias due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. AVL is satisfied that the RC holes have taken a sufficiently representative sample of the mineralisation and minimal loss of fines has occurred in the RC drilling resulting in minimal sample bias.
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical	All diamond core and RC chips from holes included in the latest resource estimate were geologically logged. Diamond core was geologically logged using predefined lithological, mineralogical and physical characteristics (such as colour, weathering, fabric, texture) logging codes and the logged intervals were based on lithological intervals. RQD and recoveries were also recorded. Minimal structural measurements were recorded (bedding to core angle measurements) but have not yet been saved to the database. The logging was completed on site by the responsible geologist. All of the drilling was logged onto paper and was transferred to a SQL Server drill
	studies.	hole database using DataShed <sup>™</sup> database management software. The database is managed by Mitchell River Group (MRG). The data was checked for accuracy when transferred to ensure that correct information was recorded. Any discrepancies were referred back to field personnel for checking and editing.
		All core trays were photographed wet and dry. RC chips were logged generally on metre intervals, with the abundance/proportions of specific minerals, material types, lithologies, weathering and colour recorded. Physical hardness for RC holes is estimated by chip recovery and properties (friability, angularity) and in diamond holes by scratch testing.
		From 2015, drilling also had magnetic susceptibility recorded, with the first nine diamond holes (GDH901-GDH909) having readings taken on the core every 30 cm or so downhole. Holes GDH910 to GDH917 had readings every 50 cm and RC holes GRC0159 to GRC0221 had readings for each one metre green sample bag. 2018 RC drill holes also have magnetic susceptibility data for each one metre of drilling. Pulps from historic



	Whether logging is qualitativ quantitative in nature. Core costean, channel, etc.) photography.
	The total length and percent of the relevant intersections logged.
Sub- Sampling Techniques and Sample Preparation	If core, whether cut or sawn whether quarter, half or all c taken.

	drill hole have been measured for magnetic susceptibility, with calibration on results applied from control sample measurement of pulps from drill
	programmes from 2015 onwards where measurements of the RC bags already exist.
	All resource (vs geotechnical) diamond core and RC samples have been logged to a level of detail to support Mineral Resource estimation to and
	classification to Measured Mineral Resource at best.
	Geotechnical logging and OTV/ATV data was collected on three diamond drill holes from the 2018 campaign, by consultant company Dempers
	and Seymour, adding to an existing dataset of geotechnical logging on 8 of the 2015 diamond drill holes and televiewer data for four of the same
	drill holes. In addition, during 2018 televiewer data was collected on a further 15 RC drill holes from various drill campaigns at the project.
	PQ diamond drill holes completed during 2019 were geologically and geotechnically logged in detail by the site geologists.
Whether logging is qualitative or	Logging was both qualitative and quantitative in nature, with general lithology information recorded as qualitative and most mineralisation records
quantitative in nature. Core (or	and geotechnical records being quantitative. Core photos were collected for all diamond drilling.
costean, channel, etc.)	
photography.	
The total length and percentage	All recovered intervals were geologically logged.
of the relevant intersections	
logged.	
logged.	
If core, whether cut or sawn and	The 2018 and 2009 HQ diamond core were cut in half and the half core samples were sent to the laboratories for assaying. Sample intervals were
whether quarter, half or all core	marked on the core by the responsible geologist considering lithological and structural features. No core was selected for duplicate analysis.
taken.	The 2015 DO diamond ears was sut in helf and then the right hand side of the care (facing downhole) was hely ad again using a new and care
	The 2015 PQ diamond core was cut in half and then the right-hand side of the core (facing downhole) was halved again using a powered core saw. Quarter core samples were sent to the laboratories for assaying. Sample intervals were marked on the core by the responsible geologist
	considering lithological and structural features. No core was selected for duplicate analysis.
	14 of the 18 total vertical diamond PQ diamond drill holes from 2019 have been quarter core sampled and assayed. Sample intervals were marked
	on the core by the responsible geologist considering lithological and structural features.
<u> </u>	



	If non-core, whether riffled, tube	RC drilling was sampled by use of an automatic cone splitter for the 2019, 2018 and 2015 drilling programmes; drilling was generally dry with a
	sampled, rotary split, etc. and	few damp samples and occasional wet samples. Older drilling programmes employed riffle splitters to produce the required sample splits for
	whether sampled wet or dry.	assaying. One in 40 to 50 RC samples was resampled as field duplicates for QAQC assaying, with this frequency increasing to one in 30 for the
		October 2019 RC drilling, and one in 20 for the December 2019 RC drilling.
	For all sample types, the nature,	The sample preparation techniques employed for the diamond core samples follow standard industry best practice. All samples were crushed by
	quality and appropriateness of the	jaw and Boyd crushers and split if required to produce a standardised ~3kg sample for pulverising. The 2015 programme RC chips were split to
	sample preparation technique.	produce the same sized sample.
		All complex were pulserized to a particul 00% passing 75 microp sizing and sub complex for executing and LOL determination tests. The remaining
		All samples were pulverised to a nominal 90% passing 75 micron sizing and sub sampled for assaying and LOI determination tests. The remaining pulps are stored at an AVL facility.
		pulps are stored at an AVE facility.
		The sample preparation techniques are of industry standard and are appropriate for the sample types and proposed assaying methods.
	Quality control procedures	Field duplicates, standards and blanks have been inserted into the sampling stream at a rate of nominally 1:20 for blanks, 1:20 for standards
	adopted for all sub-sampling	(including internal laboratory), 1:40 for field duplicates, 1:20 for laboratory checks and 1:74 for umpire assays. Also, for the recent sampling at BV,
	stages to maximize representivity	1 in 20 samples were tested to check for pulp grind size. For 2019 diamond core samples, duplicates were created from the coarse crush at a
	of samples.	frequency of 1 in 20 samples at the laboratory and assayed.
-	Measures taken to ensure that the	To ensure the samples collected are representative of the in-situ material, a 140mm diameter RC hammer was used to collect one metre samples
	sampling is representative of the	and either HQ or PQ3 sized core was taken from the diamond holes. Given that the mineralisation at the Australian Vanadium Project is either
	in-situ material collected,	massive or disseminated magnetite/martite hosted vanadium, which shows good consistency in interpretation between sections and occurs as
	including for instance results for	percentage values in the samples, Geologica Pty Ltd considers the sample sizes to be representative.
	field duplicate/second-half	
	sampling.	Core is not split for duplicates, but RC samples are split at the collection stage to get representative (2.5-3kg) duplicate samples.
		The entire core sample and all the RC chips are crushed and /or mixed before splitting to smaller sub-samples for assaying.
L		



		Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	As all of the chosen sar
rsonal use only	Quality of Assay Data and Laboratory Tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	All samples gravimetric samples in (or other m Laboratory Although th standards a Samples an the other is analysing a Certified ar standards i laboratory s Materials (( are also ce Most of the however th Standards certified bu Field duplic The BV lab repeat anal elements.

nether sample sizes are propriate to the grain size of the aterial being sampled.	As all of the variables being tested occur as moderate to high percentage values and generally have very low variances (apart from Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ), the chosen sample sizes are deemed appropriate.
e nature, quality and propriateness of the assaying d laboratory procedures used d whether the technique is nsidered partial or total.	All samples for the Australian Vanadium Project were assayed for the full iron ore suite by XRF (24 elements) and for total LOI by thermo- gravimetric technique. The method used is designed to measure the total amount of each element in the sample. Some 2015 and 2018 RC samples in the oxide profile were also selected for SATMAGAN analysis that is a measure of the amount of total iron that is present as magnetite (or other magnetic iron spinel phases, such as maghemite or kenomagnetite). SATMAGAN analysis was conducted at Bureau Veritas (BV) Laboratory during 2018.
	Although the laboratories changed over time for different drilling programmes, the laboratory procedures all appear to be in line with industry standards and appropriate for iron ore deposits, and the commercial laboratories have been industry recognized and certified
	Samples are dried at 105°C in gas fired ovens for 18-24 hours before RC samples being split 50:50. One portion is retained for future testing, while the other is then crushed and pulverised. Sub-samples are collected to produce a 66g sample that is used to produce a fused bead for XRF based analysing and reporting.
	Certified and non-certified Reference Material standards, field duplicates and umpire laboratory analysis are used for quality control. The standards inserted by AVL during the 2015 drill campaign were designed to test the $V_2O_5$ grades around 1.94%, 0.95% and 0.47%. The internal laboratory standards used have varied grade ranges but do cover these three grades as well. During 2018 and 2019, three Certified Reference Materials (CRMs) were used by AVL as field standards. These covered the $V_2O_5$ grade ranges around 0.327%, 0.790% and 1.233%. These CRMs are also certified for other relevant major element and oxide values, including Fe, TiO <sub>2</sub> , Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , SiO <sub>2</sub> , Co, Ni and Cu (amongst others).
	Most of the laboratory standards used show an apparent underestimation of $V_2O_5$ , with the results plotting below the expected value lines, however the results generally fall within $\pm$ 5-10% ranges of the expected values. The other elements show no obvious material bias.
	Standards used by AVL during 2015 generally showed good precision, falling within 3-5% of the mean value in any batch. The standards were not certified but compared with the internal laboratory standards (certified) they appear to show good accuracy as well.
	Field duplicate results from the 2015 drilling all fall within 10% of their original values.
	The BV laboratory XRF machine calibrations are checked once per shift using calibration beads made using exact weights and they performed repeat analyses of sample pulps at a rate of 1:20 (5% of all samples). The lab repeats compare very closely with the original analysis for all



