METALLICA MINERALS LIMITED

ASX Code: MLM



2 March 2021

38 Mt of High Purity Silica Sand Resource at Cape Flattery Silica Sands Project – a 298% Resource Increase

Highlights

- High purity silica sand resource increased 298% to 38.3 Mt
- Substantial increase in resource confidence including an initial Indicated Resource of 5.4 Mt
- Resource has scope for expansion with additional drilling
- In-situ SiO₂ averages 99% at an 98.5% cut-off grade
- IHC Robbins have commenced metallurgical studies on representative silica sand drill samples
- Project is within the designated Port of Cape Flattery, which is excluded from the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park area

Metallica Minerals Limited (**Metallica**, ASX: MLM) is pleased to announce that it has successfully upgraded the Eastern Resource Area at its Cape Flattery Silica Sand Project in Far North Queensland to 38.3 Million tonnes at 99.0% SiO₂ and 0.12% Fe₂O₃.

Utilising the data from the December 2020 drilling program (see ASX release on 17 December 2020: Drilling successfully completed on Cape Flattery Silica Sand project), Industrial mineral specialists, Ausrocks Pty Ltd, have estimated a 298% increase to the resource, which includes the establishment of an Indicated Resource (see Table 1 on page 4).

Metallica Executive Chairman, Theo Psaros said "we are delighted to announce a major upgrade of the high purity silica sand resource at our Cape Flattery project. This resource will provide a solid basis for future exploration that will target expansion of the Eastern Resource Area and increase confidence in the resource to compliment the metallurgical studies that are underway. Importantly this is a significant step towards advancing the development of our high quality silica sand project to contribute supply for the growing global demand for premium quality silica." The Eastern Resource Area is shown in Figure 1 below.

This resource effectively triples the maiden Inferred Resource Estimation in November 2020 of 12.85 million tonnes, which was based on eight (8) shallow (5m) hand auger holes (see ASX Release 30 November 2020).

The combined campaigns of vacuum drilling, hand auger and field work has substantiated that highquality white silica sand exists with SiO_2 levels greater than 98.5% and relatively low iron $Fe_2O_3 < 0.12\%$ present across the wider project area.



Figure 1. CFS Project - Eastern Resource Area - Surface Dune Boundary (green line)

Based on the limited wide-spaced drilling, a Resource Estimation has been undertaken (in accordance with JORC 2012 guidelines) that upgrades the Maiden Inferred Resourced reported in 2020 (ASX Release dated 30 November 2020). The drill spacing and field geology supports a small portion of the resource to be categorised at the Indicated level, with the bulk or remainder of the resource at Inferred level due to the incomplete definition and interpretation of the subsurface geology.

Page 2 of 18







Figure 2. CFS Project – Eastern Resource Area - Northern West to East Cross Section (looking north)



Figure 3. CFS Project – Eastern Resource Area - Northern West to Southern West Long Section (looking north east)

Page **3** of **18**

The upgraded CFS Eastern Resource Area has been estimated and summarised in Table 1, as follows:

Classification	Silica Sand (Mt)	Silica Sand (Mm ³)	Density (t/m³)	SiO₂ %	Al ₂ O ₃ %	Fe ₂ O ₃ %	TiO₂ %	LOI %
Indicated Resource	5.4	3.4	1.6	99.1	0.04	0.09	0.13	0.13
Inferred Resource	32.9	20.5	1.6	99.0	0.07	0.12	0.15	0.11
Total	38.3	23.9	1.6	99.0	0.06	0.12	0.15	0.12

Table 1 – EASTERN RESOURCE Area Cape Flattery Silica Project

The Resource has been prepared in accordance with the JORC Code 2012 – A cut-off grade 98.5% has been defined based on the surrounding data. These results show there is potential to produce a premium grade silica product using standard processing techniques.

Ausrocks has assessed that upon completion of a gridded or semi gridded confirmatory drilling program, it is expected that the total resource tonnage is likely to increase and that the resource category tonnages will be further upgraded. In addition, the definition of the extent and characteristics of coloured sands, which may be extensive in size, is unknown and requires detailed investigation.

Ausrocks Recommendations and Metallica Work Plan

The next stage for the project resource upgrade is to undertake a gridded or semi-gridded drilling program over the whole resource area, dependent on the establishment of new access tracks. Drilling should be taken to refusal to include intersections of coloured sands (subject to meeting favourable interim testing requirements).