		2019 PQ diamond core has been assayed, and studies on all results for QAQC sample performance is in progress.
		Geologica considers that the nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures is at acceptable industry standards.
	For geophysical tools,	The geophysical readings taken for the Australian Vanadium Project core and RC samples and recorded in the database were magnetic
	spectrometers, handheld XRF	susceptibility. For the 2009 diamond and 2015 RC and diamond drill campaigns this was undertaken using an RT1 hand magnetic susceptibility
	instruments, etc, the parameters	meter (CorMaGeo/Fugro) with a sensitivity of 1 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> (dimensionless units). The first nine diamond holes (GDH901 – GDH909) were sampled at
	used in determining the analysis	approximately 0.3m intervals, the last eight (GDH910 – GDH917) at 0.5m intervals and the RC chip bags for every green bagged sample (one
$\bigcirc$	including instrument make and	metre). During 2018 and 2019 RC and diamond core has been measured using a KT-10 magnetic susceptibility metre, at 1 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> ssi unit. In
	model, reading times, calibrations	addition to the handheld magnetic susceptibility described above the 2019 diamond drilling included downhole magnetic susceptibility. This was
	factors applied and their	taken using a Century Geophysical 9622 Magnetic Susceptibility tool. The 9622 downhole tool sensitivity is 20 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> with a resolution of 10cm
615	derivation, etc.	
		2019 diamond core was analysed using an Olympus Vanta pXRF with a 20 second read time. The unit is calibrated using pulp samples with
		known head assays from previous drill campaigns by the Company. Standard deviations for each element analysed are being recorded and
$(\langle \rangle \rangle)$		retained. Elements being analysed are: Mg, Al, Si, P, S, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Mo, Ag, Cd, Sn, Sb, W,
00		Hg, Pb, Bi, Th, and U.
5		Four completed diamond drill holes were down hole surveyed by acoustic televiewer (GDH911, 912, 914 and 915) as a prequel to geotechnical
		logging during the 2015 drill campaign. A further six holes from the 2018 campaign have been down hole surveyed using acoustic televiewer and
		optical televiewer (18GEDH001, 002 and 003 and partial surveys of 18GERC005, 008 and 011) for 627 metres of data.
(75)		Televiewer data was also collected during 2018 on some of the holes drilled in 2015 and prior. The holes surveyed were GRC0019, 0024, 0168,
(())		0169, 0173, 0178, 0180, 0183, 0200 and Na253, Na258 and Na376 for a further 286.75 m of data.
		All 12 of the 2019 down dip PQ holes have been televiewer surveyed.
	Nature of quality control	QAQC results from both the primary and secondary assay laboratories show no material issues with the main variables of interest for the recent
20	procedures adopted (e.g.	assaying programmes.
(U/z)	standards, blanks, duplicates,	
Ĩ	external laboratory checks) and	
	whether acceptable levels of	
ersonal use only		



	accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and	
	precision have been established.	
Verification of	The verification of significant	Diamond drill care photographs have been reviewed for the recorded cample intervals. Coolegies Dty Ltd Consultant, Drian Davis, visited the
		Diamond drill core photographs have been reviewed for the recorded sample intervals. Geologica Pty Ltd Consultant, Brian Davis, visited the
Sampling and	intersections by either	Australian Vanadium Project site on multiple occasions and the BV core shed and assay laboratories in 2015 and 2018. Whilst on site, the drill
Assaying	independent or alternative	hole collars and remaining RC chip samples were inspected. All of the core was inspected in the BV facilities in Perth and selected sections of drill
	company personnel.	holes were examined in detail in conjunction with the geological logging and assaying.
		Resource consultants from Trepanier have visited the company core storage facility in Bayswater and reviewed the core trays for select diamond
		holes.
	The use of twinned holes.	Two diamond drill holes (GDH915 and GDH917) were drilled to twin the RC drill holes GRC0105 and GRC0162 respectively. The results show
		excellent reproducibility in both geology and assayed grade for each pair.
	Documentation of primary data,	All primary geological data has been collected using paper logs and transferred into Excel spreadsheets and ultimately a SQL Server Database.
	data entry procedures, data	The data were checked on import. Assay results were returned from the laboratories as electronic data which were imported directly into the SQL
	verification, data storage (physical	Server database. Survey and collar location data were received as electronic data and imported directly to the SQL database.
	and electronic) protocols.	
		All of the primary data have been collated and imported into a Microsoft SQL Server relational database, keyed on borehole identifiers and assay
		sample numbers. The database is managed using DataShed™ database management software. The data was verified as it was entered and
		checked by the database administrator (MRG) and AVL personnel
	Discuss any adjustment to assay	No adjustments or calibrations were made to any assay data, apart from resetting below detection limit values to half positive detection values.
		The adjustments of camprations were made to any assay data, apart nom resetting below detection limit values to hall positive detection values.
	data.	



	Location of	Accuracy and quality of surveys	The 2019 drill h
	Data Points	used to locate drill holes (collar	picked up by a
USB ONIV		and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	For the 2018 dr station accurac Company datat For the 2015 dr using the same Historical drill h necessary. Only their position w Downhole surve GRC0159). Sor holes have sing holes with inter holes were alm
(D)		Specification of the grid system used.	The grid project used for this lat
		Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	High resolution aircraft, with su high-resolution collar positions could be achiev the mining leas visual examinat surface for topo

of	Accuracy and quality of surveys	The 2019 drill holes have been set out using a real-time Kinematic (RTK) GPS or DGPS system. At completion of drilling the collar positions were
ts	used to locate drill holes (collar	picked up by a professional surveyor with an RTK system.
	and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	For the 2018 drilling, all collars were set out using a handheld GPS. After drilling they were surveyed using a Trimble RTK GPS system. The base station accuracy on site was improved during the 2015 survey campaign and a global accuracy improvement was applied to all drill holes in the Company database.
		For the 2015 drilling, all of the collars were set out using a Trimble RTK GPS system. After completion of drilling all new collars were re-surveyed using the same tool.
		Historical drill holes were surveyed with RTK GPS and DGPS from 2008 to 2015, using the remaining visible collar location positions where necessary. Only five of the early drill holes, drilled prior to 2000 by Intermin, had no obvious collar position when surveyed and a best estimate of their position was used based on planned position data.
		Downhole surveys were completed for all diamond holes, using gyro surveying equipment, as well as the RC holes drilled in 2015 (from GRC0159). Some RC drill holes from the 2018 campaign do not have gyro survey as the hole closed before the survey could be done. These holes have single shot camera surveys, from which the dip readings were used with an interpreted azimuth (nominal hole setup azimuth). The holes with interpreted azimuth are all less than 120m depth. All other RC holes were given a nominal -60° dip measurement. These older RC holes were almost all 120m or less in depth.
	Specification of the grid system used.	The grid projection used for the Australian Vanadium Project is MGA_GDA94, Zone 50. A local grid has also been developed for the project and used for this latest Mineral Resource update (February 2020). The grid is a 40 degree rotation in the clockwise direction from the MGA north.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	High resolution Digital Elevation Data was captured by Arvista for the Company in June 2018 over the MLA51/878 tenement area using fixed wing aircraft, with survey captured at 12 cm GSD using an UltraCam camera system operated by Aerometrex. The data has been used to create a high-resolution Digital Elevation Model on a grid spacing of 5m x 5m, which is within 20 cm of all surveyed drill collar heights, once the database collar positions were corrected for the improved ground control survey, that was also used in this topography survey. The vertical accuracy that could be achieved with the 12 cm GSD is +/- 0.10 m and the horizontal accuracy is +/- 0.24m. 0.5m contour data has also been generated over the mining lease application. High quality orthophotography was also acquired during the survey at 12cm per pixel for the full lease area, and visual examination of the imagery shows excellent alignment with the drill collar positions. The November 2018 Mineral Resource used this surface for topographic control within the Mining Lease Application area (MLA51/878).



			For the en
			resolution Septembe
			resampled
			data may
			In 2015 a
			the drill ho
			An improv
			control po ground co
			calibrated
			2019 drill (
$(\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O})$			
	Data Spacing	Data spacing for reporting of	2019 RC o
	and	Exploration Results.	m drill cen between li
	Distribution		2019 diam
			spacing.
$(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U})$			The 2018
			spaced dr
			The close
()			Occasiona
			7015400m
$(\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O})$			easting se
615		1	1

	For the entire 2017 and July 2018 Mineral Resource estimates, and the November 2018 Mineral Resource estimate outside the MLA area, high resolution Digital Elevation Data was supplied by Landgate. The northern two thirds of the elevation data is derived from ADS80 imagery flown September 2014. The data has a spacing of 5M and is the most accurate available. The southern third is film camera derived 2005 10M grid, resampled to match it with the 2014 DEM. Filtering was applied and height changes are generally within 0.5M. Some height errors in the 2005 data may be +/- 1.5M when measured against AHD but within the whole area of interest any relative errors will mostly be no more than +/- 1M.
	In 2015 a DGPS survey of hole collars and additional points was taken at conclusion of the drill programme. Trepanier compared the elevations the drill holes with the supplied DEM surface and found them to be within 1m accuracy.
	An improved ground control point has been established at the Australian Vanadium Project by professional surveyors. This accurate ground control point was used during the acquisition of high quality elevation data. As such, a correction to align previous surveys with the improved ground control was applied to all drill collars from pre-2018 in the Company drill database. Collars that were picked up during 2018 were already calibrated against the new ground control.
	2019 drill collar locations all have RTK pick up by professional surveyors, using the improved ground control point.
ta spacing for reporting of ploration Results.	2019 RC drilling in Fault Block 50 and 60 (previously 16 and 8 respectively) has drilled out portions of the fault block to 140 m spaced lines with 30 m drill centres on lines. Some sections are closer together where new drilling bracketed existing drill lines to maintain a minimum 140 m spacing between lines.
	2019 diamond tail drilling has intersected the HG at about 60 m downdip from the last existing drill hole on select sections that are at 80 m spacing.
	The 2018 RC drilling in Fault Block 30 and 40 (previously 17 and 6 respectively) has infilled areas of 260 m spaced drill lines to about 130m spaced drill lines, with holes on 30 m centres on each line.
	The closer spaced drilled areas of the deposit now have approximately 80m to 100m spacing by northing and 25m to 30m spacing by easting. Occasionally these spacings are closer for some pairs of drill holes. Outside of the main area of relatively close spaced drilling (approximately 7015400mN to 7016600mN), the drill hole spacing increases to between 140m and 400m in the northing direction but maintains roughly the same easting separation as the closer spaced drilled area.



	Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	The asso little
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	All a com
Orientation of Data in Relation to Geological Structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	The arran 7015 tabu the s mine
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	The angl The mate to de geol
	1	

Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	The grid rotation is approximately 45° to 50° magnetic to the west, with the holes dipping approximately 60° to the east. The drill fences are arranged along the average strike of the high-grade mineralised horizon, which strikes approximately 310° to 315° magnetic south of a line at 7015000mN and approximately 330° magnetic north of that line. The mineralisation is interpreted to be moderate to steeply dipping, approximately tabular, with stratiform bedding striking approximately north-south and dipping to the west. The drilling is exclusively conducted perpendicular to the strike of the main mineralisation trend and dipping 60° to the east, producing approximate true thickness sample intervals through the mineralisation.
If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this	The orientation of drilling with respect to mineralisation is not expected to introduce any sampling bias. Drill holes intersect the mineralisation at an angle of approximately 90 degrees. The 2019 PQ diamond holes are deliberately drilled down dip to maximise the amount of metallurgy sample collected for the pilot study, with all material used for metallurgy purposes (hence not being available for assay). They are not intended to add material to the resource estimation, or to define geological boundaries, though where further control on geological contacts is intercepted, this will be used to add more resolution to the



	Sample	The measures taken
	Security	sample security.
	Audits or	The results of any au
	Reviews	reviews of sampling and data.
	Section 2 - Rep	orting of Explora
	Criteria	JORC Code Explan
(D)	Mineral tenement and land tenure	Type, reference nam ownership including with third parties suc
	status	partnerships, overrid interests, historical s park and environmer
		L
		The security of the te
		The security of the te reporting along with obtaining a licence to
		reporting along with

ample	The measures taken to ensure	Samples were collected onsite under supervision of a responsible geologist. The samples were then stored in lidded core trays and closed with
	sample security.	straps before being transported by road to the BV core shed in Perth (or other laboratories for the historical data). RC chip samples were
ecurity		transported in bulk bags to the assay laboratory and the remaining green bags are either still at site or stored in Perth.
		RC and core samples were transported using only registered public transport companies. Sample dispatch sheets were compared against
		received samples and any discrepancies reported and corrected.
udits or	The results of any audits or	A review of the sampling techniques and data was completed by Mining Assets Pty Ltd (MASS) and Schwann Consulting Pty Ltd (Schwann) in
	reviews of sampling techniques	2008 and by CSA in 2011. Neither found any material error. AMC also reviewed the data in the course of preparing a Mineral Resource estimate
eviews	and data.	in 2015. The database has been audited and rebuilt by AVL and MRG in 2015. In 2017 geological data was revised after missing lithological data
		was sourced.
		Geologica Pty Ltd concludes that the data integrity and consistency of the drill hole database shows sufficient quality to support resource
		estimation.

# ation Results

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	<ul> <li>Exploration Prospects are located wholly within Lease P51/2567, P51/2566 and E 51/843. The tenements are 100% owned by Australian Vanadium Ltd.</li> <li>The tenements lie within the Yugunga Nya Native Title Claim (WC1999/046). A Heritage survey was undertaken prior to commencing drilling which only located isolated artefacts but no archaeological sites <i>per se</i>.</li> <li>Mining Lease Application MLA51/878 covering most of E 51/843 and the vanadium project is currently under consideration by the Department of Mines and Petroleum.</li> <li>AVL has no joint venture, environmental, national park or other ownership agreements on the lease area. A Mineral Rights Agreement has been signed with Bryah Resources Ltd for copper and gold exploration on the AVL Gabanintha tenements.</li> </ul>
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	At the time of reporting, there are no known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area and the tenement is in good standing.



Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	The Australian Vanadium deposit was identified in the 1960s by Mangore P/L and investigated with shallow drilling, surface sampling and mapping. In 1998, Drilling by Intermin Resources confirmed the down dip extent and strike continuation under cover between outcrops of the vanadium bearing horizons. Additional RC and initial diamond drilling was conducted by Greater Pacific NL and then AVL up until 2019. Previous Mineral Resource estimates have been completed for the deposit in 2001 (Mineral Engineering Technical Services Pty Ltd (METS) and Bryan Smith Geosciences Pty Ltd. (BSG)), 2007 (Schwann), 2008 (MASS & Schwann), 2011 (CSA), 2015 (AMC), 2017 (Trepanier) and 2018 (Trepanier).
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<ul> <li>The Australian Vanadium Project is located approximately 40kms south of Meekatharra in Western Australia and approximately 100kms along strike (north) of the Windimurra Vanadium Mine.</li> <li>The mineralisation is hosted in the same geological unit as Windimurra, which is part of the northern Murchison granite greenstone terrane in the north west Yilgarn Craton. The project lies within the Gabanintha and Porlell Archaean greenstone sequence oriented approximately NW-SE and is adjacent to the Meekatharra greenstone belt.</li> <li>Locally the mineralisation is massive or bands of disseminated vanadiferous titano-magnetite hosted within the gabbro. The mineralised package dips moderately to steeply to the west and is capped by Archaean acid volcanics and metasediments. The footwall is a talc carbonate altered ultramafic unit.</li> <li>The host sequence is disrupted by late stage dolerite and granite dykes and occasional east and northeast -southwest trending faults with apparent minor offsets. The mineralisation ranges in thickness from several metres to up to 20 to 30m in thickness.</li> <li>The oxidized and partially oxidised weathering surface extends 40 to 80m below surface and the magnetite in the oxide zone is usually altered to Martite.</li> </ul>
Drill hole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole	All drill results relevant to the mineral resource updates were disclosed at the time of the resource publication. All new 2018 and 2019 drill hole collar information relating to this resource update are shown in Appendix 3.