The drilling will provide greater definition and allow more information for interpretation especially of coloured interburdens and in particular better definition of the target white/cream sand thicknesses and their margins and extent. This drilling should also be cognizant of potential project development and allow their use for other project requirements, i.e., water monitoring, bulk metallurgical sampling.

The additional infill and step-out grid drilling is likely to result in an increase in resource tonnage and particularly, an increase in the resource category, especially the Indicated Resource.

A detailed LIDAR survey for the detailed measurement of the land surface of the project area is considered integral to this ongoing confirmatory work.

The systematic employment and analysis of drilling blanks, standards and duplicates for assaying is required. This extends to and includes check assays and umpire assaying at separate laboratories. Further work on XRD versus ICP assaying and their application and treatment is also required.

In addition to the Ausrocks recommendations, Metallica will also progress the following activities to advance the Cape Flattery Silica project:

• Complete the testing and reporting by IHC Robbins who are currently undertaking metallurgical studies on a representative silica sand bulk sample, which will determine the

Page **4** of **18**

processing requirements and assist in understanding the marketability of a premium sand product;

- Continue environmental studies and field work, which is currently underway;
- Progress key agreements with the Traditional Land Owners including Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Agreements;
- Continue discussions with the neighbouring silica mine and other tenement holders on the potential for synergies between the projects;
- Finalise an initial study on options to build a barge-loading facility to tranship silica sand onto Ocean-Going Vessels;
- Continue an assessment on the silica sand market and potential for establishing customer offtake agreements, using Metallica's independent expert consultant, Mr Murray Lines of Stratum Resources;
- Commence an internal project Scoping Study to determine high-level financial metrics;
- Continue planning for a closer-spaced drill program which is expected to upgrade the size and quality of the resource; and
- Continue work towards lodging a Mining Lease Application.

About the Cape Flattery Silica (CFS) Project

The CFS project is adjacent to the world class Cape Flattery Silica Sand mining and shipping operation owned by Mitsubishi and the designated Cape Flattery Port.

Figure 4: CFS EPM & Drill hole Locations





This announcement has been approved in accordance with the Company's published continuous disclosure policy and has been approved by the Board.

For further information, please contact:

Mr Theo Psaros Executive Chairman +61 (7) 3249 3000 Mr Scott Waddell CFO & Director +61 (7) 3249 3000

Competent Person Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to the Cape Flattery Silica Project-Eastern Exploration Target and this Resource Estimation was based on results and data collected and complied by Mr Neil Mackenzie-Forbes, who is a Member of the Institute of Geoscientists and is a Consulting Geologist employed by Sebrof Projects Pty Ltd and engaged by Metallica Resources Pty Ltd. Mr Mackenzie-Forbes has more than 20 years mining and exploration experience in Australia with major mining and junior exploration companies. Mr Neil Mackenzie-Forbes consents to the inclusion of this information in the form and context in which it appears in this release/report.

The information in this announcement that relates to the Cape Flattery Silica Project - Eastern Resource Area is based on information and modeling undertaken by Mr Chris Ainslie, Geotechnical Engineer, who is a full-time employee of Ausrocks Pty Ltd and a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy. The work was supervised by Mr Carl Morandy, Mining Engineer who is Managing Director of Ausrocks Pty Ltd and a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy and also by Mr Brice Mutton who is a Senior Associate Geologist for Ausrocks Pty Ltd. Mr Mutton is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy and a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy and a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy and a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy and a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy and a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy and a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining & Metallurgy and a Fellow of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Morandy and Mr Ainslie and Mr Mutton are employed by Ausrocks Pty Ltd who have been engaged by Metallica Minerals Ltd to prepare this independent report, there is no conflict of interest between the parties. Mr Morandy, Mr Ainslie and Mr Mutton consent to the disclosure of information in the form and context in which it appears in this release/report.

The overall resource work for the Cape Flattery Silica Project - Eastern Resource Area is based on the direction and supervision of Mr Mutton who has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves".



Forward-looking statements

Forward-looking statements are based on assumptions regarding Metallica, business strategies, plans and objectives of the Company for future operations and development and the environment in which Metallica may operate.