Þ		down hole length and inte length.
	Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration R averaging techniques, ma grade truncations (e.g. cu cut-off grades are usually stated.
		Where aggregate intercep lengths of high grade resu low grade results, the pro- aggregation should be sta examples of such aggrega detail.
		The assumptions used for equivalent values should
	Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	If the geometry of the min the drill hole angle is know reported.
	Diagrams	Appropriate maps and set tabulations of intercepts s significant discovery being include, but not be limited collar locations and appro
		·

	down hole length and interception depth hole length.	
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	Length weighed averages used for exploration results are reported in spatial context when exploration results are reported. Cutting of high grades was not applied in the reporting of intercepts.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	There were negligible residual composite lengths, and where present these were excluded from the estimate.
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	No metal equivalent values have been used.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.	Drill holes intersect the mineralisation at an angle of approximately 90 degrees. Diamond PQ holes in the 2019 program were drilled vertically (-90 degrees). This decreases the angle of intersection with the mineralisation.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	See Figures in the ASX release of March 2020.



Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	Comprehensive reporting of drilling details has been provided in the body of the announcement of March 2020 and in the Resource Report completed by AVL geologists.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	All meaningful & material exploration data has been reported
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).	Extensional resource infill drilling is under consideration for the remaining 5 km of mineralisation that is currently drilled at broad spacing.
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	The decision as to the necessity for further exploration at the Australian Vanadium Project is pending completion of mining technical studies on this resource update. Figures 1 and 2 in this report show areas of possible resource extension.



#### Section 3 - Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

	Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	Database Integrity	Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.	All the drilling was logged onto paper and has been transferred to a digital form and loaded into a Microsoft SQL Server relational drill hole database using DataShed <sup>™</sup> management software. Logging information was reviewed by the responsible geologist and database administrator prior to final load into the database. All assay results were received as digital files, as well as the collar and survey data. These data were transferred directly from the received files into the database. All other data collected for the Australian Vanadium Project were recorded as Excel spreadsheets prior to loading into SQL Server. The data have been periodically checked by AVL personnel, the database administrator as well as the personnel involved all previous Mineral Resource estimates for the project.
)		Data validation procedures used.	The data validation was initially completed by the responsible geologist logging the core and marking up the drill hole for assaying. The paper geological logs were transferred to Excel spreadsheets and compared with the originals for error. Assay dispatch sheets were compared with the record of samples received by the assay laboratories.
			Normal data validation checks were completed on import to the SQL database. Data has also been checked back against hard copy results and previous mines department reports to verify assays and logging intervals.
			Both internal (AVL) and external (Schwann, MASS, CSA and AMC) validations were/are completed when data was loaded into spatial software for geological interpretation and resource estimation. All data have been checked for overlapping intervals, missing samples, FROM values greater than TO values, missing stratigraphy or rock type codes, downhole survey deviations of $\pm 10^{\circ}$ in azimuth and $\pm 5^{\circ}$ in dip, assay values greater than or less than expected values and several other possible error types. Furthermore, each assay record was examined and mineral resource intervals were picked by the Competent Person.
			QAQC data and reports have been checked by the database administrator, MRG. MASS & Schwann and CSA both reported on the available QAQC data for the Australian Vanadium Project.
1 ) )	Site Visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.	The drill location was inspected by John Tyrrell of AMC in 2015 for the initial 2012 JORC resource estimation. Consulting Geologist Brian Davis of Geologica Pty Ltd has visited all the Australian Vanadium Project drilling sites since 2015 and has been familiar with the Australian Vanadium Project iron-titanium-vanadium orebody since 2006. Consulting Geologist Lauritz Barnes of Trepanier Pty Ltd visited the Australian Vanadium Project drilling sites in March 2019. The geology, sampling, sample preparation and transport, data collection and storage procedures were all discussed and reviewed with the responsible geologist for the 2015, 2017, 2018 and 2019 drilling. Visits to the BV laboratory and core shed in Perth were used to add knowledge to aid in the preparation of this Mineral Resource Estimate.
		If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	N/A



l			
	Geological Interpretation	Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.	The Australian Vanadiur oxidised portion of the hi lease area. Detailed may specialists between 2000 structures. These data a The mineralisation is how high grade unit shows co The lower grade dissem visually as they are more
		Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.	No assumptions are mad
		The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.	Alternative interpretation models was made to see more closely defined fro potential mining model. <sup>2</sup> impact of the current inte drilling.
		The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.	Geological observation h has a clear and sharp bo mineralisation is also co from assay results. The grade domain. In additio The resource estimate is
			Domains were also code The extents of the geolo the edges of these fault

Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.	The Australian Vanadium Project's vanadium mineralisation lies along strike from the Windimurra Vanadium Mine and the oxidised portion of the high-grade massive magnetite/martite mineralisation outcrops for almost 14km in the company held lease area. Detailed mapping and mineralogical studies have been completed by company personnel and contracted specialists between 2000 and 2019, as well as multiple infill drilling programmes to test the mineralisation and continuity of the structures. These data and the relatively closely-spaced drilling has led to a good understanding of the mineralisation controls. The mineralisation is hosted within altered gabbros and is easy to visually identify by the magnetite/martite content. The main high grade unit shows consistent thickness and grade along strike and down dip and has a clearly defined sharp boundary. The lower grade disseminated bands also show good continuity, but their boundaries are occasionally less easy to identify visually as they are more diffuse over a metre or so.
Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.	No assumptions are made regarding the input data.
The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.	Alternative interpretations were considered in the current estimation and close comparison with the 2015 and 2018 resource models was made to see the effect of the new density data and revised geology model. The continuity of the low grade units, more closely defined from lithology logs is now better understood and the resulting interpretation is more effective as a potential mining model. The near-surface alluvial and transported material has again been modelled in this estimation. The impact of the current interpretation as compared to the previous interpretation would be a greater confidence in areas of infill drilling.
The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.	Geological observation has underpinned the resource estimation and geological model. The high grade mineralisation domain has a clear and sharp boundary and has been tightly constrained by the interpreted wireframe shapes. The low grade mineralisation is also constrained within wireframes, which are defined and guided by visual (from core) and grade boundaries from assay results. The low grade mineralisation has been defined as four sub-domains, which strike sub-parallel to the high grade domain. In addition there is a sub parallel laterite zone and two transported zones above the top of bedrock surface. The resource estimate is constrained by these wireframes.
	Domains were also coded for oxide, transition and fresh, as well as above and below the alluvial and bedrock surfaces. The extents of the geological model were constrained by fault block boundaries. Geological boundaries were extrapolated to the edges of these fault blocks, as indicated by geological continuity in the logging and the magnetic geophysical data.



	The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	<ul> <li>Key factors that are likely to affect the continuity of grade are:</li> <li>The thickness and presence of the high grade massive magnetite/martite unit, which to date has been very consistent in both structural continuity and grade continuity.</li> <li>The thickness and presence of the low grade banded and disseminated mineralisation along strike and down dip. The low grade sub-domains are less consistent in their thickness along strike and down dip with more pinching and swelling than for the high grade domain.</li> <li>SW-NE oriented faulting occurs at a deposit scale and offsets the main orientation of the mineralisation. These regional faults divide the deposit along strike into kilometer scale blocks. Internally the mineralised blocks show very few signs of structural disturbance at the level of drilling.</li> </ul>
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	The massive magnetite/martite unit strikes approximately 14 km, is stratiform and ranges in thickness from less than 10m to over 20m true thickness. The low grade mineralised units are sub-parallel to the high grade zone, and also vary in thickness from less than 10m to over 20m. All of the units dip moderately to steeply towards the west, with the exception of two predominantly alluvial units (domains 7 and 8) and a laterite unit (domain 6) which are flat lying. All units outcrop at surface, but the low grade units are difficult to locate as they are more weathered and have a less prominent surface expression than the high grade unit. The high and low grade units are currently interpreted to have a depth extent of approximately 200m below surface. Mineralisation is currently open along strike and at depth.
Estimation and Modelling Techniques	The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.	Grade estimation was completed using Ordinary Kriging (OK) for the Mineral Resource estimate. SurpacTM software was used to estimate grades for V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , TiO <sub>2</sub> , Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , SiO <sub>2</sub> , Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , Co, Cu, Ni, S, magsus and loss on ignition (LOI) using parameters derived from statistical and variography studies. The majority of the variables estimated have coefficients of variation of significantly less than 1.0, with Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> being the exception. Drill hole spacing varies from approximately 80 m to 100 m along strike by 25 m to 30 m down dip, to 500 m along by 50 m to 60 m down dip. Drill hole sample data was flagged with numeric domain codes unique to each mineralisation domain. Sample data was composited to 1 m downhole length and composites were terminated by a change in domain or oxidation state coding. No grade top cuts were applied to any of the estimated variables as statistical studies showed that there were no extreme outliers present within any of the domain groupings. Grade was estimated into separate mineralisation domains including a high grade bedrock domain, four low grade bedrock domains and low grade alluvial and laterite domains. Each domain was further subdivided into a fault block, and each fault block was assigned its own orientation ellipse for grade interpolation. Downhole variography and directional variography were performed for all estimated variables for the high grade domain and the grouped low grade domains. Grade continuity varied from hundreds of metres in the along strike directions to sub-two hundred metres in the down-dip direction although the down-dip limitation is likely related to the extent of drilling to date.



The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data.	<ul> <li>Prior to 2017, there had been five Mineral Resource estimates for the Australian Vanadium Project deposit. The first, in 2001 was a polygonal sectional estimate completed by METS &amp; BSG. The subsequent models by Schwann (2007), MASS &amp; Schwann (2008) and CSA (2011) are kriged estimates.</li> <li>AMC (2015) reviewed the geological interpretation of the most recent previous model (CSA 2011), but used a new interpretation based on additional new drilling for the 2015 estimate.</li> <li>In 2017 a complete review of the geological data, weathering profiles, magnetic intensity and topographic data as well as incorporation of additional density data and more accurate modelling techniques resulted in a re-interpreted mineral resource. This was revised in December 2018.</li> <li>No mining has occurred to date at the Australian Vanadium Project, so there are no production records.</li> <li>Addition infill drilling and extensional diamond core holes have resulted in further adjustments to the interpretation.</li> </ul>
The assumptions made regarding recovery of by- products.	Test work conducted by the company in 2015 identified the presence of sulphide hosted cobalt, nickel and copper, specifically partitioned into the silicate phases of the massive titaniferous vanadiferous iron oxides which make up the vanadium mineralisation at the Australian Vanadium Project. Subsequent test work has shown the ability to recover a sulphide flotation concentrate containing between 3.8 % and 6.3% of combined base metals treating the non-magnetic tailings produced as a result of the magnetic separation of a vanadium iron concentrate from fresh massive magnetite. See ASX Announcements dated 22 May 2018 and 5 July 2018.
Estimation of deleterious elements or other non- grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterization).	Estimates were undertaken for Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , SiO <sub>2</sub> , TiO <sub>2</sub> , Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , and LOI, which are non-commodity variables, but are useful for determining recoveries and metallurgical performance of the treated material. Estimated Fe2O3% grades were converted to Fe% grades in the final for reporting (Fe% = Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /1.4297). Estimates were also undertaken for Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> which is a potential deleterious element. The estimated Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> % grades were converted to Cr ppm grades (Cr ppm = (Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> *10000)/1.4615).
In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.	The Australian Vanadium Project block model uses a parent cell size of 40 m in northing, 8 m in easting and 10 m in RL. This corresponds to approximately half the distance between drill holes in the northing and easting directions and matches an assumed bench height in the RL direction. Accurate volume representation of the interpretation was achieved. Grade was estimated into parent cells, with all sub-cells receiving the same grade as their relevant parent cell. Search ellipse dimensions and directions were adjusted for each fault block.
	Three search passes were used for each estimate in each domain. The first search was 120m and allowed a minimum of 8 composites and a maximum of 24 composites. For the second pass, the first pass search ranges were expanded by 2 times. The third pass search ellipse dimensions were extended to a large distance to allow remaining unfilled blocks to be estimated. A limit of 5 composites from a single drill hole was permitted on each pass. In domains of limited data, these parameters were adjusted appropriately. No selective mining units were considered in this estimate apart from an assumed five metre bench height for open pit mining. Model block sizes were determined primarily by drill hole spacing and statistical analysis of the effect of changing block sizes
	on the final estimates.



	Any assumptions about correlation between variables.	All elements within a domain used the same sample selection routine for block grade estimation. No co-kriging was performed at the Australian Vanadium Project.
	Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.	The geological interpretation is used to define the mineralisation, oxidation/transition/fresh and alluvial domains. All of the domains are used as hard boundaries to select sample populations for variography and grade estimation.
	Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.	Analysis showed that none of the domains had statistical outlier values that required top-cut values to be applied.
	The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	<ul> <li>Validation of the block model consisted of:</li> <li>Volumetric comparison of the mineralisation wireframes to the block model volumes.</li> <li>Visual comparison of estimated grades against composite grades.</li> <li>Comparison of block model grades to the input data using swathe plots.</li> <li>As no mining has taken place at the Australian Vanadium Project to date, there is no reconciliation data available.</li> </ul>
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	All mineralisation tonnages are estimated on a dry basis. The moisture content in mineralisation is considered very low.
Cut-Off Parameters	The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	A nominal 0.4% V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> wireframed cut off for low grade and a nominal 0.7% V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> wireframed cut off for high grade has been used to report the Mineral Resource at the Australian Vanadium Project. Consideration of previous estimates, as well as the current mining, metallurgical and pricing assumptions, while not rigorous, suggest that the currently interpreted mineralised material has a reasonable prospect for eventual economic extraction at these cut off grades.



Mining Factors or Assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	AVL completed a mining S being considered is conver AVL has assumed, based that the Australian Vanadi In September 2018, AVL r mining operation at the Au This Mineral Resource wil incorporating the additiona
Metallurgical Factors or Assumptions	The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	Metallurgical studies suppon 24 contiguous drill corerock zone, 9 off from the zMetallurgical Sample1 Fr2 Fr3 Fr4 Fr5 Fr6 Fr7 Fr8 Fr9 Fr10 Fr11 Tr12 Tr13 Tr14 Tr15 Tr16 Tr17 Tr18 Tr19 Tr20 Ox21 Ox22 Ox23 Ox

AVL completed a mining Scoping Study in October 2016 for the Australian Vanadium Project. The primary mining scenario being considered is conventional open pit mining.

AVL has assumed, based on initial concept study work and the nearby presence of a similar project (Windimurra mine site), that the Australian Vanadium Project deposit is amenable to open-pit mining methods.

In September 2018, AVL released a base case PFS which included key assumptions supporting a planned open pit vanadium mining operation at the Australian Vanadium Project.

This Mineral Resource will be the basis for new optimisation studies during the remainder of Q1 (2020) for a mine plan incorporating the additional Indicated resources.

letallurgical studies supporting the PFS (Q4 2018) focused on bench-scale comminution and magnetic separation test work in 24 contiguous drill core intervals from the high-grade vanadium domain. These samples included 10 off from the "fresh" inck zone, 9 off from the zone defined as "transitional" and 5 off from the near surface oxidised horizon, "oxide".