Forward-looking statements are based on current views, expectations and beliefs as at the date they are expressed and which are subject to various risks and uncertainties. Actual results, performance or achievements of Metallica could be materially different from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements contained in this presentation are not guarantees or assurances of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of Metallica, which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Metallica to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. For example, the factors that are likely to affect the results of Metallica include general economic conditions in Australia and globally; ability for Metallica to funds its activities; exchange rates; production levels or rates; demand for Metallica's products, competition in the markets in which Metallica does and will operate; and the inherent regulatory risks in the businesses of Metallica. Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned to not place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

Page 7 of 18





METALLICA MINERALS LIMITED

ABN: 45 076 696 092 ASX Code: MLM

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 Report

EASTERN RESOURCE AREA – CAPE FLATTERY SILICA PROJECT

Resource Upgrade – Indicated & Inferred. 2021

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	 Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma 	 Drilling samples ranging from 0.5 to 1.0m down hole intervals of vacuum drill rig cuttings collected from a cyclone. 100% of sample was collected with a mass of 2-3kg.
	sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	• A 0.5 to 1.0 kg "spear" of the sample is collected for geochemical analysis. The balance is retained for possible metallurgical analysis.
	 Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. 	• Sample was submitted to commercial laboratory for drying, splitting (if required), pulverization in tungsten carbide bowl, and XRF analysis.
	 Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. 	 Sampling techniques are mineral sands "industry standard" for dry beach sands with low levels of induration and slime.
	 In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 	 As the targeted mineralization is silica sand, geological logging of the drill material is a primary method for identifying mineralisation.
	m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	 Metallurgical samples are composited intervals of white and cream sands logged in drilling with collection of the entire volume of air-core drill cuttings from the cyclone/hand auger samples into large plastic samples bags.
Drilling techniques	• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other	• The drilling technique used was vacuum, which was undertaken by Yearlong Contractors using a tractor mounted drill rig. The drill bit diameter was 48mm equivalent to NQ sample size.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	 Holes were terminated in a clayey sand layer or when the water tak was intersected, and wet sand affected sampling.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	 Visual assessment and logging of sample recovery and san quality.
5	 Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. 	 Vacuum drilling is low disturbance and low impact minimising hole wall impact and contamination.
	 Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential here the first transmission in the second sec	 Sample is collected in cyclone which has clear Perspex ca allowing visual inspection of sample.
	loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	 Regular cleaning of cyclone and drill rods was utilised to pre sample contamination.
		No sample bias occurred between sample recovery and grade.
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical	 Geological logging of the total hole by field geologist, with retenti sample in chip trays to allow subsequent re-interpretation of da required.
	 studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. 	 The total hole is logged in 1m intervals; logging includes qualit descriptions of colour, grain size, sorting, induration and estimat HM, slimes and oversize utilising panning.
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	 Logging has been captured through field drill log sheets and transferred through to an excel spreadsheet with daily update of f database and regular update of master database.
Sub- sampling	 If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. 	 Drilling samples are 'speared' on site (Approximately 20% subsa drilling), resulting in approximately 0.5 – 1kg of dry sample.
techniques and sample	 If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. 	 Where bulk sample is collected, sampling is done by "spear" to 100% recovered sample.
preparation	 For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. 	• Sample size is considered appropriate for the grain size of materi average grain size (87% material by weight between 0.125mm ar
	 Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. 	0.5mm).
	 Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in 	

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	
	• Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	
Quality of assay data	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total	• Drilling samples were submitted to ALS Townsville, where they were dried, weighed and split.
and laboratory	 For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument 	 Analysis was undertaken by ALS Brisbane utilising a Tungsten Carbide pulverization, ME-XRF26 (whole rock by Fusion/XRF) and ME-GRA05 (H₂O/LOI by TGA furnace).
lesis	make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	 Samples were assayed primarily for SiO₂, Fe₂O₃, Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ and a range of other elements.
	 Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	 Analysis undertaken determined by a sample code which correlates to drill logs to ensure no sample bias.
		 Metallurgical samples have submitted to IHC Robbins for characterization testwork (screening, de-sliming, sizing, HLS and XRF analysis) and wet-tabling (two stage).
Verification of sampling	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.	 Significant intersections validated against geological logging and local geology/ geological model.
and	The use of twinned holes.	As this is the first phase of drilling, no holes have been twinned.
assaying	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data vorification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocolo	• All data captured and stored in both hard copy and electronic format.
	Discuss on adjustment to apply date	No assay data had to be adjusted.
Location of data points	 Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Pasauras estimation 	 All holes initially located using handheld GPS with an accuracy of 5m for X, Y.
	Specification of the grid system used	UTM coordinates, Zone 55L, GDA94 datum.
	 Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	Topographic surface generated from processing STRM data.