Metallurgical	Drill hole	From	То	Interval	Mass
Sample	Origin	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kg)
1 Fr	GDH903	191	199	8	33
2 Fr	GDH903	199	209	10	47
3 Fr	GDH903	209	215.2	6.2	25
4 Fr	GDH911	98.9	105.5	6.6	59
5 Fr	GDH911	108	113.2	5.2	54
6 Fr	GDH912	124	129	5	52
7 Fr	GDH912	129	134.2	5.2	54
8 Fr	GDH912	134.3	141	6.7	69
9 Fr	GDH914	108	114	6	58
10 Fr	GDH914	114	121	7	75
11 Tr	GDH902	98	105.8	7.8	34
12 Tr	GDH902	105.8	111.1	5.3	31
13 Tr	GDH902	111.1	117.1	6	27
14 Tr	GDH911	105.5	108	2.5	27
15 Tr	GDH913	127.9	133.2	5.3	26
16 Tr	GDH913	133.2	140	6.8	47
17 Tr	GDH913	140	145.2	5.2	45
18 Tr	GDH916	132	139	7	32
19 Tr	GDH916	139	151.3	12.3	101
20 Ox	GDH901	38	45	7	29
21 Ox	GDH901	45	54	9	44
22 Ox	GDH915	12	18	6	44
23 Ox	GDH915	18	23	5	35



			24 Ox	GDH917	14.1	21.1	7	44		
	The comminution test work included SMC, Bond ball mill work index and Bond abrasion index testing. Bench-scale magnetic separation test work included Davis tube testing (1500 gauss) and a customised two st using a handheld rare earth magnetic rod (2600 gauss at surface). 21 element XRF and LOI analysis has be on the magnetic and non-magnetic products and selected magnetic concentrates underwent QXRD to determ contained minerals and or QEMSCAN <sup>®</sup> analysis to gain an understanding of the mineral associations, grains s liberation.								omised two stage separation nalysis has been carried out (RD to determine the	
	Metallurgical Factors or Assumptions (cont)		Some preliminary sulphide concentrate recovery testing has been undertaken on selected 25kg fresh samples and a 90kg fresh composite sample. These samples were ground to a P80 of 106 µm and underwent wet magnetic separation using a low intensity (1500 Gauss) magnetic separation drum. The non-magnetic stream was dried, sub split and provided feed for sulphide flotation testwork. The flotation testing has been carried out at benchscale using a scheme of typical sulphide flotation reagents. Rougher, scavenger and cleaner flotation has been tested with one concentrate (test BC 4113/2) reground prior to cleaning.							
			The preliminary metall	urgical investigatio	on has demo	onstrated:				
			- The oxide, transitiona and ball milling energy		als are simila	ar in comminut	on behaviou	ur and exhibit a ı	noderate rock competency	
			- The abrasiveness is c	onsidered low to r	noderate.					
				omain. The majori	ty of vanadi	um exists withi	n magnetic r	minerals which v	th and transitional material when separated at a grind size grade concentrate.	
1			- Oxidised material resp	conds to magnetic	separation,	albeit at lower	vanadium r	ecovery and cor	centrate quality.	
			magnetic drum separat	ion was considere	d a reasona	ble flowsheet of	concept to p	roduce a vanadi	06 μm and application of um rich concentrate thin the high-grade domain.	
			Preliminary benchscale Vanadium leach extrac range of sodium carbor	tions of 79 to 86%	have been	n undertaken u determined in	sing magne roasting for	tic concentrate f 110 minutes at a	rom metallurgical sample Fr 2. approximately 1050°C testing a	
			the PFS that production vanadate (AMV) flowsh	n of a saleable V <sub>2</sub> C leet path. Similar f	D₅ product w lowsheets w	ould be achiev rere applied in	ed via a trac the treatmer	ditional roast, leant of magnetic co	ork results, it was assumed for ach and ammonium meta oncentrate in Xstrata's project in Bahia, Brazil.	
			Blend 1 (the Y0-5 pilot representing the life of	blend) represents mine feed to the c	the average oncentrator.	first 5 years o The optimised	f process fee l concentrate	ed, and Blend 2 or flowsheet was	een completed on two blends. (the LOM pilot blend) s found capable of successfully heet was validated by pilot	



		testwork completed in Q1, 2020. Two tonne of high-quality vanadium concentrate generated in the pilot CMB plant has undergone pilot scale roasting testwork at Metso's pyrometallurgical facility in Dansville, USA. Vanadium leaching and purification optimisation testwork is now underway at ALS Metallurgy in Balcatta, WA, to finalise the refinery flowsheet that will become the basis of the BFS.
Environmental Factors or Assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfield project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	Environmental studies are currently being undertaken for Feasibility and approvals work. For the PFS it was assumed that the tails stream from the concentrator can be effectively stored and rehabilitated within an integrated mine waste landform. Waste streams from the refinery, including calcine residue and a sodium sulphate rich bleed solution are assumed to be managed within a lined storage facility.
Bulk Density	Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.	Bulk density determinations (using the Archimedes' method) were made on samples from 15 diamond drill holes. Bulk density data from 313 direct core measurements were used to determine average densities for each of the mineralisation and oxide/transition/fresh domains. Bulk Density was estimated for HG, LG, Alluvial and waste material in Core taken to represent the main lithological units.
	The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc.), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.	The water immersion method was used for direct core measurements; all 231 of the latest measurements have been done using sealed core, the previous 97 measurements were not wrapped. AMC's observation of the core indicates that observable porosity was not likely to be high for most of the core at the deposit.



Γ		Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates	The average bulk density values for at the	e Australian Vanadi	um Project are:
		used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	Domain	Oxidation State	Bulk Density
			10 (high grade)	Oxide	3.39
			10 (high grade)	Transition	3.71
			10 (high grade)	Fresh	3.67
			2-8 (low grade)	Oxide	2.13
			2-8 (low grade)	Transition	2.20
			2-8 (low grade)	Fresh	2.62
			Alluvial	Oxide	2.63
			(waste)	Oxide	2.02
			(waste)	Fresh	2.45
			All values are in t/m3.		
			Regressions used to determine bulk dens	ity based on iron c	ontent are as follows:
			<ul> <li>Oxide: BD = (0.0344 x Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> %) + ( Transition: BD = (0.0472 x Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> %)</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>Transition: BD = (0.0472 x Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> %)</li> <li>Fresh: BD = (0.0325 x Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> %) + 1</li> </ul>		
			The final bulk density used for reporting or provides a more reliable local estimated b		nadium Project Mineral Resource is based on the regression as it
-	Classification	The basis for the classification of the Mineral			esource estimate is based upon continuity of geology,
		Resources into varying confidence categories.	(number of samples used and estimation		sity data spacing and quality, variography and estimation statistics
			The current classification is considered va	alid for the global re	source and applicable for the nominated grade cut-offs.
5					



	Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (i.e. relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).	At the Australian Vanadium Project, the central portion of the deposit is well drilled for a vanadium deposit, having a drill hole spacing from a nominal 80 m to 100 m x 25 m to 30 m in northing and easting. The lower confidence areas of the deposit have drill hole spacings ranging up to 500 m x 25 m to 30 m in northing and easting directions. The estimate has partially been classified as Measured Mineral Resource in an area restricted to the fresh portion of the high-grade domain where the drill hole spacings are less than 80 to 100m in northing (Fault Blocks 20 and 30). Indicated Mineral Resource material is generally restricted to the oxide high grade and oxide and fresh low grade in the same area of relatively closely spaced drilling plus areas of infill drilling in Fault Blocks 40, 50 and 60. Inferred Mineral Resource has been restricted to any other material within the interpreted mineralisation wireframe volumes and limited by constraining wireframes down-dip. The background waste domain estimate has not been classified, due to very low possibility of economic extraction and limited data.
	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	Geologica Pty Ltd and Trepanier Pty Ltd believe that the classification appropriately reflects their confidence in the grade estimates and robustness of the interpretations.
Audits or Reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	The current Mineral Resource estimate has not been audited.
Discussion of Relative Accuracy/ Confidence	<ul> <li>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person.</li> <li>For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</li> </ul>	The resource classification represents the relative confidence in the resource estimate as determined by the Competent Persons. Issues contributing to or detracting from that confidence are discussed above. No quantitative approach has been conducted to determine the relative accuracy of the resource estimate. The Ordinary Kriged estimate is considered to be a global estimate with no further adjustments for Selective Mining Unit (SMU) dimensions. Accurate mining scenarios are yet to be determined by mining studies. No production data is available for comparison to the estimate. The local accuracy of the resource is adequate for the expected use of the model in the mining studies. Further investigation into bulk density determination and infill drilling will be required to further raise the level of resource classification.
	The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.	These levels of confidence and accuracy relate to the global estimates of grade and tonnes for the deposit.



	These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	There has been no production from the Australian Vanadium Project deposit to date.
)		

## Section 4 - Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves - Based on PFS announcement of 19 December 2018

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve. Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	The most recent Mineral Resource estimate was declared on 28 November 2018 and has been used in the PFS. Refer to the ASX release of 19 December 2018 for material assumptions and further information. The Measured and Indicated Resources from Section 3 have been used as the basis for conversion to the Ore Reserve. The Mineral Resources are inclusive of the Ore Reserve.
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	No site visit was undertaken by the Competent Person. There are no current facilities at the project site.
Study status	The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves. The Code requires that a study to at least Pre- Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.	A Pre-Feasibility Study has been prepared.



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Cut-off parameters		The break-even cut-off grade has been calculated based on the pit optimisation inputs. The basis for calculation of cut-off is:
	The basic of the out off and (a) or quality	$(process + overhead cost) \times (1 + Mining Dilution(\%))$
	The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	$Cut \ off \ grade \ = \ \frac{(process + overhead \ cost) \times (1 + Mining \ Dilution(\%))}{Payable \ Vanadium \ Price \ \times \ Process \ Recovery \ (\%)}$
		Cut-off grades have been calculated as $0.40\% V_2O_5$ for oxide ore, $0.18\% V_2O_5$ for transitional and $0.18\% V_2O_5$ for fresh. T selected cut-off grade of $0.8\% V_2O_5$ is higher than the calculated values as metallurgical testing suggests unpredictable recover below this chosen value.
Mining factors or assumptions	The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate	The Mineral Resources have been optimised using Whittle software followed by detailed final pit design. The Ore Reserve is the Measured and Indicated Resources within the pit design, after allowing for ore loss and mining dilution. In selecting the optimised pit shell used for pit designs the conservative pit shell with a revenue factor of 0.675 was selected.
	factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design).	The mining method selected is open pit, selective mining of ore and waste on nominal 2.5 m benches using a backhoe excavator. Pit ramps are designed at a 10% gradient and 23 m wide, except for lower pit levels where the ramp reduces to 18 wide and then 15 m.
	The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc.	A Pre-Feasibility Study level geotechnical study has been completed by Dempers and Seymour. The pit design parameters fro this study have been used for the pit design and the overall pit slope angle was estimated for the preceding pit optimisations. Grade control will be based on additional RC drilling, pit mapping and sampling from production drilling where necessary. A R
	The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc),	drilling pattern of 12.5 m along strike and 6.25 m across strike pattern has been allowed for.
	grade control and pre-production drilling.	Mining dilution was estimated to be 5%, at zero grade. This was based on consideration of the width, continuity and orientatio of the orebody and the planned mining method.
	The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope	Ore recovery of 95% has been estimated to allow for losses from blasting and grade control.
	optimisation (if appropriate).	A minimum mining width was set at 20 m.
	The mining dilution factors used.	Inferred Resources within the pit design make up 21% of the total Mineral Resources and have not been considered for Ore Reserve estimates.
	The mining recovery factors used.	Infrastructure required for the open pit mining operation includes mining contractor workshop, heavy equipment washpad, min
	Any minimum mining widths used.	offices, water storage dam, ROM pad, fuel and explosives storage.
	The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion.	
	The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.	



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation.	The metallurgical process proposed in the PFS included beneficiation and refining to derive a vanadium (V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) flake product and an additional sulphide flotation circuit for base metals recovery. The metallurgical processes proposed are all well-tested technologies and considered appropriate for the styles of mineralisation.
	<ul> <li>Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature.</li> <li>The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied.</li> <li>Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements.</li> <li>The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole.</li> <li>For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?</li> </ul>	Extensive benchscale metallurgical testwork has been undertaken under the direction of Wood Mining and Metals and included: Comminution Magnetic separation Sulphide flotation Preliminary roasting and water leaching of concentrate Metallurgical domaining has been categorised into weathering stages including oxide, transitional and primary mineralisation with and without recoverable base metals, as defined in the Mineral Resource models. Metallurgical recoveries for the concentrator have been determined from testwork and indicate vanadium recoveries of 44% for oxides, variable with depth up to 87.8% for transitional and variable with grade from 76.7% to an expected maximum of 96% for primary material (for a grade of 1.5% V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ). Base metals recovery to a sulphide concentrate has been based on benchscale testwork outcomes up to a primary flotation concentrate and an assumed 90% cleaner flotation recovery. Vanadium recovery in the refinery flowsheet was predicted to range from 79.7% (oxide concentrate) to 80.6% (fresh concentrate). This estimate was based on operating benchmarks from other similar flowsheets and was supported by preliminary benchscale roast leach testwork. Recoveries for the Ore Reserves were applied according to the recovery equations. Deleterious elements are assumed to be manageable by the process flowsheets considered.
Environmenta	<ul> <li>The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation.</li> <li>Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Environmental studies have been completed by AQ2. This included studies into:</li> <li>Flora.</li> <li>Fauna.</li> <li>Surface Hydrology.</li> <li>Sub-surface Hydrology.</li> <li>Soil, Waste Rock and Groundwater analysis.</li> </ul> All potential environmental and social impacts associated with the Project have been considered and no issue has been identified that cannot be mitigated or managed to an acceptable degree. Further work is required to quantify the potential impact for some aspects, particularly for subterranean fauna. The approvals process will include referral and assessment by the EPA but is not expected to be subject to a Public Environmental Review. Waste geochemistry investigations have been undertaken by interpretation of the geological database indicating that none of the waste rock samples tested were potentially acid generating. Management of surface runoff and seepage from the waste dumps and pit walls during operation will need to be managed and final waste dumps capped with suitable materials to minimise water infiltration.



Infrastructure		Commentary
	The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	The Sandstone to Meekatharra Road passes close to the mine lease area, however an access road will be constructed from the Northern Highway to the west to the operational area. This road will give access to Meekatharra, which is approximately 55 km away. Power will be generated on site using a gas fired power station using gas from a new gas pipeline. Water will be sourced from onsite pit dewatering and water supply bores. The mining lease is sufficiently extensive to accommodate all the required infrastructure. A communications tower and related equipment will be installed on site for phone and data communications. Accommodation will be constructed on site adjacent to the Project.
Costs	The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study.	Capital costs for the plant and most of the rest of the infrastructure were estimated by Wood Mining and Metals. Mining capital costs for the heavy equipment workshop and wash pad will be part of the mining contract and have been estimated from a contractor quotation.
	The methodology used to estimate operating costs.	Mining operating costs have been based on contractor rates for similar projects in Western Australia and a quotation from a mining contractor that broadly supported the benchmarked mining costs. The average mining costs are \$3.50/t mined. General and administration costs were estimated based on experience with similar projects and make up \$2.24 /t of ore feed. Processing costs have been estimated based on the plant design and detailed costings derived by Wood Mining and Metals.
	Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements.	Not applicable
	The source of exchange rates used in the study.	For mining optimisation and design, the exchange rate used was AUD:USD 0.74. The exchange rate used in financial modeling was AUD:USD 0.72. The exchange rate used for capex and opex derivation was set on 8th November 2018 at AUD:USD 0.728, AUD:EUR 0.637 and AUD:GBP 0.555. The exchange rates were sourced from publicly available data produced by banks.
	Derivation of transportation charges.	The transport cost related to haulage of the product to the port of Fremantle has been estimated by Wood Mining and Metals. This has been estimated based on a rate A50t of V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> product sold FOB Fremantle. Backhaul rates after delivery of consumables to site have been assumed.
	The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc.	Processing and refining costs have been derived by Wood Mining and Metals based on their design of the processing plant and refinery.
	The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	The royalty paid to the West Australian government will be 2.5% of revenue.
Revenue factors	The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc.	Revenue for pit optimisation assumes a $V_2O_5$ sale price of US\$8/b. This is based on a FOB price for the $V_2O_5$ flake product. The sales price used for base case financial analysis was US\$8.67/lb $V_2O_5$ . A table of alternative prices is calculated and presented as upside sensitivity, given the conservative long-term price selected. Revenues from Cobalt, Nickel and Copper are based on LME prices for 13 September 2018 of AUD 84.01/kg, A\$ 16.57/kg and A\$ 7.95/kg respectively. A 65% payability has been assumed for these base metals that make up approximately 1.3% of the total revenue.
	The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.	The vanadium price is of a highly cyclical nature . Imbalances in supply have driven prices up above US\$30/lb twice during this time, and there was a prolonged period where prices hovered around US\$5/lb from 2012 to 2017. However, the average price for the 15-year period was well above this, at US\$8.67/lb in 2018 adjusted numbers.

sumes a V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> sale price of US\$8/lb. This is based on a FOB price for the V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> flake product. ase financial analysis was US\$8.67/lb V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> . A table of alternative prices is calculated and given the conservative long-term price selected. Revenues from Cobalt, Nickel and Copper are otember 2018 of AUD 84.01/kg, A\$ 16.57/kg and A\$ 7.95/kg respectively. A 65% payability has netals that make up approximately 1.3% of the total revenue.
ly cyclical nature . Imbalances in supply have driven prices up above US\$30/lb twice during this d period where prices hovered around US\$5/lb from 2012 to 2017. However, the average price above this, at US\$8.67/lb in 2018 adjusted numbers.