Page **10** of **18**

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data spacina and	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	 Drilling was completed on existing tracks lines holes were spaced approximately 200 meters apart.
distribution	 Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. 	 Drill spacing, and distribution is sufficient to allow valid interpretation of geological and grade continuity for an Inferred Mineral Resource and potentially an Indicated Mineral Resource where specified.
D	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	
Orientation	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering	The dune field has ridges dominantly trending 320° - 330°.
of data in relation to	the deposit type.	• The drill access tracks typically run along or sub-parallel to dune ridges which suggest unbiased sampling, some cross-dune tracks
geological	 If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a 	linking the ridges were also drilled.
structure	sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	 Silica deposition occurs as windblown with angle of rest approximately 35°. Drilling orientation is appropriate for the nature of deposition.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Sample collection and transport from the field was undertaken by company Personnel following company procedures.
,		 Samples were aggregated into larger polyweave bags and sealed with plastic zip ties, Bags were labelled and put into palette-crates and sealed prior to being shipped to ALS Townsville.
		Samples were delivered direct to ALS in Townsville.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	• A review was conducted internally by Metallica Minerals Ltd and third- party consultants Ausrocks Pty Ltd. And they were found to be consistent.

TSONAL USE ONIV

Page **11** of **18**

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests,	 The Cape Flattery Silica Sands Project occurs within EPM 25734 in Queensland and is held by Metallica Minerals Ltd through subsidiary company Cape Flattery Silica Pty Ltd.
tenure	historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	The tenement is in good standing.
status	• The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	 A compensation and conduct agreement is in place with the landholder (Hopevale Congress) and native title party.
Exploration done by other parties	 Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	• Previous exploration has been carried out in the area during the 1970's and 80s by Cape Flattery Silica Mines (CFSM). CFSM reported seven (7) holes drilled for 84 meters. These holes intersected sand dunes between 10 and 20 meters in thickness.
		 The historical exploration data is of limited use since but never assayed for SiO2 and there is poor survey control to determine exact locations of historical holes.
Geology	• Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The geology comprises variably re-worked aeolian sand dune deposits associated with Quaternary age sand-dune complex.
		Mineralisation occurs within aeolian dune sands.
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:	 A tabulation of the material drill holes is attached to this JORC Table 1, as required by the Table 1.
	\circ easting and northing of the drill hole collar	
	 elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar 	
	\circ dip and azimuth of the hole	
	 down hole length and interception depth 	
	o hole length.	



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	
Data aggregation methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. 	• Downhole compositing of samples using weighed averages of Silica content and interval length to determine floor and ceiling of material that exceeded 98.5% SiO ₂ content.
	 Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. 	 No minimum or maximum grade truncations have been used.
	 The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	
Relationship between	These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.	 As the mineralisation is associated with aeolian dune sands the majority sub-horizontal, some variability will be apparent on dune
mineralisati on widths	 If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. 	edges and faces.
and intercept lengths	 If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being	A plan showing drill collar locations is provided relative to the EPM is incorporated in the main body of the resource report.
	reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	 Drill hole collar locations are located on diagrams showing topographical overlay, regional geology, and relevant tenure boundaries.
		 Cross-sections have been generated and have been included in the Resource report.
Balanced reporting	 Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of 	All relevant exploration assay results have been reported.

Page **13** of **18**

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Exploration Results.	
Other substantive exploration data	 Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	 Geological observations are consistent with aeolian dune mineralisation. Groundwater was intersected during drilling at the base of holes, as expected given the dune complex is an aquifer and drilling was undertaken to considerable depth. The mineralisation is unconsolidated sand. IHC Robbins are tasked to complete a bulk laboratory sample to determine viability of product through a one stage of Mineral Technologies MG12 spiral, There are no known deleterious substances. 554 %SiO₂ assays were completed on downhole composites over various drilling programs.
Further work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	 The next stage of exploration drilling will require the establishment of drill tracks to allow drilling at closer spacing across the observable dune field to confirm sand quality and continuity.

Page **14** of **18**

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	 Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. Data validation procedures used. 	• The database was validated through Micromine 2021, which was used to complete the resource modeling. Micromine 2021 requires 3 files to create a drill hole database which are the (Collar, Survey and Interval File) these files cross-reference the data to ensure there are no errors in the database prior to modeling.
Site visits	 Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case. 	 A site visit was completed by the Competent Person (B Mutton) 13th -18th Dec 2021 during the drilling program. The visit enabled an appraisal of the dune geology and setting.
Geological interpretatio n	 Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology. 	• The target mineral deposit is clean white to cream aeolian sands which are readily determined from drilling. The depth of these sands varies depending on their location to the dune profile but range from several metres up to +20m in thickness. These sands progressively and at times sharply pass vertically into coloured sands (orange-brown-red) of the "B" horizon. Similarly, the coloured sands ranged in thickness up to 38m. Holes were terminated mainly when damp clays and water was intersected (refusal).
		 In several places thin interburdens (several metres) of coloured sand interrupted the white-cream sands. Their occurrence and continuity require further drill definition. The interpretation is considered robust to any alternative interpretations for a Mineral Resource estimation.
Dimensions	• The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	 The Resource is approximately 2,200m long by and averages 800m wide covering a surface area of approx. 240 hectares. The clean white -cream high silica grade sands extend up to 27m below surface.
/		Ine resource boundary is constrained by the regional

Page **15** of **18**

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		geology to the north, existing Mining Leases to the South and by the extent of the reconnaissance level of drill holes.
Estimation and modelling techniques	 The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). 	 All resource modelling was completed in Micromine 2021. Due to the relatively low number of drill holes and assayed samples Kriging was not deemed appropriate and Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) was used to populate the block model. Blocks of 50m (L) x 50m (W) x1m (H) with sub blocks 2m (L) x 2m (W) x 1m (H) were used to generate the block model. All sub-blocks have the same interpolated values as their parent blocks. A search ellipse was used in Micromine 2021 based on the geometry of the sand dunes.
	 In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. Any assumptions about correlation between variables. Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available. 	 The block model was populated using IDW, with each block being assigned a value for Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, SiO₂ and TiO₂ The block model was constrained to the base of white-cream sands and the base of the soil/humus surface layer (0.3m below topography). Assayed values that were used for resource estimation underwent statistical analysis for basic statistics (min, max, range), variance.co-variance, Q-Q Plots and histograms for all assayed variables. All variables showed there were no requirements for top or bottom cutting. The block model was validated by comparing basic statistics and histograms of modelled data (block model) against the input data (drilling data) which showed similar means, range of data and data distribution. Additionally, cross-section throughout the block model were compared with the same sections through the drillhole data showing
Page 16 of 18		data and the mineralisation.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		 Grade cutting or capping was not applicable as no SiO₂ values exceeded 100%.
Moisture	 Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content. 	 All samples were placed into bags and sealed so samples would be received with slightly less than in-situ moisture.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	 A cut-off grade of 98.5% SiO₂ was used based on sample statistics.
Mining factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	 The size of the resource would be suited to mining the deposit as a bulk commodity however no specifics have been factored into this resource estimate.
Metallurgica I factors or assumptions	• The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	 The resource is assessed as high-grade silica sand. No metallurgical factors have been included or deemed required at this stage of the resource estimation.
Environmen- tal factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	 Environmental considerations were made by referencing overlays as provided by the Queensland Government including Category A, B & C Environmentally sensitive areas as well as wetland areas.
Bulk density	• Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.	 Nineteen density measures have been completed over the wider resource area in Feb 2021 returning an average density of 1.6 t/m³ which has been used to convert all
Ð	The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that	
Ð	• The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that	

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.	volumes to tonnes.
	 Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials. 	
Classificatio n	 The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the 	 Sufficient drilling supports and increased and upgraded to resource estimation for the project, from the maiden resource estimate based on shallow auger holes. Closer spaced drilling (in two separate clusters) has enabled two areas to be classified as Indicated Resource, the remaine staying as Inferred. It expected the Inferred category can readily upgraded upon the completion of a cominigrided division.
	deposit.	 The result appropriately reflects the Competent Persons view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	All calculations have been reviewed internally by Ausrocks
Discussion of relative accuracy/	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limite, or if each an approach is not deemed appropriate, or qualitative	 It is the opinion of the Competent Person that the relative accuracy and confidence level across the reported geological intervals is adequate, given the drill density and the continuity of geochemical samples.
confidence	discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.	No production data is available at present as this is a Greenfields Project. However, Cape Flattery Silica Mines lies directly to the south of the resource area, suggesting
	• The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	potential viability.