Market	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
assessment		The market for Vanadium Pentoxide is substantially based on its use in steel alloys and now also in batteries. In the last few years the vanadium price recovered, reaching over US\$30/lb in November 2018 and since then has price slumped to around US\$5/lb due to Covid related production cuts in the non-Chinese consuming countries
	<ul><li>The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future.</li><li>A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product.</li><li>Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts.</li><li>For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Demand for vanadium outstripped supply between mid-2015 and 2019, corresponding to Evraz Group's Highveld Steel and Vanadium's (South Africa) closure. In late 2015, Chinese stone coal producers began to shut down due to Chinese environmental regulations, further reducing supply. Supply and demand were not in balance. In 2019, prices began to fall on substitution with Niobium in rebar and have not recovered into 2020 due to Covid related drops in demand Since April 2020, Chinese demand has grown rapidly supporting new plants the size of AVL's proposed Gabanintha project to meet future needs. Vanadium Redox Flow Battery (VRFB) technology uptake could have a large impact on medium to long term vanadium demand If VRFBs capture even a small piece of the renewable energy storage demand, it could require thousands of MTV that are not currently available.</li> <li>A market assessment analysis has been completed internally with information supplied by Daniel Harris (Technical Director AVL).</li> <li>Vanadium products include various oxides of Vanadium, that are converted to Ferro Vanadium or Vanadium Carbo-Nitride products for use in steelmaking. Refined Vanadium pentoxide, V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> produced as a powder is supplied as a chemical, and can be used in the production of vanadium electrolyte solutions for VRFB.</li> </ul>
Economic	The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc. NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	The December 2018 Pre-Feasibility Study includes the revenue and cost inputs discussed above and cash flows were discounted by an 8% rate. The post-tax NPV 8% of the project using the long-term historical pricing was estimated to be US\$125M. The mine life is significant but the current benign outlook for inflation does not justify an allowance for inflation. Sensitivity analysis has been completed based on different product pricing, other revenue related items such as grade and metallurgical recovery and costs. The project is most sensitive to the product price, metallurgical recovery, the mining cost and the processing cost, in decreasing order.
Social	The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	The proposed Project will be located within mining lease application M 51/878, which is currently pending, due to native title processes. The native title claimant is the Yugunga-Nya Native Title Claim Group. A draft mining agreement between AVL and the Yugunga-Nya Native Title Claim Group was prepared in November 2017. A standard Heritage agreement is in place with the Yugunga-Nya Native Title Claim Group. No land use agreements are in place with other local landowners but good relations are maintained.



following on the project and/or on the esand classification of the Ore Reserves:Any identified material naturally occurrinThe status of material legal agreementsmarketing arrangements.The status of governmental agreementsapprovals critical to the viability of the psuch as mineral tenement status, andgovernment and statutory approvals. Thmust be reasonable grounds to expect tonecessary Government approvals will breceived within the timeframes anticipatPre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highdiscuss the materiality of any unresolvedthat is dependent on a third party on whextraction of the reserve is contingent.ClassificationThe basis for the classification of the OrReserves into varying confidence categWhether the result appropriately reflectsCompetent Person's view of the depositThe proportion of Probable Ore Reservehave been derived from Measured MineResources (if any).Audits or			
It is the impact of the following on the project and/or on the est and classification of the Ore Reserves:Any identified material naturally occurrin The status of material legal agreements marketing arrangements.The status of governmental agreements approvals critical to the viability of the prisuch as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. The must be reasonable grounds to expect to necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipat Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. High discuss the materiality of any unresolved that is dependent on a third party on wh extraction of the reserve is contingent.ClassificationThe basis for the classification of the Or Reserves into varying confidence catego Whether the result appropriately reflects Competent Person's view of the deposit The proportion of Probable Ore Reserved have been derived from Measured Mine Resources (if any).Audits orThe results of any audits or reviews of Ore			JORC Code Explanation
ClassificationThe basis for the classification of the orgenetic Competent Person's view of the deposit Competent Person's		Other	To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the es and classification of the Ore Reserves:
Marketing arrangements.The status of governmental agreements approvals critical to the viability of the pri such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. The must be reasonable grounds to expect to necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipat Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. High discuss the materiality of any unresolved that is dependent on a third party on wh extraction of the reserve is contingent.ClassificationThe basis for the classification of the Or Reserves into varying confidence catego Whether the result appropriately reflects Competent Person's view of the deposit The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves have been derived from Measured Mine Resources (if any).Audits orThe results of any audits or reviews of Or The results of any audits or reviews of Or Or Descent Person's view of the deposited or Descent Person's view of the deposited from Measured Mine The results of any audits or reviews of Or Descent Person's view of the deposited from Measured Mine The results of any audits or reviews of Or Descent Person's view of the deposited from Measured Mine The results of any audits or reviews of Or Descent Person's view of the deposited from Measured Mine The results of any audits or reviews of	>>		Any identified material naturally occurrin
approvals critical to the viability of the prisuch as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. The must be reasonable grounds to expect to necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipat Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. High discuss the materiality of any unresolved that is dependent on a third party on wh extraction of the reserve is contingent.ClassificationThe basis for the classification of the Or Reserves into varying confidence catego Whether the result appropriately reflects Competent Person's view of the deposit The proportion of Probable Ore Reserve have been derived from Measured Mine Resources (if any).Audits orThe results of any audits or reviews of Ore			The status of material legal agreements marketing arrangements.
Reserves into varying confidence catege         Whether the result appropriately reflects         Competent Person's view of the deposit         The proportion of Probable Ore Reserve         have been derived from Measured Mine         Resources (if any).	) ) )		government and statutory approvals. Th must be reasonable grounds to expect t necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipat Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. High discuss the materiality of any unresolved that is dependent on a third party on wh
Competent Person's view of the deposit         The proportion of Probable Ore Reserve         have been derived from Measured Mine         Resources (if any).         Audits or         The results of any audits or reviews of Competence	$\mathcal{D}$	Classification	The basis for the classification of the Or Reserves into varying confidence categories
have been derived from Measured Mine           Resources (if any).           Audits or   The results of any audits or reviews of C	22		Whether the result appropriately reflects Competent Person's view of the deposit
			The proportion of Probable Ore Reserve have been derived from Measured Mine Resources (if any).
Teviews record countaies.	3	Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of C Reserve estimates.

	To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: Any identified material naturally occurring risks.	
	The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements.	No material naturally occurring risks have been identified. No material legal or marketing agreements have been entered into.
	The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.	The Mining Lease Application MLA51/878 over the tenement that contains the Ore Reserves has not yet been granted Application for the mining approval has not started but there are no impediments expected to this process. The timeframes for assessment of an environmental assessment proposal vary depending on the level of assessment set by the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA), the amount of consultation undertaken prior to referral and how quickly the proponent can compile the information required by the EPA
n	The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories. Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	Measured Resources have been converted to Proved Reserves. Indicated Resources have been converted to Probable Reserves. The estimated Ore Reserves are, in the opinion of the Competent Person, appropriate for these deposits.
	The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).	Not applicable
	The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.	No audits have been undertaken.

Commentary